



Updated June 30, 2023

The 3.8% Net Investment Income Tax: Overview, Data, and Policy Options

Since 2013, certain higher-income individuals have been subject to a 3.8% “unearned income Medicare contribution” tax, more commonly referred to as the net investment income tax (NIIT). The statutory authority for the tax is included in Internal Revenue Code Section 1411. The tax was included as a revenue-raising offset in the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (HCERA, P.L. 111-152), shortly after the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148) was signed into law. These two laws are commonly referred to as the Affordable Care Act or ACA.

Policymakers may consider modifications to the NIIT to raise revenue, address income inequality concerns, or both. Repeal of the NIIT is also a policy option. This In Focus provides an overview of the tax, presents data on the distribution of the tax, and considers several policy options.

Overview of the NIIT

The NIIT is equal to 3.8% of the net investment income of individuals, estates, and certain trusts. Net investment income includes interest, dividends, annuities, royalties, certain rents, and certain other passive business income not subject to the corporate tax. Net investment income also includes the amount of capital gain resulting from a home sale that exceeds the amount that can be excluded from taxation (\$250,000 for single and head of household filers, \$500,000 for married joint filers). Net investment income does not include wages, unemployment compensation, nonpassive business income, Social Security benefits, alimony, tax-exempt interest, and distributions from some tax-preferred retirement accounts; for example, 401(k)s, 403(b)s, and 457(b)s.

The tax applies to taxpayers with modified adjusted gross income (MAGI) in excess of \$200,000 if single or head of household and \$250,000 if married filing jointly (\$125,000 for married filing separately). The income thresholds are not adjusted annually for inflation. For taxpayers without foreign-source income, MAGI generally equals adjusted gross income (AGI). Hence, MAGI includes wages, salaries, tips, and other compensation; dividend and interest income; business and farm income; realized capital gains; retirement distributions; and income from a variety of other passive activities and certain foreign-earned income.

For those subject to the NIIT, the amount of tax owed is equal to 3.8% multiplied by the lesser of

- net investment income, or
- the amount by which their MAGI exceeds \$200,000/\$250,000.

Revenue Raised and Number of Taxpayers Subject to the NIIT

The most recent aggregate data from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) show that the NIIT raised \$59.8 billion (preliminary figure) in tax year 2021. This represents a \$43.3 billion increase from the \$16.5 billion in revenue the tax raised in its first year, not adjusted for inflation. Over the same time period, the number of taxpayers subject to the tax increased from 3.1 million to 7.3 million.

The increase in both the revenue generated by the tax and the number of taxpayers subject to the tax can be partly explained by the fact that the \$200,000/\$250,000 income thresholds are not indexed for inflation. Additionally, increases in net investment income, including from higher nominal and real (inflation-adjusted) returns to investment, have resulted in more taxpayers and more income becoming subject to the tax.

Distribution of NIIT Paid

By design, the tax is mostly paid by higher-income households. **Table 1** shows taxpayers with income of \$10 million or more paid 31.5% of the total NIIT collected in tax year 2019 (the most recent tax year for which detailed distributional data are available). These individuals paid \$449,642 in NIIT on average in 2019. **Table 1** also shows those making between \$200,000 and \$500,000 accounted for the majority of taxpayers (69.6%) subject to the 3.8% tax. The average amount of NIIT paid by these taxpayers in 2019 was \$1,054. Across all taxpayers subject to the NIIT in 2019, the average amount of tax paid was \$5,202.

Table 1. Distribution of Net Investment Income Tax, 2019

Adjusted Gross Income	Share of Returns with Tax	Share of NIIT Paid
Less than \$100k	0.2%	0.0%
\$100k under \$200k	1.7%	0.1%
\$200k under \$500k	69.6%	14.1%
\$500k under \$1 million	19.0%	15.7%
\$1 million under \$2 million	6.1%	13.6%
\$2 million under \$5 million	2.5%	15.0%
\$5 million under \$10 million	0.6%	9.8%
\$10 million or more	0.4%	31.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income, Table 3.3.

Policy Options

A number of options pertaining to the NIIT are available depending on the objective of policymakers. One option is to leave the tax in its current form. The following discusses possible modifications to the tax.

Eliminate the Tax

One option would be to eliminate the tax. This change would reduce the tax burden on investment, which, to the extent investment is lower than it otherwise would be, could increase investment. Eliminating the tax would also reduce the progressivity of the overall tax system given that it is mostly paid by higher-income households. Repealing the tax would also reduce complexity in the tax code.

Repealing the tax would decrease federal tax revenues. As previously discussed, IRS data reveals that the NIIT raised \$59.8 billion in tax year 2021. Estimates by the Joint Committee on Taxation for more recent years suggest that NIIT repeal would reduce revenues by approximately \$50.8 billion annually between 2022 and 2026.

Adjust the Tax Rate

Adjusting the NIIT rate could help to achieve certain policy goals. Lowering the rate would help to address concerns about the tax's impact on investment. Raising the tax rate would likely generate greater tax revenue and increase progressivity in the tax system. Adjusting the tax in either direction would not impact the administrative aspects of the tax, assuming no other changes were made. One issue in raising the tax rate is that it could increase the lock-in effect for capital gains (the incentive to hold on to assets rather than sell them), although there is disagreement about the size of the lock-in effect.

Adjust the Income Thresholds

As with adjusting the tax rate, adjusting the income thresholds could help policymakers achieve particular objectives. Lowering the income thresholds would result in more households being subject to the tax, and hence, more revenue. This change could also decrease progressivity in the tax code and increase complexity from the perspective of those who would be subject to the tax. Increasing the income thresholds would result in fewer households subject

to the tax, and hence, less revenue. This would increase progressivity in the tax code, since it would concentrate the incidence on the highest-income taxpayers and reduce complexity for those taxpayers now no longer subject to the tax.

Index for Inflation

The NIIT income thresholds are not indexed for inflation. As a result, more taxpayers become subject to the tax over time regardless of whether their real (inflation-adjusted) income has increased, or increased significantly. Indexing the income thresholds would address this issue. Other components of the tax code, such as the ordinary income tax brackets and the standard deduction, are indexed for inflation.

Expand the Measure of Income Subject to Tax

The owners of limited partnerships and S corporations—two forms of business known as “pass-throughs” and that are not subject to the corporate income tax—may be able to avoid the NIIT if they are actively involved in the business. Such owners may also be able to avoid the additional 0.9% Medicare tax that applies to wages and self-employment income above \$200,000 for single filers and \$250,000 for married individuals filing jointly. These thresholds are the same ones that apply to the NIIT.

In its most recent *Options for Reducing the Deficit*, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) presented the option of expanding the amount of income subject to the 3.8% tax. Specifically, the option would subject the active income of high-income partners and S corporation owners that is not already subject to Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) or Self-Employed Contributions Act (SECA) tax to the NIIT. The high-income thresholds would be \$200,000 (single) and \$250,000 (married filing jointly). The JCT estimates provided to the CBO suggest this change could raise \$248.9 billion over 10 years.

Mark P. Keightley, Specialist in Economics

IF11820

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.