

Updated February 17, 2023

Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Program

Background

Under the initial authorization for the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program (Title I of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, P.L. 103-322, “the 1994 Crime Act”), grants could be awarded for (1) hiring new police officers or rehiring police officers who have been laid off to engage in community policing, (2) hiring former members of the armed services to serve as career law enforcement officers engaged in community policing, and (3) supporting community policing nonhiring initiatives, such as training law enforcement officers in crime prevention and community policing techniques or developing technologies that support crime prevention strategies.

The COPS program was reauthorized by the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (P.L. 109-162). The act changed the COPS program from a multi-grant program to a single-grant program under which DOJ can make grants to state, local, and tribal governments, and other public and private entities for a variety of enumerated purposes (see 34 U.S.C. §10381(b)).

Congress has continued to appropriate funding for the COPS program even though authorized appropriations for the program expired in FY2009.

COPS Funding

From FY1995 to FY1999, the annual appropriation for the COPS program averaged nearly \$1.4 billion. The relatively high levels of funding during this time period, relative to post-FY2000 appropriations, were largely the result of efforts to place 100,000 new law enforcement officers on the streets.

After the initial push to hire and fund 100,000 new law enforcement officers, Congress started to change the COPS program into a conduit for supporting a wider range of local law enforcement needs. Starting in FY1998, an increasing portion of the annual appropriation for COPS was dedicated to programs that helped law enforcement agencies purchase new equipment, combat methamphetamine production, upgrade criminal history record systems, and improve their forensic science capabilities. Increasing funding for nonhiring initiatives under the COPS account coincided with decreasing funding for hiring programs. By FY2005, appropriations for hiring programs were nearly nonexistent, and Congress eliminated funding for them for FY2006 and FY2007. Funding for hiring programs was revived when the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5) provided \$1 billion for the COPS program. Appropriations for hiring programs from FY2009 to FY2012 were the result of Congress’s efforts to help local law enforcement agencies facing budget cuts as a result of the recession either hire new law enforcement officers or

retain officers they would otherwise have to lay off. Appropriations continued to be provided for hiring programs even as the effects of that recession waned.

There was a notable reduction in the total amount of funding provided for the COPS program since FY2012 relative to previous fiscal years. Prior to FY2012, the least amount of annual funding (in nominal dollars) provided for the COPS program was \$472 million for FY2006. Although COPS program funding has increased over the past several fiscal years (see **Table 1**), annual funding has remained significantly lower than it was before FY2012. Lower annual appropriations for the COPS program since FY2012 can be attributed to three trends: (1) a decrease in the amount of funding provided for hiring programs, (2) the ban on congressionally directed spending, and (3) Congress’s restructuring of the COPS account. (Recent increases in annual COPS funding is attributable to a partial reversal of some of these trends.)

Congress had a ban on congressionally directed spending from FY2011 to FY2021. This ban substantially decreased funding for the Law Enforcement Technology and the Methamphetamine Clean-up programs, which were being administered by the COPS Office. By FY2012, Congress did not appropriate any funding for the Law Enforcement Technology program and the only funding remaining for the Methamphetamine Clean-up program was transferred to the Drug Enforcement Administration to assist with the clean-up of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Congress ceased providing funding for the Methamphetamine Clean-up program in FY2018.

From FY2010 to FY2012, Congress moved appropriations for several programs that were funded under the COPS account—such as Project Safe Neighborhoods, DNA backlog reduction initiatives, Paul Coverdell grants, offender reentry programs, the National Criminal History Improvement program, and the Bulletproof Vest Grant program—to the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance (S&LLEA) account. Programs funded under this account are administered by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). Prior to the programs being moved to the S&LLEA, appropriations for them were transferred from the COPS Office to OJP for administration. In general, from FY2001 to FY2011, appropriations for programs that were transferred to OJP accounted for one-third to one-half of the annual funding for the COPS account. In recent fiscal years, differing proportions of the COPS account has again been transferred to OJP. Since FY2017, Congress has provided funding for the Regional Information Sharing System (RISS) program under the COPS account, which is transferred to OJP.

Funding for the COPS program has increased in the last seven fiscal years, from \$208 million for FY2015 to \$663

million for FY2023. Increased funding for the COPS account is the result of Congress increasing funding for hiring programs, and for anti-heroin task forces, active shooter training, and grants under the Matching Grant Program for School Security. Also, for FY2022 and FY2023 Congress provided funding for the COPS Law Enforcement Technology program for the first time since FY2010.

Table I. COPS's Total Budget Authority, Funding for Hiring Programs, and Transfers to OJP, FY2014-FY2023

Amounts in millions of nominal dollars

Fiscal Year	Total Budget Authority	Funding for Hiring Programs	Proportion Transferred to OJP
2014	214	151	0%
2015	208	135	0%
2016	212	137	0%
2017	222	137	14%
2018	276	150	13%
2019	304	153	12%
2020	343	156	11%
2021	386	157	10%
2022	512	157	6%
2023	663	225	7%

Source: FY2014-FY2017 appropriations were provided by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; FY2018-FY2023 appropriations were taken from the explanatory statement to accompany the annual Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act.

Notes: Total budget authority includes carryover from previous fiscal years.

Debate over the COPS Program, Law Enforcement Reform, and Violent Crime

Recently, funding for the COPS program, especially the hiring program, has become a flashpoint in the debate over policing reform. Some proponents of law enforcement reform argue that law enforcement agencies police communities of color in a biased way, and more police officers means that more people of color, especially Black men, could be arrested for relatively minor offenses. Proponents of reform argue that funding should be used for programs that address social problems (e.g., poverty, homelessness, lack of access to mental health care) in order

to reduce involvement with the criminal justice system rather than increasing the number of police officers.

President Biden has indicated his support for the COPS program as a way to promote law enforcement reform through community policing efforts. In his plan for criminal justice reform, President Biden states

policing works best when officers are out of their cruisers and walking the streets, engaging with and getting to know members of their communities. But in order to do that, police departments need resources to hire a sufficient number of officers.... However, the [COPS] program has never been funded to fulfill the original vision for community policing.... As a condition of the grant, hiring of police officers must mirror the racial diversity of the community they serve.

Since FY2021, funding has been provided under the COPS account for programs to promote policing reform efforts, such as funding for de-escalation training, improving law enforcement's response to people with mental health problems, helping law enforcement agencies gain accreditation, and anti-bias and diversity training. While there was also continued funding for the COPS hiring program, for FY2022 the COPS Office gave additional consideration to applicants that indicated they would use funding to recruit officers from the communities in which they would serve and who are willing to relocate to areas characterized by fragmented relations between police and community residents or to areas of high crime.

The COPS program has also been integral to the debate over what steps Congress can take to aid state and local governments in their efforts to address violent crime. While there is debate over whether additional police officers alone can help reduce violent crime (see CRS In Focus IF12022, *State and Local Law Enforcement Officer Staffing* for a brief discussion), some policymakers have argued for additional funds for the COPS hiring program as one avenue to help cities address increases in violent crime. In its FY2023 congressional budget submission for the COPS program, the Biden Administration requested increased funding for the COPS hiring program to "put additional officers on the nation's streets to assist in improving public safety" and to support law enforcement efforts that are "designed to mitigate homicides, gun violence, and other criminal activity, while simultaneously promoting trust and cooperation with community residents to identify prolific offenders and reduce crime." Some proponents of policing reform efforts argue that (1) policymakers have emphasized the need to increase the number of law enforcement officers as a means of tackling violent crime when they have not taken sufficient steps to address how the police treat people of color and (2) the focus on recent increases in violent crime in certain U.S. cities is detracting from efforts to follow through on law enforcement reform proposals.

Nathan James, Acting Section Research Manager

IF10922

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.