LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

1

LA-UR-89-911

CCNF- 811335- 22 LA-UR--89-911

Received by OSTI

DE89 009287

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

APR 0 6 1989

.....

HIGH-PERFORMANCE DEUTERIUM-LITHIUM NEUTRON SOURCE FOR FUSION MATERIALS AND TECHNOLOGY TESTING

AUTHOR(S):

TITLE:

G. P. Lawrence T. S. Bhatia B. Blind F. W. Guy R. A. Krakowski

G. H. Neuschaefer N. M. Schnurr S. O. Schriber G. L. Varsamis T. P. Wangler M. T. Wilson

SUBMITTED TO:

1989 Particle Accelerator Conference Chicago, IL March 20-23, 1989

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its enforcement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Sovernment or any agency thereof. The viewand opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States flowernment or any agency thereof.

racceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty, free license to publish or reproduce the ablished form of this contribution or to allow others to do so for U.S. Government purposes.

ie Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



.os Alamos National Laboratory .os Alamos New Mexico 87545 MASTER

Ľ

Browning and Market and State

M NO 836 84

HIGH-PERFORMANCE DEUTERIUM-LITHIUM NEUTRON SOURCE FOR FUSION MATERIALS AND TECHNOLOGY TESTING *

G. P. Lawrence, T. S. Bhatia, B. Blind, F. W. Guy, R. A. Krakowski, G. H. Neuschaefer, N. M. Schnurr, S. O. Schriber, G. L. Varsamia,[†] T. P. Wangler, and M. T. Wilson.

MS-H817, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

Abstract

Advances in high-current linear-accelerator technology since the design of the Fusion Materials Irradiation Test (FMIT) Facility1 have increased the attractiveness of a deuterium-lithium (D-Li) neutron source for fusion materials and technology testing. This paper discusses a new approach to such a source aimed at meeting the near-term requirements of a high-flux high-energy International Fusion Materials Irradiation Facility (IFMIF). The concept employs multiple accelerator modules² providing deuteron beams to two liquid-lithium jet targets oriented at right angles.³ This beam/target geometry provides much larger test volumes than can be attained with a single beam and target and produces significant regions of low neutron-flux gradient. A preliminary team-dynamics design has been obtained for a 250-mA reference accelerator module. Neutronflux levels and irradiation volumes were calculated for a neutron source incorporating two such modules, and interaction of the beam with the lithium jet was studied using a thermal-hydraulic computer simulation. Cost estimates are provided for a range of beam currents and a possible facility staging sequence is suggested.

Introduction

According to a recent international assessment,⁴ the present understanding of materials behavior in a fusion reactor radiation environment is insufficient to guarantee the required performance and endurance of future reactor components. The perceived need for a high flux materials testing neutron source resulted in the current International Energy-Agency (IEA) initiative to examine the source requirements and to evaluate the technologies available for meeting them in the near term 5

This paper presents an accelerator driven source concept that is derived from FMIT, but takes advantage of improvements in the technology of high current ion accelerators^{6,7} to offer a more attractive and cold effective facility for fusion materials testing As in FMIT, 35 MeV deuterons are used to generate a fusion like neutron spectrum from the thick target yield of the Li(d,n) nuclear stripping reaction. This spectrum, which peaks near a neutron energy of 14 MeV, produces atomic displacements (dpa) and transmutation products (c.g., Helium) in irradiated materials with ratios that bracket the complete range of fusion r actor environments. Hecause the deuteron energy is adjustable, the doa/He ratio could, in principle, be tuned to study possible spectrum dependent effects

A modular accelerator and target configuration is envisaged, as hown in Fig. 1, which provides for fest cell flux and volume flexibilis flux gradient tailoring, staged expansion of capability, and improved facility availability. Although many accelerator design variations are possible, this paper focuses on a two module source, with each unit delivering a 250 mA cw beam. Each accelerator module would consist of two D* de injectors, two radio frequency pandropoles (RFQ), a beam funnel, and a single drift tube linac DTL The reference neutron source contains two lithium jet targets riented at 90°, with each target receiving one beam. As implied in the figure, total current could be expanded to 1000 mA by adding two accelcenter modules or reduced to 250 mA by eliminating one RFQ from ach module



Fig 1. Reference Neutron Source: Two 250-mA accelerator modules and two lithium targets. Lightly-drawn modules indicate upgrade potential

FMIT Technology Base

The FMIT facility was to provide a 100 mA deuteron beam to a lithium jet target, generating a 0.5 utre-test volume exposed to a minimum uncollided neutron flux of 1014 m/cm2 s (equivalent to fusion reactor wall loading power of 2.3 MW/m²), and a 10 cm³ volume at 10¹⁵ n cm² s (23 MW/m²). Flux gradients in the test zone were high The accelerator consisted of a 100 keV D* cw injector followed by a 2 MeV RFO and a 35 MeV DTL, both operating at 80 MHz - The DTL occelerating gradient was 1 MV/m, and the total RF power required was 5.4 MW. The deuteron beam was to be conveyed to the lithium jet by a high energy beam transport (HEBT) system that included an energy modulating of cavity for broadening the beam energy spread to 0.5 MeV (rms). Lathours flow rate in the jet was 17.3 m/s, and peak beam power deposition density in the jet reached 1.8 MW:cm³

Before the project termination in 1984, FMIT firmly established technical feasibility for the D Li source concept. The program included neutronics calculations to determine test cell flux levels and solumes, thermal hydraulic calculations to model the beam target interaction, development and operation of a prototype lithium jet and acculation system, construction and ew operation of a prototype espector and RFQ, and a complete engineering design for the facility.

New Accelerator Concept

since the completion of the EMIT design there have been so, ofand infrances in high current ion linar technology that will all a

This work was supported by Los Alamos National Laboratory Program Development Funds under the auspices of the US DOE "Supported in part by an appointment to the US DOE Fusion Friergy Postdoctural Rosearch Program administered by Oak Ridge Associated Inconstitute

Conversities

construction of an improved D-Li neutron source, with higher performance at lower effective cost. These advances include: a comprehensive emittance-growth theory; better beam-dynamics simulation codes; development of the beam-funneling concept for current multiplication; the use of high accelerating-structure frequencies, permanent-magnet quadrupoles (PMQ), and ramped accelerating gradients to control beam-emittance growth and halo growth; and the use of high-order optics in beam transport systems to manipulate beam profiles.

The 250-mA accelerator module proposed as the building block of our reference source concept is sketched in Fig. 1, which also tabulates frequencies, currents, and energies selected for each component. Preliminary beam dynamics simulations have been carried out for this module and are discussed below.

Injector, RFQ, and Funnel

Because of beam loss inherent in the RFQ bunching process, about 140 mA of D⁺ must be injected to obtain 125 mA at the output. This requirement could be met by a duopigatron ion source similar to one operating at Chalk River Nuclear Laboratury.⁸ The selected RFQ frequency (175 MHz) is more than twice that of FMIT, allowing a large reduction in transverse structure dimensions. High-power (0.5 to 1.0 MW cw) tetrodes are commercially available to provide the accelerating energy.

Beam behavior in the RFQ was simulated with the code PARMTEQ, using a 1000-superparticle input distribution uniformly filling a fourdimensional transverse phase-space hyperellipsoid. The longitudinal distribution was that of a continuous beam with zero energy spread. Figure 2 shows the radial distribution, phase width, and energy spread of these particles as the beam traverses the RFQ Table 1 lists important RFQ parameters not displayed in Fig. 2; the transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) beam emittances shown are normalized rms values



Fig. 2. Beam parameters in RFQ vs. PARMTEQ cell number TOP Horizontal Jisplacement.cm: MIDDLE Phase deviation from synchronous (degrees) BOTTOM Energy deviation from synchronous (MeV)

The output beems from the two RFQs are combined longitudinells at twice the RFQ frequency in a funnel of the type soon to be tested at Los Alamos. At the funnel entrance, the beams are 16.4 cm apart and are converging at a relative angle of 20°. Each beam is transported

Table L RFQ Parameters

Mean aperture	1.2 cm	RF power (copper)	03 MW
Tank diameter	36 cm	RF power (berm)	04 MW
Structure length	5.4 m	RF power (total)	07 MW
Surface field (peak)	25 MV/m	Output emittance (T)	0.27s mm-mr
Transmission	89.3%	Output emittance (L)	0.46g mm·mr

separately through four PMQs and a 175-MHz buncher to the beam combining elements, which consist of a large-aperture defocusing PMQ and a 175-MHz rf-deflection cavity. The bunches from each RFQ are separated by 180° in phase, and are kicked onto a common longitudinal axis by the rf deflector. An additional four PMQs and two 350-MHz bunchers provide a six-dimensional phase-space match from the funnel into the DTL.

Drift-Tube Linac

The DTL consists of two 350-MHz tanks operating as $1\beta\lambda$ structures. The focusing pattern of the drift-tube quadrupoles is FOFO DODO, and their field gradient is ramped from 120 to 100 T m with increasing beam energy. The accelerating field in the first tank is ramped from 3 to 4 MV/m, while in the second the field is held constant at 4 MV/m. Radio-frequency power would be supplied by 1 MW cw, 350-MHz klystrons now available from several manufacturers. The frequency is more than four times that of FMIT, and the accelerating gradient is three to four times higher, resulting in a much more compact accelerator. Improved control of beam halos (and beam loss) is expected with the higher frequency structure

The simulation code PARMILA was run with 1000 superparticles to examine the DTL beam dynamics at 250 mA. The input phase space distribution is that of a uniformly filled six-dimensional hyperellipsoid whose rms dimensions match those obtained from the RFQ output. No particles from this distribution were lost from interception by the drift tubes. Figure 3 shows the beam's radial dimension as it traverses the DTL, along with its phase width and energy spread Table II lists important DTL parameters not mentioned above



Fig. 3. Beam parameters in DTL vs.P*RMILA cell number 10P Horizontal displacement from MIDDLE Phase deviation from synchronous degree 80170M Energy deviation from synchronous. McV

Table I. DTL Parameters						
Tank diameter	5∪ cm.	Output emittance (T)	0.30 rmm.mr			
No. of drift tubes	128	Output emittance (L)	0 51s mm-mr			
Drift-tube aperture	2.0 cm	RF power (copper)	3.3 MW			
Total length	13 m.	RF power (beam)	8.0 MW			
Beam loading	715	RF power (total)	11 3 MW			

High-Energy Beam Transport

The HEBT will consist of a periodic focusing system with at least one bend, so that back-angle neutrons from the target strike a shielded dump rather than the accelerator. A spur-line and a high-power beam stup will be needed to permit accelerator tuning before beam is switched to the target. Special elements will be inserted into the HEBT o increase the beam's energy spread to 1.0 MeV (rms) and to flatten and widen the transverse distribution. These manipulations are required to maintain sufficiently low peak power-deposition density in the lithium jet.

Both internal and external forces can be used to obtain the required beam energy spread. If the periodic focusing system at the end of the DTL is continued into the HEBT, longitudinal space-charge forces will increase the rms energy spread of a 250 mA beam from 70 to 506 keV within five meters. A 2 MV, 350-MHz energy dispersion cavity placed near the end of the HEBT can provide an additional 500 keV energy spread. Preliminary calculations show the feasibility of using non-linear optics (octupoles)⁹ in the HEBT to obtain a wide. flat, horizontal plane beam density profile at the lithium target rather than the Gaussian distribution assumed for FMIT. In addition to lowering the power deposition in the target, this feature provides a more uniform neutron flux distribution in the test volume

Target Headlag

The steady-state interaction of a 250-mA deuteron beam with the lithium jet was modeled by a Los Alamos adaptation of the two-dimensional Patankar Spalding thermal hydraulic code¹⁰ using the same flow conditions as in FMIT (17.3 m/s flow velocity, 220°C inlet tem persiture, 1.9 cm inlet jet thickness). Energy deposition vs depth profiles for 15-MeV deuterons were calculated using the code $\Gamma RIM(89, 11)$ assuming a Gaussian beam energy distribution with a 1.0 MeV rms value. The beam spatial profil, at the target was specified as a 4 cm wide rectangular distribution with 1 cm rms Gaussian edges in the direction normal to the lithium flow and a 1 cm rms Gaussian distribution in the flow direction Figure 4 compares the specific energy deposition profile calculated for a monoenergetic 45 MeV beam with that for a beam with a 1.0 MeV rms energy spread showing that a factor of 2 reduction in dEdx can be obtained at the Bragg peak



Fig. 4. Energy loss in hthours target for 35 MeV deuterons Monoenergetis beam ١. B. Beam with 1.0 MeV rins energy spread

Figure 5 compares the maximum lithium temperature in the jet with the saturation temperature (boiling point) as a function of the tance from the target back wall. The selected temperature profile passes through the maximum temperature point in the lithium, about 3 cm below the beam centerline, at this location the jet thickness is 2.1 cm. For the chosen beam parameters, the lithium temperature remains safely below the local boiling point, even with 2.5 times the FMIT deuteron current, except in a very thin layer at the jet surface The lithium evaporation rate from this surface layer is found to be negligible





Neutronica

Uncollided neutron flux contours were calculated for several beam target configurations. A representative contour plot presented in terms of equivalent neutron wall-loading powers is shown in Fig. 6. for the reference case of two 250 mA beams incident on two targets stiented at 90° and centered 10 cm from their common vertix. These plots were produced from point-wise flux data generated by the computer code used for the original FMIT neutronics calculations 12 This code is based on a complete set of differential cross sections for several deuteron energies and several neutron energies and angles. the cross sections are generated from semi-empirical fits of experimental measurements to Li(d,n) stripping theory as well as other ontributing nuclear reactions. The resulting three dimensional point source neutron flux maps were then combined to give conteur plots for selected beam/target geometries



Neutron wall loading power contour plot for two 2500 mX beams and two lithium targets at relative opentation. C.00 and spaced 10 cm from vertex

In addition to the reference case, contour plots were composed to three otherm target orientations and spacings. These exceeded at test region neutron flux gradients could be tailored to out (phone) a conception intall requirements by varying these parameter evolution and spacing over a limited range

Using the 3-D flux maps, it was possible to estimate the available test volume exposed to a specific average neutron flux (in the simplifying limit of no perturbation introduced by test samples or the lithium jet). This volume is plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of total beam current for different (average) wall loadings. The beam/target geometry is as given in Fig. 5. Test volumes estimated for FMIT are shown for comparison.



Fig. 7. Test volume vs total beam current at several neutron wall loading levels for contour map of Fig. 6 FMIT test volumes are shown for comparison

Concinaiona

We described a D-Li neutron source that would have five times the feuteron current of FMIT. In the reference beam/target geometry, the test volume at a specific average uncollided neutron flux scales approximately as $|I_d|^{1/8}$, where I_d is the total deuteron current. The test solume available in the reference (FMIF concept would therefore the 14 times greater than in FMIT (for the same average uncollided neutron flux). Beam-dynamics simulations show that a compact, togh frequency RFQ/DTL accelerator design is feasible at 250 mA, and that it should perform with small emittance growth and negligible beam loss. Target heating simulations show that the energy deposition problem is tractable at 250 mA with suitable manipulation if the beam energy spread and opausi profile in the HEBT.

In a multimodule facility, each accelerator unit would be housed in a separately shielded vault so that maintenance could be carried int on any unit without shutting dow (the entire neutron output. This feature would increase overall facility availability for users

One can imagine a facility staging scenario that starts with a single linac module with an output current as low as 25 mÅ \pm RFQ; but which is designed with the correct choice of frequency, gradient, etc. to operate at up to 250 mÅ. The facility could be upgraded in steps to adding RF power, then a second RFQ, and then a second accelerate remodule to reach 500 mÅ. The final upgrade to 1000 mÅ would involve the addition of two more accelerators as suggested in Fig. 1. X preliminary construction and operation cost analysis has been arrived out for the range of total beam currents and is summarized in Table III costs are in 194. AUS. The accelerator estimates are based in receive costs are entrap-lated from EMIT. Electric power costs assume 90% beam on time.

Table III. Facility Cost Estimate Summer-

Total current	125 mA	250 mA	500 mA	1000 mA
Construction	107 M	150 M	232 M	384 M
Electric power	53 M/yr	8.7 M/yr	17.4 M/yr	34 8 M/yr
Total operating	13.9 M/yr	19 8 M/yr	32.7 M/yr	54 5 M/yr

conventional ac/RF power-conversion efficiency (0.46), and a line source as economical as that for FMIT ((0.035/kW-h)). A plot of the construction cost estimates as a function of total deuteron current reveals that these costs scale approximately as $(I_d)^{0.62}$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Fred M Mann and James J Holmes (WHC) for their help with neutronics calculations and facility cost estimates. The consultation of James A. Hassberger (LLNL) on the lithium target heating calculations was invaluable.

References

- A. L. Trego, J. W. Hagan, E. K. Opperman, and R. J. Burke, Fusion Materials Irradiation Test Facility – A Facility for Fusion Materials Qualification, Nuclear Technology (Fusion, 4:2) Part 2, 695, September 1963.
- 2 G. P. Lawrence, T. P. Wangler, S. O. Schnber, E. L. Kemp, M. T. Wilson, T. S. Bhatas, G. H. Neuschaefer, F. W. Guy, and D. D. Armstrong, Alligh Performance D-Lithium Neutron Source for Fusion Technology Testing Accelerator Driver Design, Proc. 6th Topical Meeting on the Technology of Fusion Energy, Salt Lake City, Utzh, October 1968, to be published.
- F. M. Mann, "IFMIF, An Accelerator-Based Neutron Source for Fusion Components Irradiation Testing, Proc. 8th Topical Meeting on the Technology of Fusion Energy, Soit Lake City, Utsh, October 1988, to be published.
- Materials for Pusion," A Report to the Fusion Power Coordinating Committee of the International Energy Agency by the Senior Advisory Panel (S Amelinchi, Chairman), December 1903
- International Fusion-Materials-Irradiation Partitity (IFMIF) Workshop for the International Energy Agency, San Diego, California, February 14-17, 1989
- High Surrent, High-Brightness, and High Duty Factor Ion Injecture, La Julia Institute 1998, G. H. Gillespie, Y. Y. Kuo, D. Kawie, and T. P. Wangler, Eds., AIP Canf. Proc. 139, 1996
- F. P. Wangler, Physics Design of Linear Accelerators for Intense Ion Heams, Proc. 1988 Linear Accelerator Conf., Williameburg Virginia, Octaber 1988, to be published.
- M. R. Shubaly, High Current DC Ion Source Development at CRN1. IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NB-00 (3), 3065, June 1979.
- A. J. Jaann, B. Blind, and E. M. Svaton, Uniform Ribbon Haam Generation for Accelerator Production of Tritium, Proc. 1988. Union Accelerator, Conf., Williamsburg, Virginia, October 1983, to bu published.
- (1) N.V. Patankar and D.B. Spalding, Heat and Mass Transfer in Boundary Lovers, A general Calculation Principal and Ed. Interfert Boom London, 1970.
- J. F. Logler, J. P. Bieraach, C. Littmach, *Dis Stopping, and Range of I-ne-in* Solids, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965).
- 22 F. M. Mann, F. Schmittreih, and L. L. Carter. Neutrins from D-Li and the FMIT Irradiation Environment, Hanford Engineering Development Calumatory report (EDL IV 1459) November 1981.