



# Military Suicide Prevention and Response

## Background

When a servicemember dies by suicide, those close to the member often experience shock, anger, guilt, and sorrow. As such, a servicemember’s suicide may adversely impact the wellbeing of his or her family and friends. Further, it may affect the morale and readiness of his or her unit. The military’s response to suicidal thoughts (ideation), attempts, and deaths involves coordinated efforts among command and unit leadership, medical professionals, counselors, and others across the military community.

Under its constitutional authority to organize and regulate the military, Congress has oversight over this issue and may consider policy interventions to mitigate suicide risk factors.

## Defense Suicide Prevention Office

The Defense Suicide Prevention Office (DSPO), established in 2012, is the office responsible for “advocacy, program oversight, and policy for Department of Defense (DOD) suicide prevention, intervention and postvention efforts to reduce suicidal behaviors in servicemembers, civilians and their families.” The office also collects and reports surveillance data in an annual DOD Suicide Event Report (DoDSER) and quarterly DOD military suicide reports.

## Prevalence Rates

According to DOD reports, in calendar year (CY) 2021 (the most recently available data), 519 servicemembers died by suicide; including 328 deaths in the Active Component (AC), 74 in the Reserves, and 117 in the National Guard (see **Table 1**). While suicide remains a low-incidence event, AC suicide rates have generally trended upwards since 2013. In 2021, suicide rates in the National Guard showed a similar rate from the previous year; in the longer term there are no discernable trends.

In terms of demographics, over 93% of military suicide deaths are men, and approximately half of reported suicides are junior enlisted personnel (E1-E4). DOD asserts that over the past few years, enlisted men under the age of 30 have been “at higher risk for suicide” compared to the total military population.

## Comparison to the General Population

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the suicide mortality rate for the U.S. general population was 14.1 per 100,000 in 2021—markedly lower than the 2021 AC rate of 24.3 per 100,000. However, direct comparisons between the general civilian population and the military can be deceiving, as the military services are disproportionately comprised of younger individuals and more males. These sub-populations are generally at higher risk for suicide.

**Table 1. Unadjusted Suicide Mortality Rates by Service and Component, CY2016-CY2021**  
(rate per 100,000 personnel)

Service	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Active Total</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>22.1</b>	<b>24.9</b>	<b>26.3</b>	<b>28.7</b>	<b>24.3</b>
Army	27.4	24.7	29.9	30.5	36.2	36.3
Marine Corps	20.1	23.4	30.8	25.3	34.5	23.9
Navy	15.9	20.1	20.7	22.1	19	16.7
Air Force	19.4	19.6	18.5	25.1	24.6	15.3
<b>Reserve Total</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>25.7</b>	<b>22.9</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>21.7</b>	<b>21.2</b>
Army Reserve	20.6	32.1	25.3	19.4	22.2	24.2
Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps Reserve rates are not reported (nr) by DOD when the suicide count is less than 20 due to statistical instability.						
<b>Natl Guard Total</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>30.6</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>26.4</b>
Army Guard	31.6	35.5	35.3	22.9	31.5	30.3
Air Guard	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr

**Source:** Compiled by CRS from Annual Suicide Reports and DOD Suicide Event Reports.

**Note:** Changes in suicide rates from CY2020 to CY2021 are statistically significant for the AC, but are not significantly significant for the Reserves and National Guard. DOD reported that to date, there have been no suicide deaths for the Space Force.

## Military-Specific Suicide Risk Factors

While servicemembers are already a high-risk population for suicide due to the demographic composition, the exposure to unique demands of military service are also associated with greater risk factors for this population:

**Mental Health Conditions.** Exposure to combat and high-stress environments is associated with higher rates of mental health diagnoses, such as depression, anxiety disorders, moral injury, and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD).

**Military Culture.** Aspects of military culture that value toughness and resiliency may discourage help-seeking behavior. Studies have shown that some servicemembers perceive a stigma attached to seeking mental health care,

and express concerns that seeking care will harm their career opportunities.

**Head Trauma/Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI).** Research shows increased suicide ideation, attempt, and death rates among people who have experienced head trauma. Deployed military members may sustain concussive injuries as a result of exposure to explosive blasts.

**Substance Abuse and Associated Disorders.** Evidence indicates elevated risk of death by suicide among people with substance-use disorders, including heavy alcohol use. While illicit drug use is not prevalent in the military, surveys have shown that a higher percentage of military personnel report heavy alcohol use compared to similar civilian cohorts.

**Access to Firearms.** Studies have shown that having a loaded firearm in the home increases the risk of suicide death by four to six times. Servicemembers generally have more exposure to firearms than the civilian population and are more likely to own a personal firearm. Firearms are the most common method of suicide death among military populations, accounting for 76.1% of all CY2021 suicides in the National Guard, 74.3% in the Reserves, and 67.1% in the Active Component.

**Interpersonal Relationships.** DOD reported in CY2021 that the most common contextual factor in both suicide and suicide-attempts were difficulties with an intimate relationship. Though these difficulties are not unique to military service, frequent separation due to training or deployments may contribute to relationship tensions.

**Funding**

Congress funds DOD suicide prevention programs, oversight, and research through its annual defense appropriation. The Defense Health Program account primarily funds most of DOD’s suicide prevention research and, in the past, has received additional funds through the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program (CDMRP).

In FY2023, Congress appropriated \$175 million for the CDMRP’s psychological health and TBI research portfolio, which includes the Military Suicide Research Consortium and components of the Psychological Health Center of Excellence (PHCoE) and the Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence (TBICoE). PHCoE conducts research and integrates evidence-based treatments to address mental health conditions, including suicide. TBICoE conducts research and integrates evidence-based treatments to address mild to severe TBI. DSPO was funded at \$28.2 million in FY2023.

The military services, components, and activities, also fund suicide prevention and resiliency activities as part of family and community support programs through Operation and Maintenance accounts (e.g., the Army’s Ready and Resilient Campaign or the Special Operations Command Preservation of the Force and Family initiative).

**Legislative Actions**

Congress has taken actions to enhance and expand DOD suicide prevention policies and programs (see **Table 2**). These actions have included strengthening DOD oversight and increasing data collection, reporting, and analysis.

Other legislation has sought to improve outreach, awareness, and resiliency, particularly among certain military communities deemed to be at high risk for suicide.

**Table 2. Selected Legislation, FY2011-FY2022**

Authority	Action
FY2011 NDAA (P.L. 110-417)	Required DOD to establish a task force to examine suicide prevention and develop a comprehensive suicide prevention policy.
FY2012 NDAA (P.L. 112-81)	Required DOD to enhance its suicide prevention program in cooperation with other government stakeholders and to include suicide prevention information in pre-separation counseling.
FY2013 NDAA (P.L. 112-239)	Established a DOD oversight position for suicide prevention programs and expanded programs to Reserve Component (RC) members and their families.  Allowed a member’s health professional or commanding officer to inquire if the member owns or plans to acquire any weapons if reasonable belief exists that the member is at high risk for suicide or harm to others.
FY2015 NDAA (P.L. 113-291)	Required DOD to prescribe standards for data collection and reporting related to suicides and suicide attempts to include reporting for military dependents, and directed a review of suicide prevention programs for Special Operations Forces.
FY2016 NDAA (P.L. 114-92)	Authorized DOD to coordinate its efforts with nongovernmental organizations and expanded outreach to separating members.
FY2020 NDAA (P.L. 116-92)	Authorized a pilot suicide prevention program for the National Guard using a mobile application.
FY2021 NDAA (P.L. 116-283)	Made RC prevention and resiliency programs permanent and required a multidisciplinary review of suicide events.
FY2022 NDAA (P.L. 117-81)	Established the Suicide Prevention and Response Independent Review Committee.

**Source:** CRS consolidation of relevant legislation.

**Considerations for Congress**

Oversight questions for Congress with regard to military suicide and resiliency may include

- How can research be better disseminated and brought into practice?
- On what aspects of the issue should future congressionally funded research efforts focus?
- What factors contribute to differences in suicide rates among the services, components, and demographics?
- Are high-risk military members and communities being identified and do they have access to appropriate and/or tailored services?
- How does DOD measure program effectiveness?

**Kristy N. Kamarck**, Specialist in Military Manpower  
**Bryce H. P. Mendez**, Specialist in Defense Health Care Policy

## Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.