Record Number: <u>350</u>							
File Name (TITLE): <u>Repart of the Commander</u>							
Document Number (ID): $UT - 1359 (DEL)$							
DATE: $\frac{8/1952}{2}$							
Previous Location (FROM):							
AUTHOR: 76-7-1							
Addditional Information:							

19-OrMIbox: ____ CyMIbox: _____





WT-1359

This document consists of 163 pages No. 23 of 130 copies, Series B

REPORT OF THE COMMANDER, TASK GROUP 7.1

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico August 1956





alysis and

range, ;ages obe wave of ect shock uphy of ance data s of an Mach n which a correoredicting

nstrumented surface he free two lines nplex. At urve s, or " e pi remilar 10 high- and and at on was ed gages

it the ared surgetated higher

d with re recorded of-burst

s. Measr by lepth pro-2, diameter)6 ft: and the Island). In addition, tower shot having a high kiloton yield, was surveyed for crater size. The results are not available at this time.

Jeeps were exposed on three events in an investigation of the response of drag-sensitive targets. Good results were obtained and will supplement the damage-prediction curves by including the blast conditions resulting from these particular types of shots.

Water-wave studies were made on the megaton-range devices. Instruments were placed to document the Bikini Lagoon waves, and at distant locations (Ailinginae and Eniwetok Atolis, and Wake and Johnston Islands) to record the long-period ocean waves. Microbarographs were operated at Wake and Johnston to investigate the possibility that these long-period waves are air-coupled. Considerable inundation photography was taken. Wave action from the Bikini Lagoon was less than expected, since the crater did not breach into the ocean or the deep channel and, apparently, there was unexpectedly great convergence and dissipation onto the near islands of the Eninman complex.

These results, based on preliminary analysis in the field, must be considered as tentative. Blast records will be re-examined and reanalyzed at the laboratories. Photographic analysis cannot be accomplished at the proving grounds and must await laboratory study.

However, a general statement of the accomplishment of this program can be made: With the exception of in which the drop error affected the results of those projects participating, the objectives of the Program 1 projects were carried out. On all events a high percentage of instrumentation successfully operated at and through shot time, recording reliable data. Blast data have been obtained which will supplement existing information. These data will extend presently established curves, and will strengthen the knowledge of blast phenomena in areas heretofore only meagerly instrumented or not documented at all.

2.1.2 Program 2, Nuclear Radiation and Effects

Program 2 was concerned with the distribution of radioactivity in the cloud resulting from nuclear explosions and the subsequent fallout of material from the cloud and with various nuclear radiation effects.

Gamma ion chambers were fired into the cloud by high-speed rockets and the resulting gamma-rate data were telemetered to recording stations. Preliminary analysis indicates there is comparatively little activity in the stem region and that cloud activity is in the lower portion of the cloud.

Destroyer escorts and the M/V Horizon were used to delineate the fallout pattern over water and to study the nature of the transport and

dilution of radioactive fallout material in the ocean and Bikini Lagoon. The difficult job of deep-mooring 14 to 17 skiffs in the open ocean to the north of Bikini Atoll was accomplished. The skiff stations were used for fallout collection.

Collecting stations were instrumented on islands of Bikini Atoll, two YFNB's and three rafts anchored in the lagoon, and on three manned ships. Samples collected and studied from early times with respect to gamma and beta activity were also analyzed for chemical and radiochemical composition, and determinations were made of certain of their physical properties, including distribution of particle size. It is certain that this effort will provide a basis for the improvement of theories describing the formation, dispersion, and over-all characteristics of fallout.

Four P2V-5 aircraft were used to survey gamma radiation from falloutcontaminated ocean areas. The data were used to direct survey vessels and for determining contours in producing land-equivalent fallout patterns.

Fallout samples collected from a number of land stations were subjected to radiophysical and radiochemical measurements to determine better the characteristics of in-close fallout material. A gamma-rate meter suspended from a helicopter was successfully used to measure dose-rate contours. A few stations were instrumented in an effort to evaluate the roll of the base surge in transport of radioactive material.

Five B-57B aircraft were used to collect data on radiation dose and aircraft contamination resulting from early penetrations into the clouds and stems of thermonuclear detonations. Twenty-seven penetrations of radiation clouds from megaton-range detonations were made at time anying from 20 to 78 min after detonation and at altitudes from 20,000 to 50,000 ft. The dose rate in the stem was found to be less than the dose rate in the cloud by a factor of 5 to 10. Important information for operational usage was obtained.

Sufficient data on gamma exposure as a function of distance from the point of detonation of various high-yield devices were obtained so that it will eventually be possible to conclude dosage contours and the validity of scaling laws.

A reasonable picture of the initial and the residual gamma intensities as a function of time after the detonation of high-yield devices should be obtained upon postoperational data analysis.

Several types of building surfaces were exposed at various orientations to fallout on the bows of two of the collection ships. Contamination on all events was so low that it was not possible to make good decontamination studies. Surfaces exposed to high fallout fields (Tewa) ended with very little contamination; however, this in itself may give data for the radiological recovery of military installations constructed from such



÷







materials and subjected to fallout from this type of burst.

Results should be forthcoming on the neutron flux and energy spectrum as a function of distance and also as a function of angle from the axis of linear-type devices. Full evaluation of field data should advance the state of knowledge as to the type of protection, if any, required for bomb neutrons.

The experimental determination of the radioactivity from a thermonuclear detonation in various typical soil samples was attempted so that a basis could be obtained for predicting soil radioactivity for a nuclear explosion at any location. No data were obtained because of the bombing error on [[[[[[[[[energy thermology (Cherokee).

Some data were obtained during ship-shielding studies on the relative radiation dose rates contributed by contamination of the air envelope, water envelope, and the ship's weather surfaces.

Phantoms for depth dose measurements, in conjunction with standard dosimeters worn externally, were exposed on two of the fallout ships. Data, primarily from the dosimeter indicate inconsistencies in the dosimeter readings and the biologically significant depth dose.

Investigations on the relative effectiveness and cost of various proposed ship and personnel reclamation methods were made. These studies were primarily conducted in conjunction with the fallout-collection ships.

A proof-test decontamination procedure was conducted on the falloutcollection ships. The procedure consisted of firehosing, hand scrubbing with detergent, and a second firehosing.

Verification was attempted of Washdown Effectiveness as a Shipboard Radiological Countermeasure. The major fallout was encountered during and the effectiveness of the washdown system on the contaminant from this shot is being studied.

2.1.3 Program 3, Structural Response

The primary objective of Program 3 and of the single, sizable Project 3.1 comprising the program was to obtain information regarding the effect of the positive-phase length of blast from nuclear weapons on the response of drag-type and semidrag-type structures. The secondary objective of Project 3.1 was to study further the general problem of drag loading and response of structures to blast forces.

This project on Operation Redwing was actually the second part of a two-part study. The first part was conducted during Operation Teapot and involved the response of four typical single-story, steel-frame, industrial buildings to a 22-kt burst, with a relatively short duration positive-phase air blast. The second part involved the response of identical industrialbuilding structures to an air burst of the teapone of teapone of the teapone of teapon long duration positive-phase air blast.

Six steel-frame industrial buildings were tested in Operation Redwing: three drag-type structures, 30 ft in height, 40 ft in span, and 40 ft in length: and three semidrag-type structures, 30 ft in height, 40 ft in span, and 80 ft in length. These buildings were located on Yurochi and on three man-made islands along the shallow reef between Yurochi and Namu. The locations from GZ were selected at such range distances (20, 500, 24, 000, 29,000, and 36,000 ft) as to produce expected degrees of damage ranging from severe to moderate deformation.

Because of a gross bombing error for the airburst sector and suffered all structures were subjected to pressures higher than expected and suffered complete collapse; therefore, the planned gradation of damage was not achieved.

However, a qualitative demonstration of the effectiveness of the long duration positive blast phase was achieved, since one drag structure collapsed at a lower overpressure than that which an identical structure on Operation Teapot received without collapse. This agrees with theoretical studies which have indicated that, for drag-type targets, as the length of the positive phase of the blast wave increases, the overpressure required to cause a given degree of damage decreases.

Analytical studies will be made of the test results obtained during Redwing and Teapot in an effort to determine the magnitude of the bonus effect of the long duration of the positive phase.

2.1.4 Program 4, Biomedical Effects

The only project in this program was Project 4.1, Chorioretinal Burns, by Air Force School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Air Force Base, Texas. It was a sequel to a study in 1953 during Operation Upshot-Knothole. In the latter study, weapons of about 20 kt produced burns in the eyes of rabbits at distances of 2 to 42.5 statute miles from GZ. On all studies prior to Operation Redwing, rabbits were the only experimental animals used to evaluate ocular damage. Four cases of accidental human burns were produced at distances of 2 to 10 statute miles.

The present study was designed to furnish additional information on the requirements for protection against retinal burns, utilizing both rabbits and monkeys as experimental animals. The effectiveness of various parts of the power pulse was evaluated as to its ability to produce chorioretinal burns on rabbits and monkeys. This was accomplished by two series of time-fractionating shutters. The first group, open at time zero, closed at increasing intervals of time. The second series, closed at time zero, were open for preselected time increments during the flash. The feasibility of Redwing: ft in in span, i on three mu. The 24,000, e ranging

i

the long ure colture on poretical ength of the uired to

during .e b

tinal Burns, ase, Texas. ole. In res of studies unimals burns were

ation on oth rabbits ous parts rioretinal eries of closed at zero, were ibility of protection by fixed-density optical filters was explored. Two types of developmental protective electronic shutters were field-tested.

Results at yields of the blink demonstrated that the blink reflex does not protect against chorioretinal burns. The sevice caused retinal lesions at 8.1 statute miles. The device

produced burns at 7.6 statute miles but not as far as 14.4 miles. Burns were not obtained from devices of 3.5-Mt yield at distances of 12.9 and 21.6 miles. The lower effective range of burning at the PPG is attributed to higher atmospheric attenuation from excessive humidity and salt spray from the reefs. Note is made that additional information is needed in order to determine the limiting distance for retinal burns at and, especially, higher yields. Both

produced retinal burns in two of the eight animals exposed to only the first pulse. Both detonations produced burns during the second pulse.

The optical filters tested at near-threshold distances prevented retinal burns. At intermediate distance, filters reduced the incidence and severity of the lesions. The results obtained on protective shutters were inconclusive but can guide future development.

2.1.5 Program 5, Aircraft Structures

Program 5 included nine projects primarily concerned with the determination of the capability to deliver nuclear weapons of six Air Force types of aircraft and one Navy aircraft. One project, sponsored by the AFCRC, provided thermal-measurement support, and another will provide data on the thermal lethality of a nuclear detonation to certain basic missile structures and materials. Secondary objectives of the aircraft projects were to (1) obtain data for basic research and design of future aircraft, and (2) verify or correct the present analytical methods for the prediction of weapon-effect inputs and the resultant responses by the aircraft structure.

A test of an Air Force B-47 successfully obtained data for wingbending loads from 39 to 91 per cent of design limit and temperatures of up to 550°F on thin-skinned control surfaces by the use of high-absorptivity paints. Some measurements of the effects of side loads on the aircraft structure were also made. Correction of the Weapon Delivery Handbook for the B-47 will be made after data evaluation.

A test of an Air Force B-52 proved its capability to deliver high-yield nuclear weapons. Extensive thermal, overpressure, and gust measurements will result in fairly clear definition of the safe-delivery envelopes. It appears that 0.9 psi will be the limiting overpressure, instead of 0.8 psi as had been predicted prior to the test series. The ability to predict structural





2.2.9 Program 19, Nuclear Vulnerability

a. Objective

To determine the damaging effects of neutron heating incurred when a nuclear weapon is exposed to the flux from a nuclear explosion.

b. Technique

1000 ft and 1300 ft from the both real and dummy pits were placed at about 3/4 in. thick steel shells. After detonation they were recovered and checked for thermal damage.



31. 21 1

۰.

- 64 -

Fig. 3.1 Organization chart - Task Group 7.1.

متماحق والمعد

---- **`**

-

a a.

BEST AVAILABLE GOPY

. . interest of



SCIENTIFIC SUPERVISION

- **6**5

ŧ.

.

Fig. 3.2 CJTF 7 Organization for Redwing.

TABLE 3.1

nd ordance

KEY PERSONNEL OF TASK GROUP 7.1

com-Unit or Section Name Organization the Commander, Task Group 7.1 hich Gaelen L. Felt LASL rela-Deputy for UCRL Gerald W. Johnson UCRL und other Duane C. Sewell UCRL Walter D. Gibbins generally UCRL Deputy for Administration Duncan Curry, Jr. LASL Deputy for DOD Lester L. Woodward. Col. . USAF WETD Advisory Group **T-Division**, LASL J. Carson Mark LASL **Scientific** Everett F. Cox SC sted of UCRL Mechanical Engineering Arthur Werner, Jr. UCRL nd the UCRL Electrical Engineering Elisha J. Daly UCRL Seven-Safety **Roy Reider** LASL SL at the Radiological Safety Leo G. Chelius LASL ret the Edwin A. Bemis, Jr. LASL of the William R. Kennedy, Jr. LASL tures Classification. Security dvic Liaison, and Technical Reports 1 OD ۲۲ Ralph Carlisle Smith LASL John M. Harding LASL mbers of J-1 - Personnel and natters at Administration LASL Armand W. Kelly Robert B. Cruise, Lt. Col., USA LASL Samuel R. Whitaker LASL Clarence T. Brockett UCRL J-3 - Plans and Operations Walter T. Kerwin, Col., USA LASL Emil A. Lucke. Col., USA LASL Robert H. Gattis, Col. . USAF LASL Reuben N. Perley, Cdr., USN LASL pot, Roger G. Preston UCRL fall of John P. Flynn UCRL John Tyson, Lt. Col., USAF WETD ie on the J-4 - Logistics and Supply ulous list Harry S. Allen LASL Robert J. Van Gemert LASL le type John W. Lipp, Lt. Col., USA LASL eapon ef-Daniel J. Murphy UCRL but Shrimp I-6 - Test Facilities Robert H. Campbell LASL t there Robert W. Newman LASL nd one at Clifford M. Bacigalupi UCRL Arthur P. Minwegen, Cdr., USN WETD William A. Mowery, Lt. Col., USA WETD liminary

- 67 -

Unit or Section	Name	Organization
TU-1 - LASL Programs	Keith Boyer	LASL
	A. T. Peaslee, Jr.	LASL.
	David A. Liberman	LASL
J-7 - Design	James H. Hill	LASL
Program 10	Herman Hoerlin	LASL
	Joseph F. Mullaney	LASL
	Leroy N. Blumberg	LASL
Program 11	George A. Cowan	LASL
	Harold F. Plank	LASL
	Phillip F. Moore	LASL
	Jere D. Knight	TV2T
Program 12	Rodney L. Aamodt	LASL
	Wendell A. Biggers	LASL
	Donald D. Phillips, Sr.	LASL
Program 13		
Project 13.1	John S. Malik	LASL
	Robert B. Patten	EG&G
	William A. Ward	EG&G
	Hichard E. Knappenberger	EG&G
Decident 12.2	Bruce M. Carder	
Project 13.2	Roger Ray Leland K. Neber	LASL TAST
	Douglas O Cochrane	FGEG
Project 13.3	Carroll B. McCampbell	SC
110,000 10.0	David E. Henry	SC
	Jesse C. Rehberg	SC
Program 15	Gaelen L. Felt	LASL
-	Arthur N. Cox	LASL
	Robert S. Fitzhugh	LASL
	Avery L. Bond	LASL
Program 16	Bob E. Watt	LASL
-	Ralph E. Partridge, Jr.	LASL
Program 18	Herman Hoerlin	LASL
Project 18.1	Harold S. Stewart	NRL
	Walter F. Weedman	NRL
	George F. Wall	NRL
Project 18.1 & 18.3	Gordon G. Milne	U. Of Rochester
Decident 18 9	Prancis D. Harruigion Dennison Bangroft	T AST
Project 10.2	Joseph E. Derry Tr	LASI.
Project 18.2 & 18.4	Robert B. Day	LASL
Project 18.3	Donald F. Hansen	NRL
Project 18.4	Donald R. Westervelt	LASL
	Elbert W. Bennett	LASL
Program 19	Lew Allen, Jr., Capt., USAF	LASL

- 68 -

	Unit or Section	Name	Organization
ion .	TU-2 - UCRL Programs	Gerald W. Johnson Duane C. Sewell	UCRL UCRL
,	Program 21	Robert H. Goeckermann Roger E. Batzel Floyd F. Momyer, Jr. Norman A. Bonner	UCRL UCRL UCRL UCRL
	Program 22	Louis F. Wouters Clarence E. Ingersoll	UCRL SC
1	Program 23	Harry B. Keller, III	UCRL
י - נ	UCRL Weapon Physicists	Harold D. Brown John S. Foster, Jr.	UCRL UCRL
L L L	TU-3 - DOD Programs	Kenneth D. Coleman, Col., USAF David T. Griffin, Col., USA Wade H. Hitt, Lt. Col., USMC	WETD WETD WETD
L ;L	Program 1 Project 1.1 Project 1.2	Henry T. Bingham, Maj., USA Julius J. Meszaros Arnold D. Thornbrough	WETD BRL SC
են հը հը	Project 1.3 Project 1.4 Project 1.5	Joseph Petes James A. Fava, Lt. Col., USAF Julius J. Meszaros	NOL AFCRC BRL
⊈G SL SL	Project 1.6 Project 1.8 Project 1.9	Joseph Petes Frank E. Deeds, Capt USA William G. Van Dorn	NOL ERDL SIO
&G	Project 1.10	Arnold D. Thornbrough	SC
SL SL	Program 2 Project 2.1 Project 2.2 Project 2.4 Project 2.51	Donald C. Campbell, Cdr., USN Peter Brown Peter Brown Joseph C. Maloney Benjamin Barnett	WETD ESL ESL CRL CRL
lsl lsl	Project 2.52 Project 2.61 Project 2.62	Trevor C. Looney Richard R. Soule Feenan D. Jennings	SC NRDL SIO
ASL ASL	Project 2.63 Project 2.64 Project 2.65	Terry Triffet Robert T. Graveson Manfred Morgenthau	NRDL NYOO
RL RL RL	Project 2.66 Project 2.71 Project 2.72	Ernest A. Pinson, Col., USAF Heinz R. Rinnert Samuel C. Rainey	AFSWP NRDL BuShips
Rochester IRL "ASL	Project 2.8 Project 2.9 Project 2.10	Raymond R. Heiskell Frank S. Vine Michael M. Bigger	NRDL BuShips BuShips
LASL LASL VRL LASL	Program 3 Project 3.1 Project 3.10	Henry T. Bingham, Maj., USA Robert E. Grubaugh, Capt., USAF Julius J. Meszaros	WETD WADC BRL
LASL	Program 4 Project 4.1	Clyde W. Bankes, Lt. Col., USA David V. L. Brown, Capt., USAF	WETD AFSAM

.

.

.

- 69 -

....

A . + .

La Ballint det en

-

و منعقل مید مید از

1.1

55 7 March

•

1.5.4

•		
Unit or Section	Name	organization
Program 5 Project 5.1 Project 5.2 Project 5.3 Project 5.4 Project 5.5 Project 5.7 Project 5.8 Project 5.9	Milton R. Dahl, Cdr., USN Clarence W. Luchsinger Francis L. Williams, 1st Lt., USAF Richard W. Bachman Harold M. Wells, Jr., 1st Lt., USAF Robert F. Mitchell, 1st Lt., USAF Richard L. Dresser, Capt., USAF Philip S. Harward, Lcdr., USN Charles J. Cosenza, 2nd Lt., USAF	WETD WADC WADC WADC WADC WADC AFCRC BuAer WADC
Program 6 Project 6.1 Project 6.3 Project 6.4 Project 6.5	Clyde W. Bankes, Lt. Col., USA Edward A. Lewis Arthur K. Harris Alan J. Waters Charles J. Ong, 2nd Lt., USA	WETD AFCRC ESL ARDC ESL
Program 8 Project 8.1 Project 8.2 Project 8.3 Project 8.4 Project 8.5	Alfred H. Higgs, Cdr., USN William C. Linton, Jr., Maj., USA William B. Plum Wallace L. Fons Jerry J. Mahoney Alexander Julian, Lcdr., USN Ralph Zirkind	WETD WETD NRDL CFRES CRL BUAER BUAER
Program 9	Jack G. James, Lt. Col., USAF	WETD
TU-4 - Sandia Programs	Don B. Shuster Robert E. Hepplewhite Edwin L. Jenkins, Jr.	SC SC
Program 30	Charles G. Scott Francis E. Thompson Hans E. Hansen	SC SC SC
Program 31	Don B. Shuster Willard A. Gustafson Billy M. Ray	SC SC SC
Program 35*	Lauren Donaldson Edward E. Held Arthur D. Welander Allyn H. Seymour Ralph E. Palumbo Frank G. Lowman	UWFL UWFL UWFL UWFL UWFL
TU-5 - Timing	Herbert E. Grier Bernard J. O'Keefe Lewis Fussell, Jr.	EG&G EG&G EG&G
TU-6 - Firing	Edwin L. Jenkins. Jr. Robert J. Burton	SC SC

2

£

* Sponsored by DBM, AEC; carried out by Applied Fisheries Laboratory, University of Washington.

anization WETD Unit or Section Name Organization WADC Gordon L. Jacks, Maj., USA TU-7 - Radiological Safety WADC LASL Charles L. Weaver, Maj., USA 1st RSSU WADC Benjamin H. Purcell, Capt., USA WADC 1st RSSU WADC Rex Gygax, Lt., USN LASL AFCRC TU-8 - LASL Documentary BuAer Photography Loris M. Gardner LASL WADC Robert C. Crook LASL Gustaf N. Lindblom WETD LASL AFCRC TU-9 - UCRL Documentary ESL Raymond H. Jaeger UCRL Photography ARDC TU-10 - LASL Assembly Francis K. Tallmadge LASL ESL David R. Smith LASL WETD John H. McQueen LASL WETD Andrew M. Koonce LASL NRDL Douglas F. Evans LASL CFRES Edwin L. Kemp LASL CRL Jay E. Hammel LASL BUAER TU-11 - UCRL Assembly A Forrest Fairbrother, Jr. UCRL BUAER WETD TU-12 - UCRL Assembly B UCRL Alfred C. Haussmann, Jr. Joseph A. Lovington, Cdr., USN UCRL St SC SC SC SC SC SC SC SC UWFL UWFL υ₩FL UWFL UWFL UWFL EG&G EG&G EG&G SC SC Iniversity of W - 71 -

Model and Laboratory	Shot Name	Probable (2) Yiel Probable	Location	Date Fired	Actual Ready Date	Listed in Concept(3)	Revision ⁽⁴⁾ (10/11/55)	Op Plan Date ⁽⁵⁾	Actual Shot Time(6)
9	Lacrosse		Runit (E) ground	5/5	4/29	No	5/1	5/1	0625:05
	Cherokee		Namu (B) 5000 ft air burst	5/21	5/9	Yes	5/1	5/1*	0551
	Zuní		Eninman (B) ground	5/28	5/28	Yes	5/15	5/8	0556
	Yuma		Aomon (E) 200 ft tower	5/28	5/28	Yes	5/8	6/1	0756
	Erie		Runit (E) 200 ft tower	5/31	5/31	No	5/23	5/23	0615:05
	Seminole		Bogon (E) ground	6/6	6/5	No	5/26	5/28	1255:05
	Flathead		South of Yurochi (B) barge	6/12	6 '10	Yes	5/30	6/2	0626
	Blackfoot		Runit (E) 200 ft tower	6/12	6/12	Yes	6/7	6 7	0626
	Kickapoo		Aomon (E) 300 ft tower	6,14	6/14	Yes	5/18	6 / 18	1126
·····	Osage	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Runit (E) 700 ft air burst	6, 16	6/16	Yes	6/7	6/14	1314
	Inca		Rujoru (E) 200 ft tower	6/22	6/22	No	5/1	6/8	0956
	Dakota		South of Yurochi (B) barge	6/ 26	6/22	No		6/13	0606
	Mohawk		Eberiru (E) 300 ft tower	7/3	7/3	Yes	6/8	7/1	0608
	Apache(1)		Mike crater, west of Teltelripucchi (E) barge	7/9	7/8	Yes	7/1	6/20	0606 👾
	Navaio		South of Yurochl (B) barge	7/11	7/9	Yes	6/18	6, 8	0558
	Tewa		Halfway between Yurochi & Namu (B) barge	7/21	7/18	No		7/7	0546
	Huron	ł	Mike crater (E) barge	7/22	7/2, 7/20	No	6/11	6/12	0616
			oposed Shots, Eventually De	leted fr	om Schedule				
	Pawnee		Engebi (E) ground			No	Unspecified	Unspecified	
	Pueblo		Bogairikk (E) ground			Yes			
	Hopi		Runit (E) 100 ft tower		-	Yes			
	Shawnee		Runit (E) 100 ft tower			Yes		***	
	Shawnee 2		Engebl (E) ground		•	No		'	
	Shawnee 3		Engebi (E) ground		-	No			
	1		Notes					ı	
(1) UCRE A	(Apa nouel.	che) was original	lly planned as a LASL mode	el. Lat	er, by agreer	nent betwee	n LASL and	UCRL, it bec	ame a
(2) Probabi	e yield limi	ts, estimated bei	lore the shot, for constructi	on, inst	rumentation,	evacuation,	aircraft posi	tioning, fallo	٥t
(3) CTG 7.1	l General C	oncept No. 1-55	(dated 4/12/55). First sho	t at eac	h atoll was t	o be ready	4/15/56 but	no shot _é dates	or

TABLE : REDWING SHOT SCHEDULE (L = LASL, U = UCRL, E = Eniwetok, B = Bikini)

orders were given. (4) Ready dates in Revision No. 4 (dated 10/11/55) to CTG 7.1 General Concept No. 1-55.

(4) Ready dates in Revision No. 4 (dated 10/11/30) to CrG 1.1 General concept No. 1-30.
 (5) CTG 7.1 Operation Plan No. 1-56 (dated 1/25/56). Later dates of UCRL small shots caused by December 1955 estimate of UCRL that this program was a month behind schedule. Dates listed are from Revis on No. 2 to Op Plan (dated 2/4/56).

(6) Eniwetok (Zone-12) dates and times are used to this table (7) postponed at H-3 min, 7/2/56

. 73 1

· · ·

all states in the

Ships or Aircraft and Purpose	Dec. 1954 ⁽¹⁾	April 1955 ⁽²⁾	Aug. 1955 ⁽³⁾	Actual	Remarks
AGC (Estes) - Command		1	1	1	Provided Program 2 Control Center
AV-4 (Curtiss) - TG 7.1 Command and Weapons	1	1	1	1	Transport of devices, housing, shops and offices
CVE - Helicopter base, early recovery and re-entry base	1	1	1	1	Master Raydist Station Base
LSD - Barge lift and boat pool support	1	1	1	1	Project 1.4 Telemetering Station
LST - Weather Island support	1	1	1	1	
LST - Interatoll	1	1	1	1	
ATF - Towing	3	4	4	4	One with echo sounding equipment; one with skiff handling equipment installed
APD - Personnel transport and fast freight ⁽⁴⁾	2	2	2	1	Project 2,61 Telemetering Station
TAP - Afloat housing at Bikini	1	1	1	1	
DD/DE - Security		as req'd	4	4	Two DD's used for Security; two DE's used for Security and by Program 2
LST-611 - Program 2		1	1	1	
YAG-39 and 40 - Program 2		2	2	2	
Navy Boat Pool	1	1	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾	
AVR - Firing Party	1				Actual, used helicopters and LCM's as required
ARSD - For possible buoy project	1				No buoy project authorized
YC - Sample packing - Program 2	1	1	1	1 ⁽⁴⁾	
YCV - Copter barge	1	1	1	1	
Sectional Pontoon - Program 2			3	2	Instrument platform, Bikini Lagoon
YFNB - Program 2				2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submarine - Program 2 - Fallout		i or more			None authorized

TABLE 3.3MAJOR ITEMS OF MILITARY SUPPORT

.

I

76 -

....

....

.

.

.

.

ł 77 ŧ

1 or more

Ships or Aircraft and Purphees	Dec. 1954	April 1955	Aug. 1955	Actual	Remarks
RA-18 - Off-stoll and SAR		7	9	7 ⁽⁷⁾	
C-47 - Interatoli airlift	4	4	4	4	
L-20 - Interisland airlift	6	6	6	8	
P2V - Security		as req'd	10	10	
Copter Squadron - Bikini - Carrier Based	1 ⁽⁶⁾	1	1	1	15 copiers in squadron
H-19B - Copters, Eniwetok	9	9	9	10	• • •
		Sam	pling		
B-57B - Sampling aircraft		6	6	6	Three B-57D also requested in April; not authorized
F-84G - Sampling alrcraft		8	10	10	~
		Effe	ecta		
B-36 - Cannister drop (Project 1.4)		2 B-29	1	1 B-36	
B-57 - Cloud penetration (Project 2.66)		6	6	5 B-57	Three B-57 and three B-47 requested in April
P2V5 - Early Fallout (Project 2.64)			3	3	
B-47 - Effecta (Project 5.1)		1	1	1	
B-52 - Effects (Project 5.2)		1	1	1	
B-66 - Effects (Project 5.3)		1	1	1	
B-57B - Effects (Project 5.4)		1	1	1	
F-84F - Effects (Project 5.5)		2	2	2	
F-101A - Effects (Project 5.6)		1	1	1	
A3D1 – Effects (Project 5.8)		1	1	1	、
F-89D - Effects (Project 5.11)			1	-	Project cancelled
C-97 - Project 6.3		2	1	1	•
P2V5 - Effects (Project 8,5)		1		1	
RB-50E - Photography		3 R7V's	3	3	Support for Program 9 and Project 1.8

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

k		Oth			
Ships or Aircraft and Purposes	Dec. 1954	April 1955	Aug. 1955	Actual	Remarks
Drop aircraft		2 FB-36 or 2 B-47	2	3	Actual: Two B-52 and one B-36
B-47 - IBDA		2	3	3	

- (1) Reference, CTG 7.1, Secret letter, J3-26, subject: Major Military Support Requirements for Redwing, dated December 20, 1954.
- (2) Reference, JTF-7, Secret letter, J-3/SRD-221-55W, subject: Resume of Conference on Military Support Requirements, dated April 29, 1955. Date of conference was April 21, 1955.
- (3) Reference, JTF-7, Secret letter, J-3/S-483-55W, subject: Record of Conference Held at CJTF7 Headquarters to Discuss Military Support Requirements for Operation Redwing, dated September 7, 1955. Conference was held August 23, 1955.
- (4) To be used in event of full shipboard operation.

- (5) 5 LCU's, 19 LCM, 2 LCPR, 1 LCPL, 1 MWB and 1 YFN.
- (6) 16 to 20 helicopters.

1

78

1

(7) Amphibian or seaplane support would have been required at Bikini if airstrip had become unusable.

The Curtiss transported a number of devices to the FA and MSTS continued to provide regularly scheduled surface lift from the West Coast to the PPG. As the operational period approached, MSTS increased the frequency of sailings and provided a special ship to expedite the shipment of large numbers of scientific trailers, a necessary feature of the late build-

Alteration of a number of naval and MSTS ships and craft was required for their support and scientific functions and was accomplished by the Navy.

As shown in Table 3.3, the Air Force provided drop and sampler aircraft; effects, photographic, and other scientific project aircraft; helicopters at Bikini and Eniwetok; liaison planes, interatoll aircraft and offatoll support. The extensive and detailed meteorological information required by various scientific projects was provided by the Air Force, as was the weather information on which the decisions as to whether or not to fire were made.

MATS provided airlift of the great majority of TG 7.1 personnel and many tons of urgent freight, furnished all of the sample return services, and lifted a number of the devices from Travis AFB to Eniwetok.

Details and statistics of a number of the items of support provided by the AEC and the DOD are covered elsewhere in this report.

Determination of all these support requirements represented many months of study, discussion, negotiation, and compilation of information. The phasing overseas of major elements of military support was determined in December 1955 and January 1956. Subsequent changes were of a minor nature.

3.4.3 Training and Rehearsals

4

a

i

Details of training are covered as appropriate in the reports of the various programs, projects, and task units. Extensive training of personnel and testing of equipment began at the various laboratories in the U.S. and continued overseas.

In order to make the maximum use of project Rad-Safe monitors, about 125 of them were trained at Fort McClellan, Alabama, during the week of January 9, 1956. During the operation several courses were conducted by TU-7 at the PPG to provide additional project monitors. Five chemical laboratory technicians were trained in basic radiochemistry techniques at LASL, and four members of TU-7 were trained in alpha monitoring at LASL and Mercury, Nevada. NRDL trained 30 individuals from various naval shipyards throughout the U. S. to act as TU-7 monitors for Program 2. Six men were trained in radiac instrument repair at Treasure Island and Los Alamos.

- 80 -

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

In preparation for each shot, TG 7.1 conducted several dry runs of the timing and firing systems, including at least one full power dry run before each shot. These runs were normally conducted twice a day until satisfactory, and then once a day until the shot or weather postponement. During prolonged states of readiness on a -1 basis, they were held every other day, or more often as necessary. Operations for which timing was important, such as recovery operations and key operations affecting the D-1 day schedule, were rehearsed as often as necessary to determine the actual time required and to decrease it as practical.

A fairly complete Task Force rehearsal for Cherokee was conducted at Bikini beginning 0500 April 23 and ending at 1200 April 24. H-hour was as scheduled for Cherokee. Neither the TG 7.1 command ship, the USS Curtiss, nor the USNS Ainsworth was available for this rehearsal. A very small token number of TG 7.1 personnel completed evacuation and re-entry. Nearly all of the vessels present proceeded to their shot-time positions at sea. Effects and other planes participated on a large scale. A voice count-down was provided, messages were sent to offatoll stations for time studies, and the communication rehearsal was as complete as possible in order to test communications and detect frequency conflicts. The rehearsal was satisfactory.

A number of other communication rehearsals were conducted during April at both Eniwetok and Bikini. Several of them were for the purpose of positioning aircraft as well as for testing communications and for determining interference caused by electronic equipment. Task Group 7.4 conducted a number of bombing rehearsals in which TG 7.1 participated to a limited extent.

3.5 MOVEMENT TO THE FORWARD AREA AND ASSEMBLY OF SUBORDINATE UNITS

3.5.1 Personnel

Information regarding the expected number of personnel to be present in the FA during Operation Redwing was obtained from the monthly status reports submitted prior to forward movement by the various units of the Task Group. These population figures were subdivided by location into the following general categories: sites at Bikini Atoll; sites at Eniwetok Atoll; and shipboard space. Detailed compilations were prepared showing the estimated weekly population at any location in the PPG. These population estimates were useful in determining such things as camp locations, camp size, MATS transportation required, and over-all camp support required of

)n- .

-up

irers port. 3 ee. nd

l by

uned 101

> he onnel and

e week sted by al lab-. LASL, and ipyards in were with the LASL group serving as the final clearing house for all three agencies in transmitting the requirements of TG 7.1 to TG 7.5. During the planning phase the four UCRL representatives functioned from their own laboratory while the DOD representative established residence at Los Alamos. During the instrumentation and shot periods these three groups combined in the Forward Area and representatives of this combined group were stationed at various sites throughout the PPG where major activities concentrated. Under this method of operation in the field, individual members of the group were not restricted to problems of their parent agency and frequently assisted other agencies in accomplishing the over-all mission of the Task Group.

During the spring and summer of 1955, devices or weapons and experiments were added or deleted from the operation with a frequency that produced a continual revision of the test facilities required by the resulting scientific programs. However, at the end of September 1955 it was estimated that the basic criteria necessary for the design of 95 per cent of the scientific structures had been transmitted to the AEC Field Manager, Eniwetok Field Office. The technique employed during this period was to delineate items which would be required in the operation regardless of the concept of the day. This included items such as submarine cable systems, gas storage building, HE magazines, standardized shot barges, and the basic major scientific stations. This approach was necessary because the time involved in design, procurement, and construction precluded waiting until participation was firm and locations selected before gathering the test facility criteria.

By mid-September construction necessitated opening the J-6 Office in the FA to maintain close coordination with the construction forces.

The field revisions in the shooting sequence and locations had little effect upon the facilities required beyond the expansion of the existing capability of firing a barge shot in the Mike crater at Eniwetok Atoll.

In the course of the operation, approximately 735 scientific stations were constructed, nearly 1300 work orders prepared, and 20-man months of mchinist time were expended in the J-6 Shop in support of the mission of TG 7.1.

17.3 Intra-stoll Airlift

Airlift support to the islands of Eniwetok Atoll was provided by TG 7.4 using eight L-20 aircraft and ten H-19B helicopters. The full complement of L-20 aircraft was not in place until after the arrival of the US Badoeng Strait on March 16, 1956. In addition, one L-20 was in place

licy ¥. ated *ing зу een 3. d not future, are deould 88 chang-]e.

ded

stimates om the ors by stion cecution he work rated s repres of the r, and ASL,

- 89 -

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

at Enyu to support special missions at Bikini Atoll during the build-up phase.

The airlift support of Bikini Atoll was provided by HMR-363, a Marine Helicopter Squadron with a complement of 15 HRS-1 (H-19A) aircraft. The squadron initially provided eight aircraft with the remainder arriving on the USS Badoeng Strait. Additional support by one Air Force L-20 was available during early phases of the operation; this was increased to a total of two, immediately preceding [1999] (Zuni) to support the Tare complex. Following Zuni, the L-20's were no longer required.

On March 27, the Marine Squadron at Bikini lost a helicopter owing to engine failure. With the cause undetermined and with a history of similar trouble, all helicopters were grounded. Subsequently, limited numbers were made available but were used for freight only following the decision of CTG 7.1 that personnel would not be airlifted by Marine helicopter until the engine deficiency was corrected or replacement aircraft were available. A decision was made to use the Air Force helicopters from Eniwetok until later-model replacements were made available. This was considered essential due to critical requirements at Bikini. On March 29, the Air Force was flying necessary support. On April 25, HRS-3's (H-19B's) were available at Bikini as replacement aircraft for grounded aircraft and took over responsibility for Bikini airlift.

Helicopter support at Eniwetok Atoll was curtailed effective March 27 with the movement of the AF H-19's to Bikini to support airlift requirements there. The AF helicopters were returned to Eniwetok on April 26 after the arrival of the new Marine helicopters.

The Eniwetok islands were supported by air as follows:

Runit - L-20 and H-19 Rojoa complex - L-20's primarily Teiteiripucchi complex - L-20 and H-19

The Bikini islands were supported as follows:

1 -] Romurikku complex and north islands of atoll - helicopter. Eninman complex and south islands - helicopters and L-20.

Procedures were established at both atolls for providing airlift for TG 7.1 personnel. For published scheduled flights, it was normal for individuals to request space by direct contact with the appropriate TCA dispatcher. For all special flights which regular scheduled service could not accomodate, requests were submitted to J-3 for approval and booking.

The short airstrip at Runit necessitated using H-19's for airlift any time the wind velocity dropped to less than 10 mph or became a cross wind.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

With the temporary movement of the AF helicopters to Bikini, support to the Teiteiripucchi complex was accomplished by landing L-20 aircraft at Engebi and using surface transportation to Teiteiripucchi. The decision was made at this time to complete construction of the Teiteiripucchi airstrip which eliminated the need for using Engebi and surface transportation.

For periods of three to four days after some of the shots, certain of the airstrips were out of commission for L-20 traffic owing to radiation. H-19's were used to move priority personnel to those complexes, supplemented by water_taxis for low priority traffic.

After (Erie), the Runit airstrip was completely out of commission. Preparation/ (Blackfoot) (Osage) at Runit was supported entirely by H-19's and surface transportation.

L-20 aircraft were used to support (Seminole) preparation as soon as radiation levels permitted use of the Teiteiripucchi strip; however, H-19's primarily supported Seminole preparatory work.

During a representative week of the build-up phase (April 1-7), the interisland airline had the following traffic load:

Bikini

H-19B	844 flights	1900 passengers (382 - TG 7.1)
	Eniwe	tok
H-19 B	47 flights	84 passengers (62 - TG 7.1)
L-20	223 flights	717 passengers (548 - TG 7.1)

During a representative week of the operational phase (May 6-12), the interisland airline had the following traffic load:

		Bikini			
BS-3 (H-19B)	589	flights	1563	passengers	(1250 - TG 7.1)
÷.		Eniwetok	•		
1-19B	91	flights	313	passengers	(191 - TG 7.1)
L-20	279	flights	742	passengers	(400 - TG 7.1)

The L-20 liaison-type aircraft was the answer to many of the demands rapid transportation of personnel and its performance and "in operation" we were very high. The HRS-1's (H-19A), with which the HMR-363 was tra equipped, did not prove adequate in performance or in the availability of miscement parts for an operation of this sort. Such was not true of the IN-3's with which the Marine Squadron at Bikini was later equipped (after

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ing to illar were i til the e. A itil es-Force availover rch 2: ireil 26

irine

The

, the

uil-

of

ift for for in-:CA disbould Bod dng. rlift any cross CTG 7.1 had stopped using the HRS-1's for passengers, and recommended to CJTF 7 that they be replaced) or the H-19B's at Eniwetok. This later model of helicopter performed well and was adequate performance-wise for the operation. Numbers available for TG 7.1 and 7.5 missions were often inadequate because of SAR, Task Force, other task group, VIP, and maintenance requirements.

3.7.4 Interatoll Airlift

Initial plans for the routine airlift of personnel and air cargo between Eniwetok and Bikini generated a requirement for four scheduled flights to and from Bikini each day. During the build-up phase of the operation, two round trip flights each day were flown on a scheduled basis, one departing Eniwetok at 0800 and one at 1300. As the traffic load between atolls increased, it was found to be more efficient to continue using these same two scheduled departure times but with additional sections as required. Task Group 7.4 had four C-47 aircraft which were assigned primarily for interatoll airlift. When required and as available, C-54 aircraft were used to supplement the C-47 airlift.

Task Group 7.1 personnel desiring airlift placed space requirements directly with the J-3 Airlift Booking Section. J-3 forwarded these requirements to TG 7.5 Personnel Section who in turn manifested combined requirements with TG 7.4. Since TG 7.1 and TG 7.5 (H&N) were primary users of the interatoll airlift, the consolidation of passenger bookings by the TCA permitted improved coordination and eliminated much unnecessary duplication. Surface transportation to and from Eniwetok Island for TG 7.1 passengers departing or arriving on interatoll flights was provided by TG 7.5 on a routine scheduled basis in conjunction with the movement of their personnel. In addition TG 7.1 passengers were placed on TG 7.5 Movement Orders which served as an aid in accounting for personnel movement between atolls during muster periods.

The following is a summary of the passenger and cargo load during a representative week in April (1-7 inclusive) while in the build-up phase of the operation:

Number of flights Total passengers Total cargo

. 7

28 (14 round trips) 366 (166 TG 7.1 personnel) 44,596 lb

The following is a summary of the passenger and cargo load during a representative week in May (6-12 inclusive) at the beginning of the operational phase:

- 92 -

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Number of flights Total passengers Total cargo 34 (17 round trips) 422 (246 TG 7.1 personnel) 32,128 lb

*Last minute urgent requirements created numerous difficulties in coordination. In addition the actual handling of all airlift requirements would have been much improved if TG 7.4 had an Operations Section to receive and coordinate all airlift requirements. J-4 Section of JTF 7 attempted to fill this requirement on D-1 and shot days for Bikini Atoll shots. Unfortunately, this tended to increase the confusion by interposing an additional agency on a temporary basis, and on some occasions resulted in unwarranted delays in the emergency movement of key personnel between atolls. The system never proved able to provide this group with the timely and rapid transportation required.

*Although most requirements for interatoll airlift were satisfied, it was concluded that during the period of peak activity one or more additional aircraft would have materially increased the efficiency, safety, and general support capability. Of the four C-47 aircraft on hand, TG 7.4 was reluctant to guarantee the availability of more than two on any given day. The three C-54 aircraft assigned to Test Services Unit were not consistently available when requested, and could not be counted on. In addition, the lack of any central operations section in TG 7.4, in combination with what appeared to be a lack of coordination between Base Operations and TSU Operations, caused a certain amount of confusion and inefficiency when these aircraft were used for interatoll airlift. Because of the extremely willing attitude of most of the personnel providing the support required, the effects of these deficiencies were minimized.

3.7.5 Motor Vehicle Transportation

Motor vehicle requirements for TG 7.1 were developed after analysis of the final status reports from the various projects. Two main motor pools were operated, one on Parry Island and one on Enyu Island. The vehicle density of these motor pools was also based on the project final reports. Although the reports provided a fairly sound basic figure for establishing vehicle strength, in many instances the information was not adequate.

*It became evident during the first month of operation that the notion of providing only one vehicle for a project working alternately on both atolls

*In order to emphasize operational conclusions and recommendations, sections or paragraphs where they appear have been marked with an asterisk.

0

3-

re-

of

5 27-

58

Эſ

TABLE 3.4

BIKINI EVACUATION

10.124

Т

	C (heroke May 20	,)	(Zuni May 27	}	1 (1	"lathead June 11)))	(1	Dakota June 25)	(Navajo July 10)	(.	Tewa July 19)
Vessel	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Total	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Totai	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Total	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Total	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Total	Cabin Class	Troop Class	Total
USS CURTISS	53	22	75	72	24	96	58	19	77	55	17	72	62	18	80	42	18	60
USNS AINSWORTH	169	56	225	117	56	173	97	- 48	145	83	. 51	134	60	44	104	58	45	103
USS BADOENG STRAFT	56	17	73	68	14	82	37	11	48	23	7	30	35	11	46	27	9	36
US8 ESTE8	18	3	21	16	3	19	15	3	18	2	2	4	13	3	16	15	3	° 18
USS MCGINTY	3	0	3	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0	a	3	G	3	3	0	3
USS CATAMOUNT	12	9	21	1	4	5	3	4	7	3	6	9	1	4	5	1	4	5
M, V HORIZON	28	0	26	26	0	26	26	0	26	0	0	0	24	0	24	24	0	24
uss sioux	3	0	3	4	2	6	3	0	3	Q	0	0	2	1	3	3	0	3
USS KNUDGON	i .	0	6	10	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	8	0	
USS MCKINLEY	3	0	3	0	0	Q	0	0	Û	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0
YAG 39	۲	2	10	9	2	11	8	3	11	0	0	0	7	3	10	7	3	10
YAG 40	1\$	2	15	14	2	16	15	2	17	0	0	8	12	2	14	14	2	16
LST 611	1	3	4	4	2	6	3	2	5	0	0	0	2	3	5	2	3	5
USS SILVERSTEIN	3	0	3	3	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	3
FOTAL	374	114	488	347	111	458	270	92	362	166	83	249	231	89	320	205	87	292

second and a statement and we have a date of a spice

10. T

PRO TERMENT OF THE OWNER

Ŧ 102 ł

3.18.3 Property Roll-up

Property roll-up consisted of two stages, preliminary and final. in liminary roll-up consisted of the return of equipment as it became surpand project property from completed operations. It started after the first shot, Lacrosse, and continued until Tewa. Final roll-up can be considered the period after Huron, the last shot. Preliminary roll-up cargo was returned to the ZI on the cargo vessel USNS Sergeant Archer T. Gammon a June and on the USNS Private Joe E. Mann in July. Cargo breakdown was as follows:

£

2

USNS Sergeant Archer T. Gammon	850.7 M/T Special Cargo
	152.3 M/T General Cargo
USNS Private Joe E. Mann	1164.5 M/T Special Cargo
	821.9 M/T General Carro

Inasmuch as at the time of writing of this report the final phase is just starting, planned shipping will be listed.

The bulk of TG 7.1 property will be returned to the U.S. on the USNS Brostrom which is scheduled to be on berth August 10, 1956, and should consist of the following:

7318.6 M/T Special Cargo 2168.0 M/T General Cargo

USNS Sergeant Archer T. Gammon will return about August 15 to pa up the last of the cargo which is estimated as follows:

892.0 M/T Special Cargo 125.1 M/T General Cargo

Bikini cargo was moved to Eniwetok by LST for processing and docmentation, and then consolidated with Eniwetok cargo for movement to the U.S.

Owing to high radioactivity at certain stations, and to remoteness in the case of weather stations, some equipment cannot be recovered by proect people prior to their departure. Arrangements have been made with H&N to recover this equipment when conditions permit. Necessary work orders have been prepared to cover these requirements.

<u>Cryogenic Plant.</u> One of the two nitrogen generators was removed during roll-up and shipped to LASL. The balance of the cryogenic plant was moth-balled.

Property drawn on memorandum receipt from TG 7.2 and 7.5 has been cleared.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

- 132 -





- 142 -

rest woh Ift

يكناو

augmented by staff and program personnel from Eniwetok as test activities required. This arrangement provided efficient control of activities at both atolls with a minimum of overhead, and proved to be quite satisfactory for this type of operation involving experiments at both atolls.

At Eniwetok the Commander, TU-3, supported by a small staff, supervised the activities of the directors of the eight technical programs. There were 47 projects grouped under the eight programs (see the organization chart, Fig. 4.1). During the course of the operation approximately 50 TUheadquarters personnel and 900 project personnel were present in the PPG. The peak strength was 710 on May 6, 1956. Headquarters personnel were furnished by Field Command, AFSWP. Project agencies are indicated on the organization chart.

The programs were the most extensive yet undertaken for an overseas test. Although the experimental objectives were numerous, the major overall objectives were to define or document (1) the weapon-delivery capabilities of late-model aircraft; (2) the radioactive fallout from high-yield devices, including the initial and final distribution of activity, the time history of accumulation locally and at sea, and the physical and chemical nature of the radioactive material; and (3) the basic effects of a high-yield air burst. In general, it appears that the program objectives were met, except for a considerable loss of data on the high-yield air burst **for a con**to a bombing error. Individual program results are covered in Chapter 2, Section 2.1.

*The TU-3 mission was accomplished without major operational problems. The large number of scientific stations involved in the weapon effects tests were widely scattered throughout the PPG and made the task unit dependent upon logistic-support agencies. Close liaison was maintained with TG 7.1's J-1, J-3, J-4, and J-6 staff sections, and all essential requirements were met in an excellent manner.

*Special problems were posed by the projects operating outside the PPG. Excellent cooperation on the part of staff sections, support agencies, and communications personnel led to satisfactory solutions.

4.4 TASK UNIT 4, SC PROGRAMS

4.4.1 Mission of Task Unit 4

s

) ;wP

> In addition to technical and administrative responsibilities for Sandia programs, TU-4 was assigned administrative support and technical monitor responsibilities for service projects being performed by Sandia Corporation for DOD, LASL and UCRL. These service projects included the following:

safe time interval after the weapon or device had been armed to allow complete evacuation of the atoll when necessary, and to permit the Firing Team to retire to the Control Room. When a shot was canceled within 2 hr of zero time, the Disarming Team entered the zero area after the timer opened the arm line. On some of the smaller shots at Eniwetok where the diagnostic measurements were not restricted to a certain early time period, the safe separation timer was set to open the arm line up to 8 hr after zero time. This provided several hours in which zero time could be rescheduled when the weather was uncertain. When possible the arming operations were conducted during the daylight hours, but on several occasions it was necessary to arm after dark. Transportation for the Arming Team was provided by plane, helicopter, or watercraft, according to the type best suited for the particular zero location.

Ŧ

Firing was accomplished from a Control Room by starting, 15 min before zero, a motor-driven timer which automatically provided the timing signals. There was a Control Room at Parry, Eniwetok, and Enyu, Bikini. The Control Room at Enyu could be remotely controlled by radio from the USS Curtiss. There was the only test where the remote station aboard the USS Curtiss was used.

Several disarming operations were conducted at both atolls because of postponements due to unfavorable weather conditions. Only one disarming was conducted under an emergency situation. This was for the after the shot had been canceled with 3 min of zero time

10-6 did not have responsibility for the two airdrops during the operation. However, through visual observance it was noted that the airdrops were handled in accordance with Sandia approved field procedures. The fuze and firing circuits of the weapons operated well within the specified tolerances.

All weapons and devices were detonated at the proper time and no misfires occurred during the operation.

4.7 TASK UNIT 7, RADIOLOGICAL SAFETY

4.7.1 Mission

The mission of TU-7 was as follows:

1. Perform all ground and aerial monitoring services associated with the scientific mission except those in conjunction with aircraft and airborne collection of scientific data; assume responsibility for rad-safe for TG 7.5 during the operational phase. 2. Provide laboratory and technical assistance to all task groups.

3. Provide all official dosimetry services for JTF 7.

4.7.2 Organization

The necessity of maintaining a capability for firing at both Bikini and Eniwetok Atolls at the same time required that TU-7 provide two complete and independent rad-safe organizations. Over-all control over the two organizations was maintained by CTU-7. Each organization contained the following sections:

1. Monitoring section for providing all monitoring services and manning check points.

2. Plotting and briefing section for conducting all aerial surveys and briefing all personnel going into radex areas.

3. Supply section for maintenance of rad-safe supplies, including laundry (facilities furnished by TG 7.5).

4. Instrument repair section for maintenance of rad-safe instruments.

5. Laboratory section for determining the amount of activity contained in soil and water samples.

6. Decontamination section for operating facilities for personnel and equipment decontamination.

Control over the official dosimetry and records section was maintained directly by CTU-7. This was necessitated by the double badge system (permanent and mission film badges) that was used during the operation. A small photodosimetry section was maintained at Bikini for processing mission film badges used at that atoll. All permanent badges were processed by the Eniwetok photodosimetry section. The master record file for all personnel in JTF 7 was maintained at Eniwetok.

Personnel for manning TU-7 were obtained from the Army, Navy, and Air Force. The majority of the personnel were obtained from the Army's 1st Radiological Safety Support Unit, a Chemical Corps unit stationed at Ft. McClellan, Alabama. The <u>Army provided 102 officers</u> and enlisted men; the Navy 8 officers and enlisted men, and 30 civilians; and the Air Force 12 officers and enlisted men. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory provided four personnel in an advisory capacity.

Scientific projects in TG 7.1 and contractor personnel in TG 7.5 were required to provide their own monitors for recovery and construction missions. The majority of these personnel were trained by members of TU-7 at either Ft. McClellan, Alabama, or the PPG.

the ero eduled 3 were lecesovided for the nin iming likini. n the oard use of min the ressure e operlrops

com-

Team of

opened iagnos-

no.

The

Ified

uted with uirborne TG 7.5

4.7.3 Operations

In support of TG 7.1 and 7.5 at both Bikini and Eniwetok, check points were established as required. Main check points utilized at all times at both atolls were located at the air dispatcher's office and the marine landing. All personnel entering or returning from a radex area were processed through the check points. An area was considered as a radex area if the contamination exceeded 100 mr/hr. Full protective clothing was required for entry into a radex area. Limited radex areas were established when the contamination level was above 10 mr/hr, but less than 100 mr/hr. Clothing requirements varied with the situation in the limited radex areas. An area contaminated to a level less than 10 mr/hr was considered nonradex. The following is a summary of rad-safe processing:

1. A total of 1560 parties, containing from 1 to 50 men per party, were processed through the Eniwetok check points from May 5, 1956, to July 20, 1956. Approximately 9500 personnel were processed through Bikini check points during the same period. The personnel decontamination station at Eniwetok handled a total of 1558 individuals, while the facility at Bikini processed 3350.

2. At the equipment decontamination facility at Eniwetok a total of 225 vehicles, ranging from jeeps and trailers to large mobile cranes, were processed. In addition, all equipment from three camps was decontaminated. Six helicopters, contaminated on aerial surveys, were also decontaminated. At Bikini, approximately 100 vehicles were processed.

The majority of the rad-safe surveys of radex areas at both atolls were conducted by helicopter. Normal operations included a pre-entry survey with CTG 7.1 at H + 1 to 3 hr, a detailed survey at H + 6 to 8 hr, and detailed surveys on the morning of D + 1 and 2 days. Additional surveys were made as required. Instruments used in the surveys included special Jordan ionization chambers and standard AN/PDR-39's converted to read to 500 r/hr. Ground surveys of islands in the atolls were conducted when required.

During the entire Eniwetok operational phase, the contamination from any one shot did not materially interfere with preparations for the next event. In all events local contamination was quite high, with the exception Contamination resulting from the preparation required

that the camps on Rojoa and Teiteiripucchi be closed up.

shots gave significant amounts of alpha (plutonium) contamination on Aomon.

Contamination from Bikini shots was such that Enyu could be used as a base of operations during the entire period. No significant delay in preparations for any shot resulted from contamination found in the atoll area. No critical recoveries were delayed more than 24 hours. Roll-up operations in the Yurochi-Aomoen chain were curtailed by the contamination resulting from

The only significant fallout observed at Eniwetok Atoll (on Parry and Eniwetok) during the operation resulted from Fallout started at approximately 1500, July 21, and ended approximately 0800, July 22. Peak intensity measured on Parry reached 100 to 120 mr/hr depending upon the location. Early decay was rapid, but after 48 hours the decay of the active material followed the fission product decay curve. The impact of this dose rate on the Eniwetok roll-up was such that a 7 r total dose limit was established by CJTF 7 for 7.1 and 7.5 personnel.

Fallout was observed at Bikini (Enyu) one day after the state of the s

A total of approximately 500 water and soil samples were handled at both atolls by laboratory personnel. Swimming areas at both atolls were declared off limits for several days following certain of the shots that significantly increased the lagoon contamination levels. An arbitrary limit of 50,000 disintegrations per minute per liter of water was established as the tolerance level for swimming.

4.7.4 Official Dosimetry

The permanent badge program was designed to provide a dosage-indicating device to all personnel in the Task Force. Issue of the first permanent badges was made on April 15, 1956, with exchange scheduled approximately each six weeks. As the operational phase progressed, it was found that permanent badges in use in excess of four weeks were badly watermarked and difficult to read. As a result, the exchange period for TG 7.1 and TG 7.5 was shortened to three weeks. During the operation approximately 40,000 permanent badges were issued, processed, and recorded.

The mission badge program was designed to provide a rapid determination of the dosage an individual had received while participating in recovery or construction missions in radex areas. Only those personnel entering a radex area were provided with mission badges. No deficiencies were noted in these badges as the usual period of wear was approximately 12 hours. A total of 30,000 mission badges were processed at Eniwetok, and approximately 20,000 at Bikini.

All film processing and record posting were done manually. As a result, approximately 40 individuals out of the entire Task Unit strength were assigned to the dosimetry and records sections.

re ited. d.

ur-

78

and

ini

ion

1

ts

ed

al i to reom ion i and on d as

prepea. Prior to the fallout at Eniwetok resulting from approximately 50 individuals had received technical over-exposures in excess of 3.9 r. The dosage received from fallout at Eniwetok increased this number to approximately 600.

*4.7.5 Conclusions

1. The project monitor program was successful and significantly reduced the personnel strength of TU-7.

2. The permanent and mission film badge programs were successful in providing the necessary dosage information for all personnel in the Task Force. It is believed that the total number of personnel engaged in official dosimetry work should be reduced. Difficulties encountered with the permanent film badge can be remedied.

3. Maximum permissible levels and exposures were not established to cover all important cases. A need for flexibility in a few specific cases is apparent.

4. The Task Unit organization was adequate and satisfactorily met all requirements.

*4.7.6 Recommendations

1. The project monitor program should be continued in future operations.

2. A development program aimed at improving the permanent film badge package and providing a certain amount of automation to the film processing and recording procedures should be established.

3. Maximum permissible levels and exposures should be restudied and rewritten in the light of current thinking and past experience.

4.8 TASK UNIT 8, LASL DOCUMENTARY PHOTOGRAPHY

The following were the assigned responsibilities of TU-8 during operation Redwing:

1. To make all negatives necessary to provide full report coverage for TG 7.1, and for TU-1 and TU-3 programs, in black and white and color, still and motion pictures.

2. To provide construction, accident, and general record coverage. This included a limited number of pictures of the public relations type.

3. To make technical documentary records in still and motion picture of each operation.

!

SHIP AND BOAT REQUIREMENTS, PROJECT 2.63

						the second s
Event	Station Location	TD Begin	MS Duration	No. of Proj. Personnel	Wt & Cu of Proj Equipment	Remarks (including no. & type ship or boat)
CHEROKEE ZUNI FLATHEAD NAVAJO TEVA	Out to 30 mi from GZ in fall-out area	10 Apr	Continuou use	s Skiffs unmanned.	150 lbs 10 cu ft in each skiff	16 skiffs and 2 spares will be furnished by Proj 2.63 and will be deep sea moored prior to CHEROKEE. Data will be collected between shots and equipment recot.
do	Skiff stations	10 Apr	Continuou:	s Crev - 8 Proj 2.62 -4 Proj 2.63 -4	n/a	SIOUX assigned to this project for skiff mooring and servicing. Full time use of this vessel will be required.
do	Bikini Lagoon	lu Apr		Barges un- manned	Proj 2.63 equip- ment.	YFNB barges 13 and 29 are assigned as collection platforms for Proj 2.63. To be towed into position, repositioned between shots, and anchored by TG 7.3.
do	Bikini Lagoon	lu Apr	Continuou	s Rafts un- manned	do	3 pontoon rafts to be towed into position and anchored by TG 7.3.
do	Bikini Lagoon	Occas.	ional use	n/a	n/a	Towing service to accomplish re- positioning of barges.
do	Bikini Lagoon	Occas:	ional use	n/a	n/a	LSU with crane, rigging and oper- ating crew for occasional use.
do	Anchored off NAN	After shot		Proj 2.63,-5 Proj 2.65 -2	ъ 15	YC barge to be used as packaging station for Proj 2.63 and 2.65 in case NAN is contaminated.
	Concession of the second statement of the second state		The second se	ward water and the second s		

Υ.

-167-

4

. . :

SHIP AND BOAT REQUIREMENTS, PROJECT 2.63 (cont'd)

	Station		1E	No. of Proj.	Wt & Cu of Proj	Remarks	
Event	Location	Begin	Duration	Personnel	Equipment	(Including no. & type ship or bost)	
CHEROKEE ZUNI FLATHEAD NAVA JO TEWA	YFNB's in Bikini Lagoon & HOJ Is.	, H-55	4 hrs	10 ea	250 lbs 50 cu ft each	2 LCM's (see Note 3) for final instrumentation,	
do	Raft #1 Raft #2 Barge #2 Raft #3 LOVE Other Atoll islands	H+8 H+92 H+10 H+11 H+112 D 1	1 hr 1 hr 2 hr 1 hr	5 5 5 5 5	150 lbs 50 cu ft	2 LCM's for recovery of samples not included in earlier copter trip. Samples to be delivered to NAN for flight to LIMER.	
đo	Eniwetok Atoll		ł			See Note 4.	
	Eniwetok Atoll	1 Apr	2 wks			LCU support vessel for instrumen- tation work,	

SHIP AND BOAT REQUIREMENTS PROJECT 2.65 (Cont'd)

		T	ME	No. of Proj.	Wt & Cu of Proj	Remarks
Event	Location	Begin	Duration	Personnel	Equipment	(including No.& type ship or boat)
CHEROKEE ZUNI FLATHEAD NAVAJO TEWA	Bik ini Atoll	Intern from 5	ittent dur April to	ing tests 1 July.		Cne DUMW with monorail hoist for installation & recovery of equip- ment plus 2 LCM's.
LACROSSE	Eniwetok Atoll	Intero 15 Apr	nttent dur 11 to 7 Ma	ing period Y		One LCM for installation and recovery of equipment.
CHEROKEE ZUNI NAVAJJ TEJA	Bikini Atoll	H + 6	4 hrs	п/а	n/a	Radar tracking of Proj. 2.65 survey helicopters from USS CURTISS.

į

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SHIP AND BOAT REQUIREMENTS PROJECT 2.10

		Timo		No. of Prot	Wt & Cu of Proj	Remarka	
Event	Location	Begin	Duration	Personnel	Equipient	(including No & type ship or boat)	
CHEROKEE ZUNI FLATHEAD NAVAJO TEVA	Fall-out Zone - About 50 mi from GZ.	Lea ve Bikini	5st. 4 hrs	n/A	N/A	YAG-49 maneuvered into fall-out area. Manned during fall-out from shielded room. See Froj. 2.19 Operations for details.	
də	Fall-out Zone - about 75 mi from GZ.	Iram Bikini est. H-12	Est. 5 hrs	N/A	N/A	YAG-39 maneuvered into fall-out area. Manned during fall-out from shielded room. See Proj. 2.10 Operations for details.	
đo	Fall-out Zone - about175 mi from GZ	Lea ve Bikini Est. H-16	Est. 17 hrs	N/A	N/1	LT-611 mineuvered into Call-out area. Manned during fall-out from shielded room. See Proj. 2.10 Operations for details.	
đo	YAG-39 YAG-40 LST-611	When st moored ELMER.	ips are off			See Note 4.	
do	Off ELMER Personnel Pier.	During	operation.			Desire exclusive use of small aluminum row boat for use in moor- ing YAG small boats off pier. Proj. 2.10 will furnish this row boat.	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

-174-

.

RADIU REQUIREMENTS BY PROJECTS

Project	Instrument Location	Type of Service or Equipment	Frequency	Traffic Load	Remarks
2.1_	2_DUKW's -	DUKW's - DOD Net 4 -		Light throughout operation.	See Notes 1 & 3.
2.2	2 DUKW'8	DOD Not 4	53.6 MC	do	do
2.4	None				
2.51	None				
2.52	None				
2.61	HOW rocket launcher. NAN Bldg 70, USS KNUDSON.	BC-610 (E or H) transmitter. R-390/URN receiver	3000 KC	H to H+20 min on CHEROKEE, ZUNI, FLATHEAD, HURON, NAVAJU, TEMA	
2.62	SIO buoy boat, SIO asgd LCU, SIO LCM, DE 534, DF 365, SIOUX, M/V HORIZON, Prog 2 Control Center, work skiff off SIOUX.	DOD Net 4. VRC - 18 Rx and Tx Channel F	53.6 MC	25 Mar to final event CHEROKEE, ZUNI, FLATHEAD, NAVAJO, APACHE, TEWA. Prior to H-24 of CHEROKEE, intermittent heavy. H-24 to H+36 all events, none or slight H-36 to H-24, inter- mittent heavy.	See Notes 2 & 3. t.
	M/V HORIZUN, DE 534, DE 365, Prog 2 Control Center M/V HORIZON, DE 534, DE 365	Surface communica- tions net. Chan- nel D TED/URR-13, UHF ship to air cir- cuit, channel <u>R</u>	2-18 MC 225-400 MC	1 Apr to final event. CHEROKEE, ZUNI, FLATHEAD, NAVAJO, APACHE, TEWA, H-24 to H+3, slight to moder- ate. H+3 to D+5, moderate to heavy. Same events. H+6 to end of Proj. 2.64 aerial survey, inter- mittent heavy.	

-176-

1

:

Type of Service Instrument Project Location or Equipment Traffic Load Frequency Remarks 2096, 3160, 2.62 M/V HORIZON Facsimile receiver 15 Apr to final event Receiver to be 5255.5, and deather & fall-out furnished and incont'd 5306 KĆ predictions. stalled by SIO. 1 Apr to final event-Equipment furn-M/V HORIZON Drogue to ship 4412.5 KC 9036.4 MC Radar moderate ished by SIO AN/SSQ-2B(sonar 170.5 MC buoys) 2.63 2-18 MC CHEROKEE, ZUNI, FLAT-YAG-39 Surface communica-HEAD, NAVAJU, & TEVA, YAG-4U tions net. Channel D H-6 to H-1 heavy, H LST-611 to H+8 heavy. Other Program 2 Control times light. D-2 Center first shot to end. 225-400 MC AN/URR-13 During test, inter-**YAG-39** mittent. H-4 to H+2, UHF ship to air YAG-40 circuit, Channel E LST-611 none. 1 Apr to final event See Notes 1, 2. Proj Off, - ELMER DOD Net 4 53.6 MC Tent #4 - NAN and 3. VRC-18 Rx and Tx YFNB 13 YFNB 29 YC barge Channel F ATF SIUUX 4 P2V5 aircraft, AN/URR-13 2.64 225-400 MC During test series, UHF ship to air intermittent; H-4 Bldg 218 circuit. Channel E to ll+2, none.

RADIU REQUIREMENTS BY PROJECTS (Cont'd)

(Continued)

-177-

RADIO REQUIREMENTS BY PROJECTS (Contid)

Project	Instrument Location	Type of Service or Equipment	Frequency	Trafi`ic Load	Rømarks
2.64 (cont'd)	4 P2V5 aircraft 0.1A1/6A3 communi- Program 2 Con- trol Center C		3088 KC and 6745.5 KC	CHEROKEE, ZUNI, FLAT- HEAD, NAVAJO, AFACHE, TEJA, H+2 to D+6 con- tinuous during lay.	See Note 4.
2.65	None				
2.66	Froject air- craft	air to air direction	305.4 MC	-	Assigned by CTG 7.4.
2.7	Use Project 2.6	3 facilities.			
2.8	Use Project 2.6	3 facilities.			
2.9	Use Project 2.6	3 facilities.			
2.10	IAG-39 IAG-40 LST-611 Rldg223 EIMER Tent 23 EIMER pier	DOD Net, 4, Channel F	53.6 MC	Throughout tests, intermittent.	See Notes 1, 2, and 3.
	YAG-39 YAG-40	Remote control	3U.133 MC 32.089 MC	Emergency use only.	For remote con- trol of one YAG by other. Must be between 30-42 MC if these 2 cannot be appro- ved.
	Use Project 2 6	3 facilities			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1

2

-178-

RADIU REQUIREMENTS BY CHANNELS

Channel Des	ignation			15Feb-	- Status P-Pending
Program 2 Control Center	CTG 7.3	Frequencies	Type of Service	Instrument Location	A-Approved
A	14	310000 nights 6693KC days	Telemeter	P2V5&s, #1 and #3, Control Center, Bldg 218 on ELMER.	P
В	15	3151 Atghts 6708KC days	Telemeter	P2V5's, #2 and #4, Control Center, Bldg 218 on ELMER.	P
C	12	3088 KC and 6745.5 KC	CW, Voice	4 P2V5's, Control Center, and AUC.	A
:	5	TG 7.3 Voice Common	Backup, Voice	-	
	4C	TG 7.3 Voice Common	Backup, CW	the second secon	Đ
D	Ľ	2-18 MC	Communications	YAG-39, YAG-40, LST-611, M/V HORIZON, DE-534, DE-365, Control Center.	1
E	13	225-400 MC	Air to ship communications	4 P2V5's, YAG-39, YAG-40, LST 611, M/V HORIZON, DE-534, DE-365, Bldg 218 on ELMER.	P
F		53.6 MC	DOD Nev #4 (See Note 3)	SIO vessels (PB, LCU, LCM, M/V HURIZUN), SIUUX, Con- trol Center, DE-534, DE-365, YAG-39, YAG-40, LST-611, YFNB-13, YFNB-29, YC, 1 skiff Bldg 223-Rm 7 on ELMER (2.10) Proj 2.63 Office on ELMER, Tent #4 on NAN(2.63), Tent #23 on ELMER pier(2.10), Port able sets from radio pool in- stalled in DUKW's and LCM's.	A
		223-229MC	Telemeter	Transmitter in Proj 2.61 rockets. Receivers at Bldg 70 on NAN, and USS KNUDSON.	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RADIU REQUIREMENTS BY CHANNELS (Cont'd)

Channel Designation					Status
Program 2 Control Center	CTG 7.3	Frequencies	Type of Service	Instrument Location	r-Pending A-Approve
		3000 KC	BC-610 Transmit- ter, R-390/URR Receiver.	Transmitter in Proj 2.61 rockets. Receivers at Bldg 70, NAN, and USS KNUDSUN.	A
		305.440	Air to Air Comm.	Proj 2,66 aircraft (to be assigned by CTG 7.4).	A
		4412.5 KC	Drogue to ship.	Transmitters on Proj 2.62 drogues, recaiver on M/V HORIZON.	Р
		170,5 MC	Drogue to ship.	Proj 2.62 sonar buoys and M/V HORIZON.	P
		2096, 3160, 5255 5 5306 KG	Facsimile Receiv-	Receiver on M/V HORIZON.	N/A
		19036.4 HC	Radar	M/V HORIZON	Р
		30.133 MC 32.089 MC	Remote control.	In YAG's 39 and 40. For emer- gency use only, for remote con- trol of one YAG by the other. Must be between 30 and 42 MC if these two cannot be approved	1
		u 1			

-181-

١

PROJECT	FREQUENCY	LOC	ATION			
		TRANSMITTER	RECE IVER	EVENT	TIME	
2,1	NONE					
2,2	NONE					
2.4	NOIE	`				
2.51	NONE			·····		
2.52	HONE					
2.61	223 MC	llead of atmo-	a. USS KHUDSON	CHEROKEE	H+5 min to H+25 min.	
	224 MC	spheric sound-	about 35 mi from	ZUNI		
	225 MC	ing vehicles	GZ.	FLATHEAD		
	226 MC		b. NAN, Bldg 70.	AURON		
·	227 MC		(Note: 2 pr hard			
	228 MC		wire required from	TEMA		
	229 m		Taunching revet-		1	
		1				
2.73	HONE		DIAG 10 ON (114)			
2.02	NONE					
2.05	HE Volce	P2V post //7	Program 2 Control	CHEROKEE	1142 to Dt6 continuous	
~•04	Channel A	and #3	Center.	ZINT	during daylight hours	
		una "y		FLATHEAD	and the any rest nours.	
		1		NAVAJO		
		· ·		APACHE		
	HF Voice	P2V acft #2	Program 2 Contrus	do	do	
	Channel B	and #4	Center			
1	HF Voice					
	Channel A	None - Transmit	Bldg 218 - ELMER	Calibration	Continuous during cali-	
	and B	from acft dur-		and test	bration. Intermittent	
		ing calibration		prior to and	throughout operation.	
				during above	No transmission H-4 to	
-				events.	Hr2	
	do ·	Bldg 218 -	None - To acft			
		IELMER	Iduring calibration	l	l do	

C131231 (623)

SECTION VI - F

٩.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1

-182-

