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Israel has forged close bilateral cooperation with the United States in many areas. A 10-year bilateral military aid memorandum of understanding commits the United States to provide Israel $3.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing and to spend $500 million annually on joint missile defense programs from FY2019 to FY2028, subject to congressional appropriations. Some Members of Congress have increased their scrutiny over Israel’s use of U.S. security assistance, contributing to debate on the subject. This report also discusses the following matters:

Netanyahu government and controversy over judicial system changes. In December 2022, Likud party leader Benjamin Netanyahu became prime minister of a new coalition government, despite facing an ongoing criminal trial for corruption. Netanyahu’s inclusion of ultra-nationalists Bezalel Smotrich and Itamar Ben Gvir within the new Israeli government has triggered debate about the consequences for Israel’s democracy, its ability to manage tensions with Palestinians, and its relations with the United States. The government has proposed legislation to overhaul Israel’s judicial system. Despite major domestic controversy over whether changes—or responses to them—might impact checks and balances, cohesion, and military readiness, and efforts by President Biden to encourage compromise, the coalition passed a law in July 2023 to prevent Israeli courts from using a “reasonableness” standard to invalidate government actions. Israel’s High Court of Justice plans to hear arguments challenging the legislation in September, raising the possibility of a constitutional crisis. The government may consider additional legislation that could modify how judges are selected, though Netanyahu has stated openness to dialogue with the opposition into November.

Israeli-Palestinian issues. In hopes of preserving the viability of a negotiated two-state solution among Israelis and Palestinians, Biden Administration officials have sought to help manage tensions, bolster Israel’s defensive capabilities, and strengthen U.S.-Palestinian ties that frayed during the Trump Administration. Administration officials have regularly spoken out against steps taken by Israelis or Palestinians that could risk sparking violence and undermining the vision of two states—including settlement expansion, legalization of outposts, demolitions and evictions, disruptions to the historic status quo of Jerusalem’s holy sites, and incitement and acquiescence to violence. Since 2022, Israeli-Palestinian violence has triggered heightened West Bank counterterrorism measures. As the Gaza Strip remains under the control of the Sunni Islamit militant group Hamas (a U.S.-designated terrorist organization), the United States and other international actors face significant challenges in seeking to help with reconstruction without bolstering the group.

The Abraham Accords and possible Israeli normalization with Saudi Arabia. The Biden Administration has followed agreements reached during the Trump Administration that normalized or improved relations between Israel and four Arab or Muslim-majority states—the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Bahrain, Sudan, and Morocco. Biden Administration officials have said that any further U.S. efforts to assist Israeli normalization with Muslim-majority countries would seek to preserve the viability of a negotiated two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Ongoing efforts to deepen security and economic ties between Israel, the UAE, Bahrain, and Morocco could drive broader regional cooperation—including on various types of defense. After China helped broker diplomatic normalization between Saudi Arabia and Iran, the Administration has declared that Israeli normalization with Saudi Arabia is a U.S. priority. Any negotiations toward that end would likely consider Saudi security and civilian nuclear demands, as well as a pathway toward a two-state solution. Congress has passed and proposed legislation encouraging expanded and deepened regional cooperation involving Israel.

Countering Iran and other regional dynamics. Israeli officials seek to counter Iranian regional influence and prevent Iran from acquiring nuclear weapons. Israel supported President Trump’s withdrawal of the United States from the 2015 international agreement that constrained Iran’s nuclear activities. Israeli leaders seek greater international pressure on Iran amid questions about the tenor of U.S.-Israel cooperation on Iran-related issues. Israel also has reportedly conducted a number of covert or military operations against Iran and its allies in Syria, Lebanon, and Iraq for various purposes, including to prevent Lebanese Hezbollah from bolstering its weapons arsenal and capabilities. Some reports suggest the future possibility of an informal, unwritten U.S.-Iran understanding by which Iran might limit some uranium enrichment and receive some financial relief for humanitarian purposes, raising questions about how Israel might respond to such a deal.

Russia’s 2022 invasion of Ukraine. In the wake of Russia’s 2022 invasion of Ukraine, Israel has sought to provide political support for Ukraine and humanitarian relief for Ukrainians without alienating Russia. Israel has shown reluctance to provide lethal assistance to Ukraine—citing the need to deconflict its military operations over Syria with Russia. However, Israel is reportedly providing or planning to provide Ukraine with basic intelligence, assistance with early warning systems, and anti-drone jamming systems to counter Iran-made drones and missiles used by Russia.
Contents

Overview: Major Issues for U.S.-Israel Relations ................................................................. 1
Domestic Issues ....................................................................................................................... 2
  Netanyahu’s Return to Power and New Coalition Partners .............................................. 2
  Key Government Figures and Decisionmaking ............................................................... 2
  Controversy over Judicial System Changes and Proposals ............................................ 4
    “Reasonableness” Law, Next Political Steps, and Popular Sentiment .......................... 5
    Potential Court Review ................................................................................................. 7
    General Assessment .................................................................................................... 7
Israeli-Palestinian Issues ................................................................................................. 9
  Overview (Including Jerusalem, Gaza, and Visa Waiver Program) .................................. 9
  Israel-West Bank Violence and Settlement Tensions .................................................... 12
The Abraham Accords ........................................................................................................ 15
Countering Iran .................................................................................................................. 20
  Iranian Nuclear Issue and Regional Tensions ............................................................... 21
  Hezbollah and Syria ....................................................................................................... 23
Russia’s Invasion of Ukraine ............................................................................................. 25

Figures

Figure 1. November 2022 Knesset Election Results ............................................................ 2

Figure C-1. Map: Israel-Lebanon Maritime Boundary Agreement ..................................... 32

Tables

Table 1. Israeli Government: Key Positions ...................................................................... 3

Appendixes

Appendix A. Israel: Map and Basic Facts ......................................................................... 27
Appendix B. Main Israeli Parties and Their Leaders ......................................................... 28
Appendix C. Israel-Lebanon Maritime Agreement ............................................................ 31

Contacts

Author Information ............................................................................................................. 33
Overview: Major Issues for U.S.-Israel Relations

Israel (see Appendix A for a map and basic facts) has forged close bilateral cooperation with the United States in many areas. For more background, see CRS Report RL33476, Israel: Background and U.S. Relations, by Jim Zanotti, and CRS Report RL33222, U.S. Foreign Aid to Israel, by Jeremy M. Sharp.

U.S.-Israel security cooperation—a critical part of the bilateral relationship—is multifaceted. U.S. law requires the executive branch to take certain actions to preserve Israel’s “qualitative military edge,” or QME, and expedites aid and arms sales to Israel in various ways. A 10-year bilateral military aid memorandum of understanding (MOU)—signed in 2016—commits the United States to provide Israel $3.3 billion in Foreign Military Financing (FMF) and to spend $500 million annually on joint missile defense programs from FY2019 to FY2028, subject to congressional appropriations. The MOU anticipates possible supplemental aid in emergency situations such as conflict. In March 2022, Congress appropriated $1 billion in supplemental funding through FY2024 for the Iron Dome anti-rocket system as a response to the system’s heavy use during a May 2021 conflict between Israel and Gaza Strip-based groups such as Hamas and Palestine Islamic Jihad (PIJ) (both of which are U.S.-designated terrorist organizations). Some lawmakers seek oversight measures and legislation to distinguish certain Israeli actions in the West Bank and Gaza from general U.S. support for Israeli security.¹

In July 2023, the House and Senate passed H.Con.Res. 57,² expressing the sense of Congress that:

1. the State of Israel is not a racist or apartheid state;
2. Congress rejects all forms of antisemitism and xenophobia; and
3. the United States will always be a staunch partner and support of Israel.

Additional issues to be discussed below with significant implications for U.S.-Israel relations include the following:

- Domestic Israeli issues, including action by the government of Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu to reduce the power of Israel’s judiciary that has triggered a highly charged national debate.
- Israeli-Palestinian violence and ongoing disputes.
- Israel’s relations with various Arab states since the 2020-2021 Abraham Accords, including speculation about potential Israel-Saudi Arabia normalization.
- Concerns about Iran’s nuclear program and regional influence, including with Lebanon-based Hezbollah and in Syria.
- Russia’s 2022 invasion of Ukraine.

¹ For example, the Two-State Solution Act (H.R. 5344 from the 117th Congress) would have expressly prohibited U.S. assistance (including defense articles or services) to further, aid, or support unilateral efforts to annex or exercise permanent control over any part of the West Bank (including East Jerusalem) or Gaza.
² The House passed the resolution on July 18 by a vote of 412-9, with one voting present. The Senate passed it by unanimous consent on July 25.
Domestic Issues

Netanyahu’s Return to Power and New Coalition Partners

On December 29, 2022, Israel’s Knesset (parliament) voted to reinstall Likud party leader Benjamin Netanyahu for a third stint as prime minister (his previous terms were 1996-1999 and 2009-2021). He heads a coalition government that includes ultra-nationalist and ultra-Orthodox parties (see Figure 1 and Appendix B).

**Figure 1. November 2022 Knesset Election Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREVIOUS GOVERNING COALITION* (51)</th>
<th>PRO-NETANYAHU BLOC (64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour 4</td>
<td>Likud 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab List 5</td>
<td>Religious Zionists 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yisrael Beiteinu 6</td>
<td>Shas 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Unity 12</td>
<td>United 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesh Atid 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadash-Tikal 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Meretz, Balad, and Jewish Home did not make the threshold.

The 2022 election was the fifth held in Israel since a legal process regarding corruption allegations against Netanyahu began in December 2018; his criminal trial is ongoing and could last for months or years. Two of the previous four elections did not result in the formation of a government, and the other two resulted in short-lived coalition governments—a 2020-2021 government with Netanyahu as prime minister, and a 2021-2022 government without him. Coalition partners who support legal measures to help Netanyahu avoid criminal punishment may have leverage to pursue their policy preferences.

Key Government Figures and Decisionmaking

Netanyahu’s inclusion of ultra-nationalists Bezalel Smotrich and Itamar Ben Gvir within the new Israeli government (see Table 1) has triggered debate about the implications for Israel’s democracy, its ability to manage tensions with its Arab citizens and with Palestinians, and its relations with the United States and other countries. Coalition agreements state that the Jewish people have an “exclusive right” to the land between the Mediterranean Sea and Jordan River, appearing to conflict with Palestinian statehood aspirations. Smotrich has devoted his career to expanding Jewish control in the West Bank and advocating for the application of Jewish religious law in Israeli society. Ben Gvir expresses many similar views and has been a fixture at

---


Upon the government’s formation, President Joe Biden stated that his Administration would work with the government to jointly address many issues in the region and “will continue to support the two state solution and oppose policies that endanger its viability or contradict our mutual interests and values.” Earlier, Secretary of State Antony Blinken said that the Administration will “gauge the government by the policies it pursues rather than individual personalities.” In July 2023, President Biden said in a CNN interview that some of the members of Israel’s cabinet are among the most extreme he has seen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prime Minister</td>
<td>Benjamin Netanyahu</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Minister</td>
<td>Yoav Gallant</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Minister</td>
<td>Eli Cohen</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance Minister and Minister within Defense Ministry</td>
<td>Bezalel Smotrich</td>
<td>Religious Zionism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice Minister</td>
<td>Yariv Levin</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Security Minister</td>
<td>Itamar Ben Gvir</td>
<td>Jewish Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Minister</td>
<td>Miri Regev</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Affairs Minister</td>
<td>Ron Dermer</td>
<td>No formal affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Minister</td>
<td>Israel Katz</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Rural Development Minister</td>
<td>Avi Dichter</td>
<td>Likud</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smotrich and Ben Gvir have each assumed some security responsibilities. Under the coalition agreements, Smotrich has a defense ministry position with formal responsibility over civil affairs units administering West Bank and Gaza Strip issues, including the planning commission that oversees West Bank settlement construction and home demolitions. Ben Gvir heads a national security ministry with general authority over Israel’s police—including personnel that patrol Jerusalem and its disputed holy sites. He also expects to oversee the border police, including units that have operated in the West Bank under Israel Defense Forces (IDF) authority. Ben Gvir supports easing open-fire conditions for security forces and increasing their legal immunity.

---

12 Transcript: Interview with U.S. President Joe Biden, CNN, July 9, 2023.
16 Keller-Lynn, “Knesset passes ‘Ben Gvir law.””
Defense Minister Yoav Gallant and top IDF leaders have apparently sought to prevent changes to the defense ministry and border police from disrupting the chain of command. In February 2023, Smotrich received general responsibilities to direct settlement planning and enforcement over illegal construction in the West Bank, while Gallant maintained formal powers to intervene in Smotrich’s decisions with cause. In June, the Israeli government eased and expedited the process for settlement construction approval. While the process reportedly remains subject to final authorization by the prime minister, this move makes it harder for the defense minister or prime minister to slow the efforts of Smotrich or any successor in his position. U.S. and Palestinian Authority (PA) officials have criticized the Israeli action.

In anticipation of the government’s formation in late 2022, Netanyahu asserted that he would personally retain ultimate responsibility for policy. One Israeli journalist argued that Netanyahu’s efforts to act as a buffer between his coalition partners and Western countries concerned about their influence will eventually lead to him losing the support of one or the other. Two former U.S. officials wrote that if a government with Smotrich and Ben Gvir featured open hostility to Arabs and illiberal measures to change Israel’s democracy, opponents of strong U.S.-Israel relations would exploit the situation “to try to undo aspects of the relationship, and certainly to challenge Israeli military requests.”

The coalition agreements are not legally binding. The degree of their implementation could have significant consequences for issues like West Bank annexation or legalization of outposts, the rights of minorities (including Arabs, women, and LGBTQ people), and diaspora immigration to Israel. The part of the coalition agreement referring to the possible annexation of West Bank areas explicitly defers to Netanyahu’s preferred timing and consideration of Israeli interests.

**Controversy over Judicial System Changes and Proposals**

Shortly after Netanyahu’s government took office, it advanced proposals in January 2023 aimed at reducing the power of the judiciary—particularly Israel’s High Court of Justice (HCJ)—to check actions approved by Israel’s government. The proposals have triggered several months of

21 Steve Inskeep and Daniel Estrin, “‘They are joining me. I’m not joining them’: Netanyahu defends far-right allies,” NPR, December 15, 2022.
22 Ben Caspit, “Crisis imminent as Netanyahu’s far-right partners set to govern West Bank,” Al-Monitor, December 9, 2022.
highly charged national debate. In March, Netanyahu dropped or delayed plans on various proposals to give the government control over Israel’s Judicial Appointments Committee (JAC) and allow the Knesset to override most HCJ decisions, in the face of pressure from various sectors of society and President Biden. In June, a dialogue aimed at achieving national consensus between government and opposition leaders broke down. Despite appeals from President Biden for Netanyahu to pursue compromise, the coalition proceeded in July to advance legislation that would prevent Israeli courts from using a “reasonableness” standard to invalidate government actions. Netanyahu defended his action by saying that after trying to compromise for three months without success, he “decided to proceed with this minor correction.”

“Reasonableness” Law, Next Political Steps, and Popular Sentiment

The new law, which the Knesset passed on July 24, amends Israel’s Basic Law on the judiciary. The amendment prohibits courts from evaluating the reasonableness of administrative decisions made by the cabinet or its ministers (see text box below). The opposition, which vehemently contested the bill, boycotted the vote.

---

**The Role of Israel’s Reasonableness Clause**

One of the government’s January 2023 proposals for changing the judiciary’s role was to prevent courts from invalidating government actions on the grounds that they are “unreasonable in the extreme.” The reasonableness test does not apply to laws, but only to administrative decisions such as executive orders, cabinet actions, matters that require ministerial sign-off, and municipal planning and zoning rulings. As the courts have developed the reasonableness framework over time, they have applied it in various cases where they determine that an action might be arbitrary, reckless, or unethical, even if it does not contradict a specific provision of law. Among other things, the HCJ has used this clause as a basis for some decisions to vacate cabinet appointments, including the current Netanyahu government’s December 2022 designation of Shas party leader Aryeh Deri (see Appendix B) as interior and health minister. The HCJ found Deri’s appointment to be unreasonable because of his past criminal convictions for corruption and his promise in a plea bargain not to return to public life.

Debate was vigorous over the July 2023 bill to end courts’ use of the reasonableness standard. The coalition and its supporters argued that because the clause is not clearly defined in law, its potentially broad application infringes unfairly on the government’s popular mandate and chills executive action. Some opponents of the bill maintained that the HCJ has shown restraint in rejecting most petitions challenging reasonableness, but that courts should have flexibility to employ the reasonableness standard to protect uncodified rights and prevent public corruption and malfeasance. Despite the new limitation on using the reasonableness clause, courts can still resort to other common law standards that could protect against certain government decisions. These grounds include

---

30 Carrie Keller-Lynn, “Knesset begins final votes on reasonableness law, curbing court review of decisions,” Times of Israel, July 23, 2023. Under the bill, courts can still use reasonableness to examine the actions of lower-level civil servants or municipal officials.
33 Cohen, “Doing Away with the Standard of Extreme Unreasonableness.”
proportionality, anti-discrimination, conflict of interest, and lack of good faith.\textsuperscript{37} Israeli President Isaac Herzog and the opposition had sought a compromise with Netanyahu that would have preserved some use of reasonableness to review government action, suspended additional legislation on judicial changes for a period of time, and stipulated that the government could not fire the attorney general,\textsuperscript{38} but these efforts fell short.

When the Knesset reconvenes in October, it may consider additional legislation affecting the JAC and perhaps other issues related to the judiciary. Netanyahu has said that the coalition is willing to return to dialogue with the opposition until sometime in November in an effort to “reach a comprehensive agreement,”\textsuperscript{39} but opposition leader Yair Lapid has said that he will not join talks that are an “empty show.”\textsuperscript{40} Some prominent members of Netanyahu’s Likud party have made public statements that appear to advise caution on future steps.\textsuperscript{41}

Polls have suggested that a majority of Israelis may support some form of judicial reform, but generally favors efforts toward compromise. The specific measures introduced by the government seem to attract only minority support.\textsuperscript{42} One July 2023 poll had 43% of Israelis opposing the Knesset’s reasonableness legislation, 31% supporting it, and the rest undecided. Moreover, polls also indicate a downturn in general support for Netanyahu and other coalition members.\textsuperscript{43} A poll taken after the passage of the reasonableness law said that a majority of Israelis worry that civil conflict is possible, while 22% support the government advancing legislation unilaterally.\textsuperscript{44}

The reaction within Israeli society—including from protests and potential military service and workforce disruptions—appears likely to affect how the legislative process continues. The proposed judicial changes have galvanized and polarized substantial portions of the Jewish Israeli populace, with some broad divisions manifest between:

- **Opponents**, many of whom are members of the largely secular and Ashkenazi (Jews of European origin) communities that have traditionally occupied leading roles in government, the military, and the burgeoning high-tech sector; and

- **Supporters**, including several who may identify with certain demographically ascendant groups like West Bank settlers, Jewish nationalists, and the ultra-Orthodox (some of whom hail from a Mizrahi, or Middle Eastern Jewish background).\textsuperscript{45}

Since January, hundreds of thousands of opponents have joined in regular non-violent protests against the proposed changes in conjunction with opposition politicians,\textsuperscript{46} and several former HCJ

---

\textsuperscript{37} Sharon, “The reason for reasonableness: A doctrine at the heart of the overhaul explained.”

\textsuperscript{38} Ravid, “Exclusive: Biden says Bibi shouldn’t rush ‘divisive’ judicial overhaul bill amid threats.”

\textsuperscript{39} “Netanyahu vows to continue dialogue to reach judicial reform compromise,” \textit{i24News}, July 24, 2023.


\textsuperscript{43} “43% of Israelis opposed to judicial reform Reasonableness Clause – poll,” \textit{Jerusalem Post}, July 9, 2023.

\textsuperscript{44} Moshe Cohen, “58% of Israelis fear a civil war amid judicial reform crisis,” \textit{Jerusalem Post/Maariv}, July 28, 2023.


justices and attorneys general have strongly criticized the proposals. At some times, the protests have disrupted traffic and operations at Israel’s Ben Gurion airport. Widespread opposition also has come from Israel’s financial and economic sector. Thousands of supporters of the proposed changes have engaged in several non-violent counter-protests.

Israeli authorities’ approach to protestors also has become a hotly contested subject. In July, Tel Aviv’s police commander announced his resignation, alleging blatant political interference in the exercise of his duties—a probable reference to National Security Minister Ben Gvir’s call for more assertive efforts to counter disorderly protests. Following the commander’s resignation, crowd control measures escalated in force and arrests increased.

Potential Court Review

HCJ President Esther Hayut said in January that the HCJ intends to examine any legislation enacted under the government’s proposed changes to the judiciary, raising the possibility of a constitutional crisis if the HCJ rules that the legislation is invalid. The HCJ is planning to hold a hearing on petitions challenging the law on September 12.

The HCJ could strike down the reasonableness law, uphold it, or narrowly interpret it to curb its impact. To date, the HCJ has not invalidated any provision of Israel’s Basic Laws, which in the absence of a written constitution lay down the rules of government and enumerate fundamental rights. Nevertheless, the HCJ has indicated that it could reverse a Basic Law if it fundamentally changes the nature of democracy in Israel or abuses the constitutional process. One Israeli legal expert has speculated that the HCJ could refrain from deciding on the July law’s validity in the abstract, but opt to engage on the issue when presented with a concrete case if the government tries to replace the attorney general or change the composition of the JAC—both of which could represent red lines for the court on the issue of judicial independence.

General Assessment

The following implications of the judicial system changes and proposals may have relevance for U.S. policy.

Israel’s security and economy. Many within Israel’s military reserves and its private sector have suspended their activity or threatened to do so, raising questions about the country’s defense readiness and economic strength. According to a media report citing an unnamed U.S. official,

---

49 “Tel Aviv police chief quits, citing government meddling against protesters,” Reuters, July 6, 2023; “Tel Aviv police chief skips Ben Gvir ceremony removing him from his post,” Times of Israel, July 4, 2023.
52 Michael Starr, “High Court to Discuss Israel’s Reasonableness Law on September 12,” Jerusalem Post, July 31, 2023.
54 Bazelon.
55 David S. Cloud and Dov Lieber, “Israel Faces New Security Threats if Protesting Military Reservists Refuse to (continued...)}
the Pentagon “is concerned that the crisis facing the Israeli military could have negative implications for Israel’s deterrence strategy and encourage Iran or Hezbollah to conduct military provocations that could escalate the situation in the region.”56 In late July, Israel’s air force commander said, “It is possible that at a time like this they (Israel's enemies) will try to test the frontiers, our cohesion and our alertness.”57 Additionally, disputes continue about whether reservists and other military personnel—many of whom fulfill key roles—are justified in linking their service to legislative outcomes.58

One news source has reported that the main problem for the military would be decreased cohesion and readiness over the long term rather than an immediate breakdown in performance. This source cites analysis arguing that highly-skilled reservists (fighter pilots, special operations troops, and intelligence analysts) who stop volunteering would probably return in the event of a crisis, and the IDF would retain the capacity to handle most short-term threats.59 Another source postulates that the IDF’s recruitment of mandatory conscripts to combat duty might face challenges.60

Democracy, governance, and Israel’s international standing. Much of the national debate focuses on the potential long-term implications for Israeli democracy and governance that might stem from changes to the judiciary’s power. The discourse highlights the challenge Israel faces in respecting the actions of an elected government while protecting minority rights at a time when the prime minister’s personal stake in judicial outcomes—owing to his criminal trial—may complicate efforts to build trust with the opposition.

Weakening judicial review could allow the government to take actions that the HCJ might have previously resisted. Such actions could include expanding Israel’s West Bank control at Palestinians’ expense, increasing economic preferences and military service exemptions for ultra-Orthodox Jews, or changing minority rights and the religious-secular balance in Israel.61 Some debate whether Israel might face heightened condemnation and legal prosecution in international fora if its reputation for having a strong, independent judiciary erodes.62

Many of those supporting the proposed changes assert that the HCJ needs corrective balance because Israel lacks a constitution providing explicit boundaries to judicial review.63 Some also

---

56 Ravid, “Exclusive: Biden says Bibi shouldn’t rush ‘divisive’ judicial overhaul bill amid threats.”
57 “Israeli air chief warns of security threat from judicial reform crisis,” Reuters.
58 “Here’s how reservists are playing a crucial role in opposing Israel’s judicial reforms,” Associated Press, July 25, 2023.
59 Cloud and Lieber.
allege that elites from Israeli society who oppose the coalition’s proposals are at least partly focused on maintaining their power and position.  

**Netanyahu’s legal future.** Changes to the judicial system also might affect the status of Netanyahu’s ongoing criminal trial. He and Israel’s attorney general have publicly disagreed on her insistence that a conflict-of-interest agreement bars him from participating in debates surrounding the proposed changes. Following the passage of the July law, the attorney general requested that the HCJ invalidate an earlier Basic Law provision (passed in March) that prevents the judiciary from ordering a prime minister to step down.

**U.S. role.** President Biden’s repeated efforts to steer Netanyahu toward compromise may have helped delay some measures, but did not stop the enactment of the July reasonableness law. Biden’s attempts also have generated debate about U.S. input into Israel’s domestic politics. U.S. Ambassador to Israel Tom Nides—who plans to leave his post in the summer of 2023—has said that most Israelis want the United States to be involved in Israel’s business, and that the Administration is trying to stop Israel from “going off the rails.” Members of Congress have articulated varying opinions about U.S. engagement in the discourse over Israel’s judicial system. Biden reportedly agreed in July to meet with Netanyahu in the United States at some point later in the year, after saying in March that they would not meet at the White House “in the near term.”

**Israeli-Palestinian Issues**

**Overview (Including Jerusalem, Gaza, and Visa Waiver Program)**

Biden Administration officials have said that they seek to preserve the viability of a negotiated two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, while playing down near-term prospects for direct Israeli-Palestinian negotiations. In doing so, they seek to help manage tensions, bolster Israel’s defensive capabilities, and strengthen U.S.-Palestinian ties that frayed during the Trump Administration. These officials regularly speak out against steps taken by Israelis or Palestinians

---

64 Moshe Koppel, “This reform will end limitless power for unelected elites,” Times of Israel (blog), February 5, 2023.


66 See, for example, Robert Satloff, “President Biden can show his support for Israel by staying silent,” The Hill, July 29, 2023.


71 See also CRS Report RL34074, The Palestinians: Background and U.S. Relations, by Jim Zanotti.


that could fuel violence and risk undermining the vision of two states. In January 2023 remarks made alongside PA President Mahmoud Abbas during a visit to the West Bank, Secretary of State Antony Blinken said:

Meanwhile, we oppose any action by either side that makes that goal [a two-state solution] more difficult to achieve, more distant. And we’ve been clear that this includes things like settlement expansion, the legalization of outposts, demolitions and evictions, disruptions to the historic status quo of the holy sites, and of course incitement and acquiescence to violence. We look to both sides to unequivocally condemn any acts of violence regardless of the victim or the perpetrator.

---

**U.S. Policy on Jerusalem**

The Trump Administration made U.S. policy changes affecting bilateral relations when it recognized Jerusalem as Israel’s capital in 2017 and moved the location of the U.S. Embassy in Israel from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem in 2018. These actions could affect future outcomes regarding Jerusalem’s status—given Palestinian claims to East Jerusalem as their future national capital—though the Trump Administration did not take a position on the boundaries of Israeli sovereignty in the city. The Biden Administration has said that the embassy will remain in Jerusalem.

Israel’s previous government initiated some steps to improve Palestinians’ economic and living circumstances, including through loans and work permits, and some of these measures have continued under its current government. Some critics have charged that the measures mirror past Israeli efforts to manage the conflict’s effects unilaterally rather than address its causes through negotiation with Palestinians. During President Biden’s July 2022 visit to Israel and the West Bank, the White House released a statement saying that Israel had committed to expanding the number of Palestinian work permits, 24-hour accessibility to the Allenby border crossing between the West Bank and Jordan, and efforts to upgrade the West Bank and Gaza to 4G communications infrastructure. As of April 2023, Israeli personnel began 24-hour operations at the Allenby crossing five days a week.

In July 2023, the United States and Israel announced that Israel would begin allowing Palestinian Americans to travel to Israel and the West Bank from Israeli airports, as part of Israel’s effort to qualify for entry into the U.S. Visa Waiver Program—under the program’s reciprocity requirement—by the end of September. Past Israeli practice had been to generally require Palestinian Americans to enter the West Bank via the Allenby crossing with Jordan.

---

75 State Department, “Secretary Antony J. Blinken and Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas After Their Meeting,” January 31, 2023.
77 Thomas Grove and Fatima AbdulKarim, “Israel Offers Economic Help to Palestinians in Bid to Stem Influence of Hamas,” Wall Street Journal, February 8, 2022; Aaron Boxerman, “Israel set to raise work permit quotas for Gazans to 20,000,” Times of Israel, March 26, 2022.
78 Neri Zilber, “Israel’s new plan is to ‘shrink,’ not solve, the Palestinian conflict,” CNN, September 16, 2021.
In January 2023, National Security Minister Ben Gvir visited Jerusalem’s Temple Mount/Haram al Sharif (‘Mount/Haram’) a few days after taking office, triggering statements of condemnation or concern from Palestinians and several governments both within the region and globally.\(^82\) Netanyahu pledged to maintain the historic “status quo” that allows only Muslims to worship at the holy site, and downplayed Ben Gvir’s visit by referring to previous ministerial visits to the site.\(^83\) Expressing deep concern about the potentially provocative nature of Ben Gvir’s visit, the State Department spokesperson called on Netanyahu to keep his commitment on the status quo.\(^84\) During Netanyahu’s late January visit to King Abdullah II of Jordan, which has a custodial role over the Mount/Haram, the king reportedly told Netanyahu that Israel should respect the “historic and legal status quo in the Holy Aqsa mosque and not violate it.”\(^85\) Ben Gvir made additional visits to the Mount/Haram in May and July.

### Gaza and Its Challenges

The Gaza Strip—controlled by Hamas but significantly affected by general Israeli and Egyptian access and import/export restrictions—faces difficult and complicated political, economic, and humanitarian conditions.\(^86\) Palestinian militants in Gaza clash at times with Israel’s military as it patrols Gaza’s frontiers with Israel, with militant actions and Israeli responses sometimes endangering civilians in both places. These incidents occasionally escalate toward larger conflict, as in May 2021. Hamas and Israel reportedly work through Egypt and Qatar to help manage the flow of necessary resources into Gaza and prevent or manage conflict escalation. Since 2018, Egypt and Hamas (perhaps with implied Israeli approval) have permitted some commercial trade via the informal Salah al Din crossing that bypasses the formal PA controls and taxes at other Gaza crossings.\(^87\)

With Gaza under Hamas control, the obstacles to internationally supported recovery from the May 2021 conflict remain largely the same as after previous Israel-Gaza conflicts in 2008-2009, 2012, and 2014.\(^88\) Because of the PA’s inability to control security in Gaza, it has been unwilling to manage donor pledges toward reconstruction, leading to concerns about Hamas diverting international assistance for its own purposes.\(^89\) Before the 2021 conflict, Qatar had provided cash assistance for Gaza, but due to Israeli concerns about its diversion, Qatar began an arrangement in September 2021 to provide money transfers to needy families through the United Nations.\(^90\) In November 2021, Qatar and Egypt agreed on a new mechanism—with Israel’s tacit approval—to restart assistance toward Gaza civil servants’ salaries that had been on hold since the May 2021 outbreak of conflict.\(^91\)
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\(^83\) Transcript: One-On-One with Israel’s Netanyahu amid Surging Violence. For background, see CRS Report RL33476, *Israel: Background and U.S. Relations*.

\(^84\) State Department Press Briefing, January 3, 2023.
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\(^91\) Yaniv Kubovich, “Egypt, Qatar Reach Breakthrough on Hamas Civil Servants Salaries,” *Haaretz*, November 29, 2021; Abu Amer, “Egypt, Qatar agreement with Israel, Hamas.”
Israel-West Bank Violence and Settlement Tensions

Overview of violence and some countermeasures. After an upsurge in Israeli-Palestinian violence during 2022 that resulted in the deaths of 30 Israelis or foreigners in Israel and at least 170 Palestinians in the West Bank, violence has spiked in 2023. For this year as of July, at least 29 Israelis and 155 West Bank Palestinians have died from attacks by militants and/or extremists on both sides against civilians and/or their property, or clashes involving Israeli security forces and Palestinian militants.

Israeli counterterrorism efforts have concentrated on northern West Bank cities like Nablus and Jenin in connection with efforts to arrest terrorism suspects, halt illicit weapons smuggling and production, and establish order. As the increase in Israeli-Palestinian violence has attracted greater U.S. policy attention, Israeli and PA forces have approached West Bank militants in different ways, leading to some tensions and the possibility of future escalation. The PA reportedly has sought time and flexibility to address militancy independent of Israeli dictates, as part of an effort to reach compromises that avoid major armed confrontations or arrests. In 2022, some PA personnel reportedly directly targeted Israeli forces or settlers, raising questions about the PA’s ability to control individuals’ actions.

Israel’s government approved measures in January that Prime Minister Netanyahu said were aimed at curbing terrorism, but might further fuel tensions, including steps reducing benefits for families of accused terrorists, accelerating home demolitions and West Bank settlement building, reinforcing Israeli military and police units, and expediting gun licenses for Israelis. In defending Israel’s steps as “targeted action on the terrorists and their immediate circle,” Netanyahu said that he continues to allow 150,000 Palestinians to work in Israel, has reduced security checkpoints, and encourages investment by Israelis and “our new peace partners in the Gulf” in Palestinian areas of the West Bank.

After a deadly January Israeli raid in Jenin, the PA announced a suspension of security coordination with Israel, but its practical meaning is unclear. Previously, the PA publicly suspended security coordination with Israel for a few months in 2020 when Israel was
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contemplating annexation of West Bank areas. In February, Central Intelligence Agency Director Bill Burns remarked that the tensions resembled “some of the realities” of the 2000-2005 period of Israeli-Palestinian violence known as the second Palestinian intifada (or uprising). An Israeli observer has argued that PA leadership under President Abbas is unlikely to coordinate efforts against Israelis in the way Palestinian leaders supposedly did during the intifada.

**Challenges related to de-escalation efforts and settlements.** During Secretary Blinken’s January visit to the West Bank, he said that “it’s important to take steps to de-escalate, to stop the violence, to reduce tensions, and to try as well to create the foundation for more positive actions going forward.” He also said that he discussed with President Abbas “the importance of the Palestinian Authority itself continuing to improve its governance and accountability, strengthening the institutions of the PA.” According to one media report, Blinken encouraged Abbas privately to implement a security plan presented to Israel and the PA weeks earlier by the U.S. Security Coordinator for Israel and the Palestinian Authority (USSC), Lieutenant General Michael Fenzel. The plan supposedly lays out steps for PA security forces to regain control in Jenin and Nablus, including the training of a special PA force. Reportedly, PA officials expressed reservations about the plan, partly because of concerns that it might not reduce Israeli incursions into West Bank cities or include other provisions calculated to attract Palestinian public support.

In February, the Israeli government decided to advance West Bank plans to construct nearly 10,000 additional settlement units and begin a process to retroactively legalize nine outposts that had previously been illegal under Israeli law—triggering a statement of strong opposition from Secretary Blinken. A few days later, the United States, Israel, the PA, Jordan, and Egypt issued a communique from Aqaba, Jordan aimed at de-escalating tensions and violence by committing Israel and the PA to suspend unilateral measures for a few months. In March, the five parties reconvened as scheduled in Sharm El Sheikh, Egypt, and restated the commitments from Aqaba. They also specified plans to work toward empowering PA security forces, develop a mechanism to curb violence, and establish a separate mechanism to improve Palestinian economic conditions.

Reportedly, the PA had agreed in February to start implementing the USSC security plan, which one source has said would involve “the recruitment and training of thousands of Palestinian security personnel to be deployed in the northern West Bank.” The status of the
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USSC plan is unclear given subsequent escalation in Jenin and heightened Israeli operations (as discussed below) at a time when PA security forces appear to be less engaged.\textsuperscript{114}

Also in March, the Knesset passed legislation to overturn parts of a 2005 law that had made Jewish settlements in certain areas of the northern West Bank illegal. In that year, then-Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon had affirmed in writing to then-President George W. Bush that Israel committed to evacuate settlements and outposts in that region. A State Department spokesperson said that the United States is extremely troubled about the legislation, and that it clearly contradicts Israel’s longtime undertaking to the United States, as well commitments it made earlier in March to de-escalate Israeli-Palestinian tensions.\textsuperscript{115} Netanyahu’s office issued a statement saying that Israel’s government has “no intention of establishing new communities” in the West Bank areas in question.\textsuperscript{116} The relocation of a yeshiva (Jewish religious school) within one of these areas in May triggered additional criticism from the State Department,\textsuperscript{117} as did additional settlement-related announcements from Israel in June.\textsuperscript{118} Reportedly, when President Biden raised concerns about settlement expansion in a July call with Netanyahu, Netanyahu said that no additional settlement plans would be approved in 2023.\textsuperscript{119}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Policy Change on U.S.-Israel Science/Technology Cooperation in Settlements}
\end{center}

In June 2023, the State Department announced that the Biden Administration would return to pre-Trump Administration U.S. policies that limit U.S.-Israel scientific and technological cooperation to areas administered by Israel before the June 1967 Arab-Israeli war.\textsuperscript{120} In 2020, the Trump Administration signed agreements with Israel removing geographic restrictions on three U.S.-Israel binational foundations.\textsuperscript{121} In July, 14 Senators sent a letter to President Biden and Secretary Blinken denouncing the June 2023 policy change as an “antisemitic boycott of Israel” that undermines bilateral cooperation. The Senators threatened to block the Biden Administration’s nominees if it does not reverse course.\textsuperscript{122}

\begin{itemize}
    \item \textbf{June-July escalation.} In June, clashes between Israeli forces and Palestinian militants intensified in the northern West Bank. Reports indicate that Hamas and PIJ may have increased their direct involvement, perhaps helping West Bank militants employ tactics similar in sophistication to those used by Iran-supported groups in Lebanon and Gaza, such as improvised explosive devices (IEDs).\textsuperscript{123} One Palestinian shooting attack killed four Israeli settlers, and then a group of Israeli settlers stormed a Palestinian town, setting fire to multiple homes and cars in a scene reminiscent of a similar reprisal attack by settlers in February.\textsuperscript{124}
\end{itemize}
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After reported consultations within Israel’s security and political leadership weighing the benefits and drawbacks of larger-scale counterterrorism operations, in early July the IDF launched a major two-day raid into Jenin featuring around 1,000 ground troops, aerial cover, and armored vehicles to counter IEDs. During the raid, which Israeli apparently carried out in hopes of reducing militants’ ability to use Jenin’s refugee camp as a haven for stockpiling weapons and planning attacks against Israelis, 12 Palestinians and one Israeli died. Israel reportedly arrested some 300 suspects while confiscating weapons caches and destroying weapons production facilities in and around several civilian areas (including a mosque). Around 3,000 residents of the camp (which houses approximately between 14,000 and 18,000 people) fled during the fighting. In a possible response to the Jenin raid, a Palestinian wounded eight people at a Tel Aviv bus stop in a car-ramming and stabbing attack before he was shot and killed.

Israel’s operation in Jenin was its largest since Operation Defensive Shield in 2002 during the second intifada. According to one Israeli officer, the scale of the challenge in 2023 is smaller than in 2002, when general Palestinian involvement in violence was more widespread. Some IDF officials reportedly worry that a more overt war footing in the West Bank could harden negative Palestinian popular attitudes toward Israel.

The Abraham Accords

General overview. In late 2020 and early 2021, Israel reached agreements to normalize or improve its relations with four members of the Arab League: the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Bahrain, Morocco, and Sudan. The Trump Administration facilitated each of these agreements, known as the Abraham Accords, and provided U.S. security, diplomatic, or economic incentives for most of the countries in question. In 2021, Israel opened embassies in the UAE and Bahrain, and both countries reciprocated. Israel and Morocco also reopened the liaison offices that each country had operated in the other from the mid-1990s to 2000. Israel’s July 2023 recognition of Moroccan sovereignty in the disputed territory of Western Sahara could lead to full Israel-Morocco diplomatic relations via the mutual opening of embassies. The Sudanese military’s seizure of power in October 2021 froze the Israel-Sudan normalization process. In January 2023, the Sudanese military leadership said that Sudan would sign a normalization deal with Israel after it transitions to a civilian government, but the outbreak of Sudanese civil conflict in April may delay any major developments on that front for the foreseeable future.
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130 See https://www.state.gov/the-abraham-accords/. These incentives included possible U.S. arms sales to the UAE and Morocco, possible U.S. and international economic assistance or investment financing for Morocco and Sudan, and U.S. recognition of Morocco’s claim of sovereignty over the disputed territory of Western Sahara. Some reports suggest that the Trump Administration linked Sudan’s removal from the U.S. state sponsors of terrorism list to its agreement to recognize Israel.
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While Saudi Arabia has not normalized its relations with Israel, it reportedly supported the UAE and Bahrain in their decisions to join the Abraham Accords. Additionally, Saudi Arabia and Oman have opened their airspace to Israeli civilian airlines, significantly reducing their travel time to Asian destinations.

Trade, tourism, and investment ties among the other Accords countries have deepened, particularly between Israel and the UAE. Some notable developments include an Israel-UAE free trade agreement that went into effect in March 2023, and a major Israel-UAE-Jordan initiative focused on desalinated water and solar energy. As a sign of mutual high-level commitment to the Accords, Secretary of State Antony Blinken met the foreign ministers of Israel, the UAE, Bahrain, Morocco, and Egypt at a March 2022 summit in Israel’s southern Negev desert to inaugurate a regional cooperation framework. The framework features periodic Negev Forum meetings, as well as working groups engaging regularly on clean energy, education and coexistence, food and water security, health, regional security, and tourism. Participants have expected that a 2023 foreign ministerial meeting would take place in Morocco, but U.S. officials reportedly told Israel that plans for holding the meeting in July would be postponed due to an Israeli settlement construction announcement in June. Developments since the new Israeli government took office have reportedly fueled some concerns among Arab governments about closer ties with Israel, including the new government’s actions against Palestinians, Israeli domestic discord, and some apparent U.S.-Israel differences.

Despite closer government-to-government ties and broader Israel-UAE economic cooperation, public opinion polling in Arab states indicates that long-standing popular opposition to regional governments recognizing Israel remains strong. According to one poll, support in the UAE and Bahrain for the Accords dropped from 47% and 45%, respectively, in 2020 to 25% and 20% in 2022. However, the same poll shows incremental increases in support since 2020 within the UAE, Bahrain, and Saudi Arabia to permit private business and sports ties with Israelis.

U.S. and Israeli officials seek to expand the Abraham Accords to include other Arab and Muslim-majority countries. Secretary Blinken has said that “we’re committed to continue building on the efforts of the last administration to expand the circle of countries with normalized relations with Israel in the years ahead.” In June 2023, Blinken announced the appointment of Daniel Shapiro (formerly U.S. ambassador to Israel) as senior adviser on regional integration, a new position focused on deepening and broadening the Accords. Reportedly, Shapiro will be less engaged in
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diplomacy aimed at Israel-Saudi normalization (discussed below) than in boosting other aspects of the Abraham Accords.\textsuperscript{141}

The Biden Administration’s willingness to offer major U.S. policy inducements to countries in connection with normalization efforts remains unclear.\textsuperscript{142} It has sought to avoid portraying Israeli normalization with Arab and Muslim-majority states as a substitute for efforts toward a negotiated two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.\textsuperscript{143} Negev Forum members acknowledge that part of their mandate is to “create momentum in Israeli-Palestinian relations.”\textsuperscript{144} However, the PA has opted not to join the forum. Palestinian leaders denounced the initial announcement of UAE normalization with Israel as an abandonment of the Palestinian national cause, given Arab League states’ previous insistence that Israel address Palestinian negotiating demands as a precondition for improved ties.\textsuperscript{145} Jordan also has remained on the sidelines of the Negev Forum, conditioning its involvement on PA participation.\textsuperscript{146}

\textbf{Normalization efforts with Saudi Arabia.} In May 2023, an unnamed senior Israeli diplomatic source was cited as saying that “the Saudi [normalization] issue is now more important than anything else” for Netanyahu’s foreign policy. “He knows that Israel cannot stop Iran on its own.”\textsuperscript{147} Saudi Foreign Minister Prince Faisal bin Farhan Al Saud has expressed general support for normalization with Israel but stated in January 2023 that “true normalization and true stability will only come through giving the Palestinians hope, through giving the Palestinians dignity. That requires giving the Palestinians a state, and that’s the priority.”\textsuperscript{148} Various factors could complicate an Israel-Saudi normalization process, including the March 2023 Saudi-Iran normalization deal brokered by China, Saudi desires for stronger U.S. support for Saudi security and civilian nuclear priorities (which might require congressional approval), and Arab concerns regarding increased Israeli-Palestinian tensions and violence.\textsuperscript{149} One media report has suggested that while Arab states like Saudi Arabia “may see Iran as a menace, they see little gain in isolating and opposing Tehran to the extent that Israel does.”\textsuperscript{150} A 2023 public opinion poll suggests that
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large majorities of Saudis oppose normalization with both Israel and Iran, and that about 18% support cooperation with Israel against Iran.\cite{151} During Secretary Blinken’s June 2023 visit to Saudi Arabia, he said that helping normalize Israeli-Saudi ties is a U.S. priority, saying, “We discussed it here, and we will continue to work at it, to advance it in the days, weeks, and months ahead.”\cite{152} In the same briefing, Saudi Foreign Minister Al Saud said:

> I have said before and it’s quite clear that we believe that normalization is in the interest of the region, that it would bring significant benefits to all. But without finding a pathway to peace for the Palestinian people, without addressing that challenge, any normalization will have limited benefits. And therefore, I think we should continue to focus on finding a pathway towards a two-state solution, on finding a pathway towards giving the Palestinians dignity and justice.\cite{153}

In a July CNN interview, President Biden said that “We’re a long way” from an Israel-Saudi normalization deal that could partly depend on what Saudi Arabia might ask of the United States.\cite{154} According to one media report, Biden has authorized Administration officials to “probe with Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman of Saudi Arabia to see if some kind of deal is possible and at what price.”\cite{155}

**Security cooperation and Israel in CENTCOM.** In January 2021, President Trump determined that U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM), which commands U.S. military forces in most countries in the Middle East, would add Israel to its area of responsibility (AOR), partly to encourage military interoperability as a means of reinforcing closer ties between Israel and many Arab states.\cite{156} Israel had previously been under the purview of U.S. European Command. CENTCOM formalized Israel’s move in September 2021,\cite{157} and in October an Israeli Defense Forces liaison was stationed at CENTCOM headquarters.\cite{158} Since then, Israel has joined military exercises with the United States and the other Abraham Accords states, as well as other CENTCOM partners such as Saudi Arabia, Oman, Jordan, Egypt, and Pakistan.\cite{159}

Following a string of missile and drone attacks against the UAE in early 2022, apparently by Iran-allied forces in Yemen (known as the Houthis), the UAE government reportedly expressed interest in closer security cooperation with Israel.\cite{160} Earlier, both Morocco (November 2021) and Bahrain (February 2022) signed MOUs with Israel on security cooperation.\cite{161} These MOUs
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appear to anticipate more intelligence sharing, joint exercises and training, and arms sales. Reports indicate that Israel has agreed to sell air defense systems to all three countries and may be contemplating more defense and defense technology sales.\textsuperscript{162} In late 2022, Israel’s defense ministry estimated that its deals with the three countries were worth $3 billion.\textsuperscript{163}

At the March 2022 Negev summit, Israeli leaders and their Arab counterparts reportedly discussed a range of possible cooperative measures, such as real-time intelligence sharing on inbound drone and missile threats and acquisition of Israeli air defense systems.\textsuperscript{164} Speculation about specific measures has continued since then.\textsuperscript{165} In January 2023, the Department of Homeland Security publicized its efforts to help expand U.S.-Israel-UAE cooperation on cybersecurity to Bahrain and Morocco.\textsuperscript{166}

Reports suggest that while some air defense coordination may be taking place between Israel, certain Arab states, and the United States, “Arab participants are reluctant to confirm their involvement, let alone advertise their participation in a fully fledged military alliance.”\textsuperscript{167} Actions by this Israeli government may be fueling any such reluctance to some degree.\textsuperscript{168} Regional countries might be hesitate to share the real-time intelligence data that underlies less sensitive basic threat information.\textsuperscript{169} Unless and until a regional framework is formalized, CENTCOM apparently plans to help coordinate air defense and response with various U.S. regional partners using the X-band radar stationed in Israel, ship-borne Aegis combat systems, and existing air defense systems and fighter jets.\textsuperscript{170} Additionally, U.S. Naval Forces Central Command (NAVCENT) is reportedly working with Israel and some Arab states to develop a network of unmanned maritime drones to monitor Iranian naval activity and narcotics smuggling in NAVCENT’s area of responsibility.\textsuperscript{171}

**Selected congressional actions.** In January 2022, some Members of the Senate and House formed bipartisan caucuses to promote the Abraham Accords.\textsuperscript{172} In March, Congress enacted the Israel Relations Normalization Act of 2022 (IRNA, Division Z of P.L. 117-103). Among other things, the IRNA required the Secretary of State to submit an annual strategy for strengthening and expanding normalization agreements with Israel, and an annual report on the status of
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measures within Arab League states that legally or practically restrict or discourage normalization efforts with Israel or domestic support for such efforts.

The FY2023 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 117-263), enacted in December 2022, included a provision requiring the Secretary of Defense (in consultation with the Secretary of State) to submit to foreign affairs and intelligence committees, within 180 days of enactment:

- a strategy on cooperation with allies and partners in the area of responsibility of the United States Central Command to implement a multinational integrated air and missile defense architecture to protect the people, infrastructure, and territory of such countries from cruise and ballistic missiles, manned and unmanned aerial systems, and rocket attacks from Iran and groups linked to Iran.

Some Members have introduced legislation in the 118th Congress aimed at strengthening the Abraham Accords, including:

- H.R. 3099, which seeks to amend the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 to establish a Senate-confirmed position of “Special Envoy for the Abraham Accords.” The House passed the bill in June 2023.
- S. 2413, which among other things would authorize the creation of a Regional Integration Office within the State Department, to be headed by a Senate-confirmed position of “Special Presidential Envoy for the Abraham Accords, Negev Forum, and Related Normalization Agreements.” It also would establish a $105 million Regional Integration Opportunity Fund for FY2024-FY2030, authorize additional cooperative Arab-Israeli funding, and expand the reach of U.S.-Israel foundations to include Abraham Accords and Negev Forum countries.
- H.R. 2973/S. 1334, which seeks to require the Administration to submit a strategy to some congressional committees for greater regional maritime and interdiction cooperation to counter Iranian and Iran-related naval capabilities and threats to lawful commerce.
- H.R. 3792, which, among other things, encourages U.S. officials to use three existing regional or global programs—the Middle East Partnership Initiative, Middle East Research Cooperation, and the International Visitor Leadership Program—to fund activities to expand and deepen the Accords.

### Countering Iran

Israeli officials cite Iran as one of their primary concerns, largely because of (1) antipathy toward Israel expressed by Iran’s revolutionary regime, (2) Iran’s broad regional influence (including in Syria, Iraq, Lebanon, and Yemen), and (3) Iran’s nuclear and missile programs and advanced conventional weapons capabilities. Iran-backed groups’ demonstrated abilities since 2019 to penetrate the air defenses of countries like Saudi Arabia and the UAE through coordinated drone and missile attacks have implications for Israeli security calculations. Israeli observers who anticipate the possibility of a future war similar or greater in magnitude to Israel’s 2006 war
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against Lebanese Hezbollah refer to the small-scale military skirmishes or covert actions since then involving Israel, Iran, or their allies as “the campaign between the wars.”\(^\text{175}\)

The IDF’s Military Intelligence directorate reportedly warned Israeli officials in early 2023 that the “anti-Israeli axis led by Iran”—including Hezbollah and Hamas—appears to be emboldened by Israeli domestic discord and some purported U.S.-Israel differences.\(^\text{176}\) According to one media report’s profile of the intelligence warning, Iran doubts that Israel can “carry out an offensive against it or strike its nuclear program with US support.”\(^\text{177}\) Another media report said that Iran and its allies are not “necessarily interested in a direct, all-out clash,” but are “willing to risk more daring offensive operations,” while arguing that closer U.S.-Israel security coordination may at least partly reflect a pragmatic U.S. desire to prevent being dragged into a confrontation with Iran.\(^\text{178}\) This same report surmised that Iran may calculate it has bolstered its position vis-à-vis Israel and the United States because Iran and the Syrian regime have improved their relations with Saudi Arabia and other Sunni Arab governments, Iran is approaching “nuclear threshold state” status, and Iran has a growing partnership with Russia.

### Iranian Nuclear Issue and Regional Tensions

Israel has sought to influence U.S. decisions on the international agreement on Iran’s nuclear program (known as the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, or JCPOA). Prime Minister Netanyahu strenuously opposed the JCPOA in 2015 when it was negotiated by the Obama Administration, and welcomed President Trump’s May 2018 withdrawal of the United States from the JCPOA and accompanying reimposition of U.S. sanctions on Iran’s core economic sectors. Since this time, Iran has increased its enrichment of uranium to levels that could significantly shorten the time it requires to produce fissile material for nuclear weapons.\(^\text{179}\) Reported low-level Israel-Iran conflict has persisted in various settings—including cyberspace, international waters, and the territory of Syria, Lebanon, and Iraq—with implications for regional tensions.\(^\text{180}\) In June 2022, then-Israeli Prime Minister Naftali Bennett characterized some operations inside Iran as targeting the “head of the octopus” to counter a range of Iranian military capabilities.\(^\text{181}\)

As the Biden Administration has engaged in international diplomacy and considered the possibility of reentering or revising the JCPOA, Israeli leaders have sought to influence diplomatic outcomes.\(^\text{182}\) Given various developments starting in 2022, including unrest and
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government crackdowns in Iran and Iranian material support for Russian military operations in Ukraine, near-term prospects for a renewed or revised JCPOA appear to have diminished.183 During President Biden’s trip to Israel in July 2022, he and then-Prime Minister Lapid signed the Jerusalem U.S.-Israel Strategic Partnership Joint Declaration, which included a U.S. commitment “never to allow Iran to acquire a nuclear weapon,” and a statement that the United States “is prepared to use all elements of its national power to ensure that outcome.”184 Additionally, Biden said that he would be willing to use force against Iran as a “last resort” to prevent it from acquiring nuclear weapons.185 In February 2023, Ambassador Nides said that the United States would not engage in negotiations on Iran’s nuclear program while Iran provides drones for Russia in its war in Ukraine. He also said that U.S.-Israel cooperation vis-à-vis Iran was “lockstep”:

As President Biden has said, we will not stand by and watch Iran get a nuclear weapon, number one. Number two, he said, all options are on the table. Number three, Israel can and should do whatever they need to deal with and we’ve got their back.186

In January 2023, the United States and Israel held their largest-ever bilateral military exercise, named Juniper Oak. According to CENTCOM, the exercise “enhanced interoperability and the ability of CENTCOM forces to rapidly move combat power into the region,” and provides opportunities to incorporate lessons learned with all U.S. partners in the CENTCOM AOR.187 The two militaries held another stage of Juniper Oak in July.188

Various sources have documented reported Israeli covert or military operations targeting Iran’s nuclear program,189 and some U.S. officials have reportedly differed with Israeli counterparts on the overall effectiveness of such operations.190 Even with reported upgrades to Israeli military capabilities,191 questions apparently remain about military readiness for a major operation against Iran’s nuclear program.192

In a January 2023 CNN interview, Prime Minister Netanyahu said that Israel has conducted attacks on Iran aimed at thwarting its nuclear program and targeting “certain weapons development.” He also said, “I think the only way that you can stop a rogue state from getting nuclear weapons is a combination of crippling economic sanctions, but the most important thing is a credible military threat. And I would say this, if deterrence fails, you have no choice but to take action.”193 Amid some international concerns about advanced levels of Iranian uranium
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enrichment, Defense Minister Gallant stated in February that Israel would not allow Iran to enrich uranium to 90%.\textsuperscript{194}

While an imminent return to the JCPOA may be unlikely, media reports emerged in June 2023 of indirect U.S.-Iran discussions aimed at a possible informal, unwritten understanding,\textsuperscript{195} despite public statements by U.S. officials denying that a deal is in the offing. The reports say that such a U.S.-Iran arrangement might feature various elements, including:

- Iranian agreement not to enrich uranium beyond 60%, and to release three Iranian-American prisoners; and
- U.S. agreement not to tighten sanctions, and to unfreeze billions of dollars in Iranian funds held abroad for Iran to use for humanitarian purposes.\textsuperscript{196}

Netanyahu has reportedly indicated to members of the Knesset Foreign Affairs and Defense Committee that Israel “could live with” such a U.S.-Iran understanding,\textsuperscript{197} though on June 13 he said:

> Our position is clear: No agreement with Iran will be binding on Israel, which will continue to do everything to defend itself. Our opposition to a return to the original agreement, I think it is working, but there are still differences of outlook and we do not hide them, also about smaller agreements.\textsuperscript{198}

Additionally, Netanyahu is apparently wary of U.S. efforts aimed at persuading Israel to promise not to surprise the United States with military action against Iran.\textsuperscript{199}

Some unnamed Israeli senior officials have been cited as speculating that the Biden Administration may seek a more informal understanding with Iran because of concerns about potential congressional opposition to a formal agreement, though a source close to the Administration has expressed that President Biden is more “a creature of Congress” than most presidents.\textsuperscript{200}

### Hezbollah and Syria

Lebanese Hezbollah is Iran’s closest and most powerful nonstate ally in the region. Hezbollah’s forces and Israel’s military have sporadically clashed near the Lebanese border for decades—with the antagonism at times contained in the border area, and at times escalating into broader conflict—most notably in a 2006 war.\textsuperscript{201}

\textsuperscript{194}“Netanyahu said to huddle repeatedly with military brass over possible attack on Iran,” \textit{Times of Israel}, February 22, 2023.


\textsuperscript{196}Ibid.


\textsuperscript{198}Israeli Prime Minister’s Office, ‘Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu to the Knesset Foreign Affairs and Defense Committee: ‘No agreement with Iran will be binding on Israel, which will continue to do everything to defend itself,’’ June 13, 2023.


\textsuperscript{201}CRS Report R44759, \textit{Lebanon: Background and U.S. Relations}; CRS In Focus IF10703, \textit{Lebanese Hezbollah}. 
Some developments in 2022 and 2023, such as greater Hezbollah activity in disputed border areas, and occasional cross-border drone incursions and projectile fire, have fueled speculation about a possible outbreak of hostilities.\(^{202}\) One July 2023 report citing Israeli security sources assessed that Hezbollah leader Hassan Nasrallah does not appear to want all-out confrontation with Israel, but “no longer has deep-seated concern about a possible escalation into a day or a few days of limited fighting,” which might increase the risk of 2006-style intensified conflict.\(^{203}\) Nasrallah’s possible interest in probing for limits to Israeli deterrence may be due in part to domestic upheavals in Israel. In response to Israeli protests over the July Basic Law provision affecting the judiciary, Nasrallah said that Israel “is on the path to collapse, fragmentation, and disappearance, God willing.”\(^{204}\)

Israeli officials have sought to draw attention to Hezbollah’s buildup of mostly Iran-supplied weapons—including reported upgrades to the range, precision, and power of its projectiles—and its alleged use of Lebanese civilian areas as strongholds.\(^{205}\) In 2022, Hezbollah’s leadership and Israel’s defense ministry both publicly cited Iran-backed efforts by Hezbollah to manufacture precision-guided missiles in Lebanon.\(^{206}\) Israeli officials reportedly warned Lebanon that Israel could strike the Beirut airport if it serves as a destination for weapons smuggling, based on reports that Iran has planned flights that could carry equipment directly to Hezbollah in Lebanon.\(^{207}\)

In October 2022, Israel, Lebanon, and the United States resolved a long-standing maritime boundary dispute, with potential implications for Israel-Hezbollah conflict (see Appendix C). Public debate in Israel centered on whether the economic benefits from the deal were worth the concessions and the possible emboldening of Hezbollah.\(^{208}\) While Prime Minister Netanyahu made a statement before taking office again about “neutralizing” (rather than canceling) the maritime boundary agreement,\(^{209}\) his government has not taken action to date.\(^{210}\)

Given Syria’s greater reliance on Iran due to its long civil war, Iran has sought to bolster Hezbollah by sending advanced weapons to Lebanon through Syria or by establishing other military sites on Syrian territory. In response, Israel has conducted thousands of airstrikes on Iran-backed targets that could present threats to its security.\(^{211}\)

\(^{202}\) Ben Caspit, “Israel’s Hezbollah deterrence is eroding as Lebanon border heats up,” Al-Monitor, July 14, 2023; “Lebanon’s militant Hezbollah group says it shot down an Israeli drone near the southern border,” Associated Press, June 26, 2023; Neville Teller, “Hezbollah is as big a threat to Israel as Iran’s nuclear program – opinion,” Jerusalem Post, December 28, 2022.

\(^{203}\) Caspit, “Israel’s Hezbollah deterrence is eroding as Lebanon border heats up,”

\(^{204}\) “Hezbollah chief gloats: Israel had its ‘worst day,’ is on ‘path to disappearance,’” Times of Israel, July 25, 2023.


\(^{207}\) Ben Caspit, “Israel could strike in Lebanon if Iran renews weapons smuggling,” Al-Monitor, December 16, 2022.


\(^{209}\) Carrie Keller-Lynn, “Netanyahu says Ben Gvir could be police minister, vows to ‘neutralize’ Lebanon deal,” Times of Israel, October 31, 2022.


\(^{211}\) Anna Ahronheim, “Thousands of airstrikes carried out by Israel in past five years,” Jerusalem Post, March 29, 2022.
Russia has reportedly shown some capacity to thwart Israeli airstrikes against Iranian or Syrian targets, but has generally refrained via a deconfliction mechanism with Israel. This deconfliction has apparently continued to date even with Russia’s war on Ukraine, but Russia has criticized some Israeli strikes.

**Russia’s Invasion of Ukraine**

Israel has publicly condemned Russia’s 2022 invasion of Ukraine through statements and votes in international fora. Meanwhile, it has sought to provide political support for Ukraine and humanitarian relief for Ukrainians—including allowing around 46,000 Jewish and non-Jewish refugees to enter Israel—without alienating Russia. As mentioned above regarding Syria, Israel has counted on airspace deconfliction with Russia to target Iranian personnel and equipment, especially those related to the transport of munitions or precision-weapons technology to Hezbollah in Lebanon.

Despite entreaties from U.S. and Ukrainian officials, Israel has shown reluctance to provide lethal assistance to Ukraine. Starting in May 2022, Israel has sent some protective gear to Ukrainian rescue forces and civilian organizations.

Once Russia began using Iran-made drones in Ukraine, Israel offered to help Ukraine develop an early-warning system for its civilians, and also began sharing basic intelligence with Ukraine aimed at helping its forces counter drone attacks. In November 2022, one news outlet reported that Israel had funded the purchase of “strategic materials” by a North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) member, which then transferred the materials to Ukraine for its use.

In 2022 and 2023, the United States reportedly withdrew 300,000 155-millimeter artillery shells from War Reserve Stocks for Allies stockpiles in Israel to send to Ukraine. According to multiple reports, Israeli officials acceded to the Pentagon’s request in order to avoid confrontation...

---


213 Jacob Magid, “Russia says military coordination with Israel in Syria will continue as usual,” *Times of Israel*, February 27, 2022.


with the United States and because, according to one Israeli official, “it’s their ammunition and they don’t really need our permission to take it.”

In early 2023, Israel reportedly approved export licenses for the possible sale of anti-drone jamming systems that could help Ukraine down drones. Israeli officials have claimed that this step does not change Israel’s policy against providing lethal assistance because the systems are defensive in nature and do not target Russian soldiers. While Ukrainian officials appear interested in the systems, they view them as less critical than air defense systems that can counter ballistic missiles.

In July 2022, Russia’s Justice Ministry signaled to Israel that it was seeking to close the Russian branch of the Jewish Agency for Israel, an entity that has branches around the world to facilitate emigration to Israel and run cultural and language education program in coordination with Israel. Russia claimed that the agency violated privacy laws by storing personal information about emigration applicants, but many Israelis suspected that Russian concerns about Israeli policy on Ukraine and possibly Syria and Jerusalem may have motivated the pending legal action. As of early 2023, the agency had reportedly frozen most activities related to promoting Jewish emigration to Israel from former Soviet Union countries, except Ukraine.

---

Appendix A. Israel: Map and Basic Facts

Sources: Graphic created by CRS. Map boundaries and information generated using Department of State Boundaries (2017); Esri (2013); the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency GeoNames Database (2015); DeLorme (2014). Fact information from International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database; CIA, The World Factbook; and Economist Intelligence Unit. All numbers are projections for 2023 unless otherwise specified.

Notes: According to the U.S. executive branch: (1) The West Bank is Israeli occupied with current status subject to the 1995 Israeli-Palestinian Interim Agreement; permanent status to be determined through further negotiation. (2) The status of the Gaza Strip is a final status issue to be resolved through negotiations. (3) The United States recognized Jerusalem as Israel’s capital in 2017 without taking a position on the specific boundaries of Israeli sovereignty. (4) Boundary representation is not necessarily authoritative. Additionally, the United States recognized the Golan Heights as part of Israel in 1981; however, U.N. Security Council Resolution 497, adopted on December 17, 1981, held that the area of the Golan Heights controlled by Israel’s military is occupied territory belonging to Syria. The current U.S. executive branch map of Israel is available at https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/israel/map.
## Appendix B. Main Israeli Parties and Their Leaders

### RIGHT

**Likud (Consolidation) – Coalition (32 Knesset seats)**

Israel’s historical repository of right-of-center nationalist ideology; skeptical of territorial compromise; has also championed free-market policies.

**Leader: Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu**

Born in 1949, Netanyahu returned as Israel’s prime minister in December 2022. Previously, he served as prime minister from 2009 to 2021, and also from 1996 to 1999. Netanyahu served in an elite special forces unit (Sayeret Matkal), and received his higher education at MIT. Throughout a career in politics and diplomacy, he has been renowned both for his skepticism regarding the exchange of land for peace with the Palestinians and his desire to counter Iran’s nuclear program and regional influence. He is generally regarded as both a consummate political dealmaker and a security-minded nationalist.

**National Unity (HaMachane HaMamlachi) – Opposition (12 seats)**

Merger of centrist Blue and White (led by Benny Gantz) and right-of-center New Hope (led by Gideon Sa’ar) parties. Seeks to draw contrasts with Netanyahu-led Likud by claiming support for long-standing Israeli institutions such as the judiciary and for an inclusive vision of Israeli nationalism for Jewish and non-Jewish citizens. Varying views on Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

**Leader: Benny Gantz**

Born in 1959, Gantz served as chief of general staff of the Israel Defense Forces from 2011 to 2015. He then served as defense minister from 2020 to 2022.

**Religious Zionism (HaTzionut HaDatit) – Coalition (7 seats)**

Ultra-nationalist party with focus on expanding settlements, supporting annexation of West Bank areas, and aligning Israeli societal practices with traditional Jewish religious law. Elected on a common slate with Jewish Power and Noam.

**Leader: Bezalel Smotrich**

Born in 1980, Smotrich is Israel’s finance minister, as well as a minister within the defense ministry with some responsibilities over West Bank administration. He has headed the underlying party that leads Religious Zionism since 2019. A trained lawyer, he has engaged in regular activism to promote Jewish nationalist and religiously conservative causes.

**Jewish Power (Otzma Yehudit) – Coalition (6 seats)**


**Leader: Itamar Ben Gvir**

Born in 1976, Ben Gvir is Israel’s national security minister. He once belonged to Kach, a movement based on the racist ideology of former Knesset member Meir Kahane (1932-1990) that was finally banned from elections in the 1990s. Ben Gvir was convicted in 2007 for incitement to racism and supporting terrorism but says that he has moderated his positions and does not generalize about Arabs. He is a lawyer and has regularly represented Jewish nationalist activists. Ben Gvir has been a regular fixture at contentious gatherings of Jews and Arabs in Jerusalem.

**Yisrael Beitenu (Israel Our Home) – Opposition (6 seats)**

Pro-secular, right-of-center nationalist party with base of support among Russian speakers from the former Soviet Union.

**Leader: Avigdor Lieberman**

Born in 1958, Lieberman has previously served as Israel’s defense minister, foreign minister, and finance minister. He is generally viewed as an ardent nationalist and canny political actor with prime ministerial aspirations. Lieberman was born in the Soviet Union (in what is now Moldova) and immigrated to Israel in 1978. He worked under Netanyahu from 1988 to 1997. Disillusioned by Netanyahu’s willingness to consider concessions to the Palestinians, Lieberman founded Yisrael Beitenu as a platform for former Soviet immigrants. He was acquitted of corruption allegations in a 2013 case.
Noam (Pleasantness) – Coalition (1 seat)
Ultra-nationalist party with focus on traditional Jewish religious values on family issues (including opposition to LGBTQ rights), Sabbath day observance, and the conversion process. Elected on a common slate with Religious Zionism and Jewish Power.

Leader: Avi Maoz
Born in 1956, Maoz is a former civil servant who later turned to politics. He has headed Noam since its establishment in 2019. In the current government, he nominally headed an office in the prime minister’s office devoted to Jewish identity, but resigned from that post in February 2023 based on concerns that he was not given the authority to change policy as he desired.

LEFT

Labor (Avoda) – Opposition (4 seats)
Labor is Israel’s historical repository of social democratic, left-of-center, pro-secular Zionist ideology; associated with efforts to end Israel’s responsibility for Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza.

Leader: Merav Michaeli
Born in 1966, Michaeli became Labor’s leader in 2020 and was first elected to the Knesset in 2013. She served as transportation minister in the 2021-2022 coalition.

Before entering national politics, she founded and headed an organization that supports victims of sexual assault and was a regular national media presence and university lecturer.

CENTER

Yesh Atid (There Is a Future) – Opposition (24 seats)
Yesh Atid is a centrist party in existence since 2012 that has championed socioeconomic issues such as cost of living and has taken a pro-secular stance.

Leader: Yair Lapid
Born in 1963, Lapid transitioned from a successful media career to politics in 2013, when he founded Yesh Atid. In the 2013 election, Yesh Atid had a surprising second-place finish and Lapid served as finance minister in the Netanyahu-led government from 2013 to 2015. Subsequently, Lapid has avoided allying with Netanyahu, and Yesh Atid appears to have displaced the Labor party as the leading political option for Israelis who do not support right-of-center parties. Lapid has stated support for a two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. He served as foreign minister and then prime minister in the 2021-2022 coalition.

ULTRA-ORTHODOX

Shas (Sephardic Torah Guardians) – Coalition (11 seats)
Mizrahi Haredi (“ultra-Orthodox”) party; favors welfare and education funds in support of Haredi lifestyle; opposes compromise with Palestinians on control over Jerusalem.

Leader: Aryeh Deri
Born in 1959, Deri led Shas from 1983 to 1999 before being convicted for bribery, fraud, and breach of trust in 1999 for actions taken while serving as interior minister. He returned as the party’s leader in 2013. As part of a plea deal for tax fraud in January 2022, Deri agreed to resign from the Knesset, but returned in the November 2022 election. In January 2023, Israel’s High Court of Justice ruled that he could not serve as interior and health minister in the current government because he had indicated in the 2022 plea deal that he would permanently leave politics.

United Torah Judaism – Coalition (7 seats)
Ashkenazi Haredi coalition (Agudat Yisrael and Degel HaTorah); favors welfare and education funds in support of Haredi lifestyle; opposes territorial compromise with Palestinians and conscription of Haredim; generally seeks greater application of its interpretation of traditional Jewish law.

Leader: Yitzhak Goldknopf
Born in 1951, Goldknopf is Israel’s construction and housing minister. He has been prominent in the ultra-Orthodox community as an operator of kindergartens and day care centers, and as an advocate for legal measures to enforce Sabbath observance.
### ARAB

**Hadash-Ta’al** – Opposition (5 seats)
Electoral slate featuring two Arab parties that combine socialist and Arab nationalist political strains: Hadash (Democratic Front for Peace and Equality) and Ta’al (Arab Movement for Renewal).

**Leader: Ayman Odeh**
Born in 1975, Odeh is the leader of Hadash, an Arab Israeli socialist party, along with the overall Hadash-Ta’al slate. An attorney, he served on the Haifa city council before becoming Hadash’s national leader in 2006.

**United Arab List (UAL or Ra’am)** – Opposition (5 seats)
Islamist Arab party that embodies conservative social values while seeking state support to improve Arabs’ socioeconomic position within Israel.

**Leader: Mansour Abbas**
Born in 1974, Abbas has led the UAL since 2007 and is a qualified dentist. He led the UAL into the previous 2021-2022 coalition after receiving promises that the government would focus more resources and attention on socioeconomic help for Arab Israelis.

**Sources:** Various open sources.
Appendix C. Israel-Lebanon Maritime Agreement

Despite the lack of formal Israel-Lebanon relations, on October 11, 2022, Israel, Lebanon, and the United States announced that they had reached an agreement to settle a long-standing Israel-Lebanon maritime boundary dispute. The agreement paves the way for both countries to eventually increase offshore gas production. The deal also recognizes an existing 5 km buoy line extending into the Mediterranean as the status quo pending a formal future Israel-Lebanon agreement (see Figure C-1). According to a senior Biden Administration official

This is not a direct bilateral agreement. It is through the United States. But it is marking a boundary that will allow both countries to pursue their economic interests without conflict.226

On October 27, the parties signed documents to begin implementation of the deal.227 Reportedly, President Biden drafted a letter to Israel guaranteeing Israel’s security and economic rights in the agreement and pledging to prevent Hezbollah from receiving any income from Lebanese natural gas drilling.228

---


Under the agreement, Lebanon has full rights to the Qana gas field—with the caveat that a future side agreement between Israel and Lebanon’s Block 9 operator (the French company Total) will settle any revenues granted to Israel in the case of gas production in the section of the Qana field that falls into Israel’s Block 72. It is only after this side agreement that initial exploration can begin at Qana, with regular extraction likely beginning several years after that.\(^\text{229}\)

The terms of the deal leave the Karish gas field—from which Israel started extracting gas shortly before the deal’s signing—completely within Israel’s exclusive economic zone. Hezbollah had threatened attacks against Israel if extraction at Karish began before resolving the dispute.

---

\(^{229}\) Lazar Berman, “Israeli official: Development of disputed Qana gas field will take four stages,” *Times of Israel*, October 12, 2022.
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