

DOE/DOE/DOE		DETERMINATION OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW
1ST REVIEW DATE: 10-22-87-11		DETERMINATION (CHECK ONE): <input type="checkbox"/> 1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO: <input type="checkbox"/> 3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO <input type="checkbox"/> 4. COORDINATE WITH: <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED <input type="checkbox"/> 6. DIVIDED INTO SEPARATE <input type="checkbox"/> 7. OTHER (SPECIFY):
AUTHORITY: CHOC CHOC CHOC	NAME: [REDACTED]	
2ND REVIEW DATE: 10-7-97	ADD:	
AUTHORITY: ADD	NAME: [REDACTED]	

Sanitized by NN-523
on 2/5/98

LIVERMORE SITE
Livermore Site
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, California

1
its con

Dear Art:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

It appears that it will be inconvenient for us to get together this week, since I have to go back to Los Alamos between shots. Therefore, I thought I would ask a few questions by letter and perhaps you would write something on these subjects to me.

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

I would like very much to see sketches of these areas if you have them, and more particularly, urge that the plans be put in a state such that Campbell can give them to M&N in the very near future.

As you know, there is a meeting on cryogenics in Los Alamos the 27th of May. I do not know whether you and Duane plan to attend this meeting. If you do, perhaps you could plan to come either a day early or stay over a day late so that we could discuss in more detail some of these problems.

I am also concerned with your present impression of the status of the general experimental program of TU 12. In particular, could you give me as well as you know it a listing of the experiments that are definitely being done with some statements about their present condition in the sense of construction requirements and completeness of experimental planning?

For your information, the LASL plans have changed somewhat in the past week.

DELETED

DELETED

LOS ALAMOS



01761791

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. It has been reviewed by the Agency and approved for public release.

Attn 27

DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF 2 PAGE(S)
100 COPIES, SERIES

JH

A. J. Budgine

-2-

17 May 53

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

We should both consider whether this increased size constitutes a hazard to the experimental set-ups on Emissary and Samo.

We are proceeding with respect to the ball of fire photographs on the plan we discussed some time ago. Felt is arranging with M&M a disposition of cameras and towers to cover all of the shots. However, I feel that some time before the camera plan is pronounced final (approximately 15 July) you people should get together with Felt and make sure that you feel that the proposed plan is adequate.

I would still hope that we can get together to discuss these problems in some detail in the next week or two.

Sincerely,

William E. Ogle

WEO:djw

DISTRIBUTION:

- Cpy 1 of 5/A - A. J. Budgine
- Cpy 2 of 5/A - W. E. Ogle
- Cpy 3 of 5/A - J-DIV File
- Cpy 4 of 5/A - JDO Seq
- Cpy 5 of 5/A - LASL M&R