

A-91-048 125-15 = F.16
Browne
Sorenson +
Pennino, son

LANL
Access #
R-A1-048
125-15
File #
H-205

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS 1 PAGE(S)
NO. 2 OF 5 COPIES, SERIES A

December 15, 1954

Classification changed to Unclassified
by authority of the U.S.D.O.E.

Per M. Paulkatz, TSM, FSS-16, 6/9/94
(Sig. of person authorizing change, title, org., date)

Mark M. Paulkatz TSM FSS-16 6/9/94
(Sig. of second reviewer, title, organization, date)

Dr. John C. Bugher
Division of Biology and Medicine
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear John:

I will not be able to attend the meeting in Washington on the 20th but Wright is planning to be with you. I appreciate your sending to me the list of proposed projects. There is one of these, Project 37.4, which I will question. In the first place, I do not see how these people can hope to find any place in which their animals would receive from fallout doses in the general vicinity of 500 r. As Payne points out, this is supposed to be the operation without any fallout. It seems to us that exposures of this magnitude will be almost impossible to find unless they include some exposure from initial radiation from the fireball. Such an exposure would automatically include undesirable thermal and blast effects. Furthermore, any feeling that these people can arrive at EFC on D-2, survey the territory to choose locations for their animals on D-1, and place these animals in the field at H-4 is simply naive.

The other portion of this study, Project 37.3, also strikes me as being of questionable wisdom. In the first place, they are attempting to do very much the same thing that Howard Andrews did with his sheep. I do not see how their results can fail to be any less disappointing. Purely by luck, good or bad, we did manage to get some unusually good results on humans at Rongelap.

I will be thoroughly surprised if either of the two projects mentioned above provide anything in the way of worthwhile data. My opinion about the Blast Project, 33.1, I will keep to myself. I will simply say that I hope the participants have benefited by some of the mistakes they made the last time. To be quite candid, I am not optimistic.

Very sincerely yours,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY THOMAS L. SHIPMAN, M. D.

THOMAS L. SHIPMAN, M. D.,
Health Division Leader

cc: Bugher, cda
Greves, cda
Langham, cda
Pike, cda
MEP, cda
TSA/lns

948
RESTRICTED DATA
This document contains restricted data
as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of
1954. Its transmission to the outside
is contrary to law, and no unauthorized
person is prohibited.

PUBLICLY RELEASEABLE
OS-6
M. Paulkatz 6/9/94