Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT): Section 6902 Payments

Introduction
Several federal programs exist to compensate local jurisdictions for the presence of nontaxable federal lands. The widest-ranging program is the Department of the Interior’s (DOI’s) Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) program. PILT compensates units of general local government for selected lands administered by several federal agencies. Units of general local government are generally referred to as counties, although a unit could be other than a county. In FY2023, PILT paid $578.8 million to more than 1,900 counties across 49 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

PILT funding is allocated through three payment mechanisms—Section 6902, Section 6904, and Section 6905—which are named for the sections of law in which they are authorized (31 U.S.C. §§6902, 6904, 6905). Section 6902 payments account for the majority of PILT payments and are available to the most counties. Section 6904 and 6905 payments account for less than 1% of PILT payments, are supplementary to Section 6902 payments, and are made to selected counties for specific purposes as identified in statute. This CRS product discusses Section 6902 payments.

Payment Formula
Section 6902 payments are made to counties pursuant to a formula provided in statute (Figure 1). Several factors are used to calculate the authorized PILT payment amount for a county: entitlement land and per acre payment rates, population-based payment ceilings, prior-year non-PILT payments, pass-through laws, and inflation. These factors are described below. Additionally, the level of funding available each year for PILT payments determines whether counties receive the fully authorized payment amount or a lesser, prorated amount.

Entitlement Land and Per Acre Payment Rates: Lands eligible under PILT are referred to as entitlement lands in statute (31 U.S.C. §6901) and include federal lands
- in the National Park System;
- in the National Forest System;
- administered by the Bureau of Land Management;
- in the National Wildlife Refuge System withdrawn from the public domain;
- dedicated to the use of federal water resources development projects;
- used for certain dredge disposal areas;
- located near Purgatory River Canyon and Piñon Canyon, CO, that were acquired after December 31, 1981, to expand the Fort Carson military reservation;
- on which are located semi-active or inactive Army installations used for certain purposes; and
- acquired per the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act (P.L. 105-263).

The first step in calculating authorized payments is determining the number of entitlement acres within a county, which is the responsibility of the various federal agencies that administer the lands (Figure 1, Step A). Taking into account a population-based payment ceiling as described below (Figure 1, Step B), a county’s authorized payment is calculated by multiplying the number of entitlement acres by one of two per acre payment rates—a higher rate offset by prior-year payments (Alternative A) or a non-offset lower rate (Alternative B) (Figure 1, Step C). The higher amount is the authorized payment.
Population-Based Payment Ceiling: The law limits the payment a county is authorized to receive based on a population-based payment ceiling. This ceiling is calculated by multiplying a county’s population by a corresponding per capita payment rate, as described below (Figure 1, Step B). As a county’s population increases, the per capita payment rate generally decreases, although the ceiling generally increases (Figure 2).

For counties with a population of 5,000 or larger, the population is rounded to the nearest 1,000. For counties with populations smaller than 5,000, the actual population is multiplied by the per capita rate for a county with a population of 5,000. Counties with populations greater than 50,000 have the same ceiling as counties with populations of 50,000.

Prior-Year Non-PILT Payments: The PILT formula accounts for counties receiving certain other federal land based payments. Under Alternative A, PILT requires the amounts received in the prior year pursuant to these payments to be subtracted from the payment amount calculated. Prior-year payments are considered from several federal programs identified in statute (31 U.S.C. §6903(a)). Prior-year payments are subtracted only under Alternative A and only when a state has not implemented pass-through laws as described below (Figure 1, Step C, Alternative A).

Pass-Through Laws: States may have pass-through laws that require federal payments under selected compensation programs to automatically pass through the county to specified local jurisdictions or districts (such as school districts). Only prior-year payments under other programs made at the county level are deducted during Alternative A calculations; payments subject to pass-through laws do not count as prior-year payments and are not deducted.

Inflation: Per acre payment rates used in Alternatives A and B and per capita payment rates used for population-based payment ceilings are adjusted for inflation annually based on the Consumer Price Index (31 U.S.C. §6903(d)).

Alternative A or Alternative B: A county’s authorized payment is the higher of either Alternative A or Alternative B. Alternative A has a higher per acre payment rate but accounts for prior-year payments, which are subtracted during the payment calculation. Alternative B has a lower per acre rate, but prior-year payments are not subtracted. Under both alternatives, if the product of multiplying the number of entitlement acres by the per acre payment rate is greater than the population-based payment ceiling, the population-based ceiling is used to calculate a county’s authorized payment (Figure 1, Step C).

Appropriations
The DOI Office of the Secretary calculates authorized PILT payments each fiscal year pursuant to the statutory formula. However, the amount available for disbursement ultimately depends on how much funding is appropriated for PILT (Figure 1, Step D). Over the years, PILT has been funded through either discretionary or mandatory appropriations or both. Appropriations have been equal to or very near the authorized amount in some years and substantially less than the authorized amount in others. In years when funding for PILT payments is less than the authorized amount, county payments typically have been prorated to accommodate the difference (Figure 1, Step E). The amount of proration depends on the difference between the authorized and appropriated amounts. For example, a county’s prorated...
A payment can be substantially less than its authorized payment if there is a substantial difference between the authorized and appropriated amounts. A county’s prorated payment can be nearly equal to the authorized payment when appropriated funding for county payments is only slightly less than the authorized amount, such as when the deviation results only from a set-aside for program administration.

Annual discretionary appropriations laws also have provided requirements for PILT payments. For example, they have restricted county payments authorized for less than $100, allowed payments to be prorated if appropriated funding is insufficient to cover authorized amounts, and set aside funding to be used for program administration.

### FY2023 Payments
Section 114 of Division G of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023 (P.L. 117-328), provided for PILT to be funded at the authorized amount for FY2023. P.L. 117-328, Division G, provided additional stipulations, including that up to $400,000 may be used for administration and payments may be prorated, if necessary. DOI issued the FY2023 PILT payments in June 2023.

For more information, see CRS Report R46260, *The Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) Program: An Overview.*
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