LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

w

TA-UR- 87-4275

IAN 1

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy Under contract & 1405-EN3-36

LA-UR--87-4275

DE88 004297

TITLE EVALUATION OF THE NEUTRON SELF-INTERROGATION APPROACH FOR ASSAY OF PLUTONIUM IN HIGH-a, n MATERIALS

AUTHORIS) P. A. Russo, H. O. Menlove, K. W. Fife, M. H. West, and B. L. Miller

SUBMITTED TO American Nuclear Society Third International Conference on Facility Operations - Safeguards Interface San Diego, California November 29-December 4, 1987 (Full Paper)

(4) Ell estation el titils article the cublisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive indvaty-free license to publish or reprinduale they shared to see this sector by the contract way shere to do so for a 5. Government purposes

The construction is the state of the equesis that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of the pay-

MASTER SALAMOS Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

1

ence herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark

not necessarily

constitute or imply its endorsement, recom-

not infringe privately uwned rights. Reter

any information, apparatus, product, or

employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsi

Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their This report was prepared as an account of work sporsored by an agency of the United States

DISCLAIMER

process disclosed, or represents that its use would bility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of

manufacturer, or otherwise does

United States Government or any agency thereof

and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of mendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The second

R

EVALUATION OF THE NEUTRON SELF-INTERROGATION APPROACE FOR ASSAY OF PLUTONIUM IN HIGH-G. & MATERIALS

P. A. Russo, H. O. Menlove, K. W. Fife, M. H. West, and B. L. Miller Los Alamos National Laboratory Group N-1, MS-E540 Los Alamos, NM 37545 (505) 667-2160

ABSTRACT

Neutron self-interrogation is a proposed method for assay of plutonium in bulk materials with very high din acti ity. The simple assay approach assumes that neutron multiplication for the calibration standards is the same as that for the bulk stems. Efforts to use bulk properties to determine corrections to the caliprstion for changing multiplication have been initiated. Self-interrogation assays of bulk pyrochemical residues have been performed. Comparison with tag values obtained by difference gives poor agreement. Comparison with tag val-Les obtained by dissolution and destructive analysis gives agreement at the $10N + 1\sigma^2$ (even with no corrections for changing package dimensions or matrix amounts. The agreement improves by a factor of 2 or more of a bulk correction factor (derived from a packaging matrix study with standards) is applied.

I. INTRODUCTION

Esincidence counting of correlated neutrons that arise from the spontaneous fission if the fertile isotopes of plutonium is an apploach to the bulk assay of plutonium of known themical form. As the plutonium mass increas et leutron multiplication raises the councy dence signal above the linear response for a given effective fertile (²⁴⁰P), eff. mass for put metallic plutonium all neutrons iniginate from fission and are mostly correlated

The measured rares of real implies non-intradences or reals on R and roral improves on talk or D can no used to a control for multiplication land bence for a control of R for the induced fission personne RilFor and for the spontaneous forsion case component RisFo which is directly proportional to the RisFo which is directly proportional to for personal fission of interaction for personal fission of interaction for personal fission of the second for any proportional of the second of pictorium is a concriming and an additional imponent. To the rorate rate. Although incorrelated, the x.n neutrons can be the source of an additional inducedfission component of the reals rate. When the material is relatively well interacterized (for example, when the plutonium is in pure oxide form with a known americium content), the ratio of the x.n to spontaneous-fission components of the totals rate $[T(\alpha) + T(SF) \text{ or } \alpha]$ can be calculated and used, along with the measured R and T. to correct for multiplication and to solve for 240 pu-eff. This approach? is applied internationally and domestically in the perification of bulk quantities of nuclear materials

Most forms of plutonium in scrap recovery operations, and many forms of plutonium elsewhere are impure and poorly characterised inemically desidues from the pyrochemical recovery processes are among the most outstanding examples. Furthermore, certain residue and product categories, such as the spent sair from americium molten sait extraction MSE: contain large amounts of americium (typically in the few-percent range for low-burnup material) and a clues for these materials are typically is are the for the suiting high totals only is rates cause P(IF) to approach and (free except

For such materials, multiplicary or or etions based on R and T measurements cylocities applied because of the large ingnown and are able in component in T. The use of them entional destructive and nondestructies assay methods is complicated by physical and here a inhomogeneities high americal motions are high contact radiation dose rates how other with this are often shipped hereen a subject with dose are often shipped hereen a non- a stored for estimated by fifthere a show a subcontrol by fifthere a when a subject a measure of reserve and non- and a subject would be used by a subject a subject a conficult purposes.

The methodology of section set come is the set of a signer of approximation of a company of bulk plutonium-bearing materials with high a, n rates, 4 Because of the extremely high neutron rates, the neutron coincidence counting of high-a, a plutonium bulk residues has not been possible until recently with the introduction of fast-counting upgrades⁵ to the traditional analog circuitry used with ³He proportional coupters. The high-level neutron coincidence counter, the HLNC-II.⁶ is a commercially available well counter that is appropriately upgraded. The equivalent upgrades have also been installed on several of the in-line thermal noutron counters (TNCs) at the Los Alamos Plutonium Facility, TA-55. One of these TNCs and an off-line HLNC-II are currently employed at TA-55 in an evaluation of the neutron self-interrogation approach for the assay of plutonium in high-q,n materials.

This paper documents the progress of the evaluation of the SI method for bulk plutonium assay. The work is the joint effort of the Los Alamos Safeguards Assay Group and the Plutonium Metal Technology Group and relies heavily on the nuclear materials processing, characterization, and handling capabilities of the research and development organisation at TA-55.

II. SI ASSAY HETBODOLOGY

For bulk plutonium-bearing material of relatively constant fissile density and dimensions, the magnitude of R(IF) is a function of both the fissile content and the intensity of the neutron source. The totals rate is a measure of the neutron source intensity. Therefore, the ratio R(IF)/T is a function of the fissile content. The dependence of this function on a decreases as the ratio of uncorrelated (g.n) to correlated (fission) neutrons increases. Therefore, the fissile content of materials such as the spent MSE salts (for which the d.n neutron rate is typically more than 90% of the totals rate) can be treated as a simple function of R(IF)/T, independent of the α, n NOUTCH TATA.

The simple SI assay requires a knowledge of two functions. One of these describes the dependence of the fissile mass on R(IF)/T. The other describes the dependence of R(SF) on the festile mass. The inverse of the second fund tion is the delibration function for the effec tive fertile assay pased on the measured emultiplication corrected) spontaneous fission reals rate. Both functions can be determined with standards. The iterative use of these functions in the simple SI assay method (an be du scribed graphically using Fig. 1. The measured R T ratio is used to obtain a first approxima tion to the effective fissile 7486. g 23 Pu eff. from the graph at the right side of Eq. 1. This is used to solve for the ploto nummer , γ Pu, with the formula?

TOTALS
$$T = T(SF) + T(x,n) + T(IF)$$

REALS

R = R(SF) + R(IF) R(IF) = R - R(SF)

MULTIPLICATION



Fig. 1. Graphic illustration of the simple SI assay methodology. The plot at the right is the (measured) ratio R(IF)/T vs the effective fissile plutonium mass. The plot at the left is the (inferred) R(SF) vs the effective fertile plutonium mass. The plots are used iteratively to convergence.

$$g^{239}Pu=0ff + g^{2}Pu = (0.738 + f_{238} + f_{239} + 0.502 + f_{240} + 1.367 + f_{241} + 0.407 + f_{242} + 0.539 + f_{241,Am}), \quad (1)$$

where the f quantities are the known isotope weight flactions. (For low-burnup plutonium, only an approximate knowledge of these isotopic fractions is required because of the dominance of ²³⁹Pu, although the effect of variable and unknows amounts of americium at the few percent level is clear.) The plutonium mass is used to solve for the effective fortile mass.¹

This is used to obtain R(SF) from the graph st the left side of Fig. 1. The R(SF) result is used to update R(IF) as follows:

R(17) - R - R(SF) -

Then R((27) is divided by T to obtain the over-Approximation to R((27)-T and become to g^{23} Pu-eff. Convergence at the 1% level occurs after about five iterations. Note that Eq. (3) is valid when α is very large.

III. PACKAGING AND MATRIX CONSIDERATIONS

The simple formalism described above can be applied when the α ,n rates are very high and when the package dimensions remain fixed. However, if the shape of the bulk package changes, or if matrix material is removed (or added) to concentrate (or dilute) the plutonium, the multiplication changes, and R(IF)/T will change for a given fissile mass.

The MSE salts, as well as other pyrochemical residues generated at Los Alamos, are stored in four different can sizes. Furthermore, the bulk masses typically vary from 1 to 3 kg, although the plutonium mass rarely exceeds 200 g. Because of high radiation dose rates, the spent salts are broken out from the crucibles with minimal handling. The containers of spent salt held chunks ranging in size from small grains to large (-10-cm) irregularly shaped pieces. Also packed with the salt (more often than not) are the broken pieces of magnesium oxide crucible. Finally, residues shipped to Los Alamos from other sites arrive in their own characteristic containers and display other packaging and matrix dissimilarities relative to the Lon Alamos-generated residues.

IV. SIMPLE MODEL OF PACKAGING AND MATRIX EFFECTS

The calibration for the SI assay consists of two functions described graphically in Fig. 1. The quantity R(IF)/T for a given ²³⁹Pu-eff mass will diffe: from the result determined with the standards if the bulk material is in a different-sise package from that of the standards and/or if the volume of matrix in the bulk material differs from that of the standards. It is desirable to be able to correct the calibration based on the observed bulk properties so that a single calibration (obtained from one set of standards), appropriately corrected, can be used for all package dimensions and matrix quantities.

A macroscopic expression is derived for this purpose. For bulk fissile material, the fraction of neutrons produced within the bulk mass that induce fission in a single collision is

where σ_n (is the microscopic cross section for induced fission, ρ is the fissile atom density.

and χ is the neutron path length. The average chord length through an object of arbitrary shape B is

$$\bar{Q} = 4 \bullet v/a , \qquad (5)$$

where v and a are the volume and surface area, respectively, of the object. For a cylindrically shaped object, $\overline{2}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{\hat{L}} = \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r} / (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{h}) , \qquad (6)$$

where r and h are the radius and height, respectively, of the cylinder. The fissile atom density for a cylindrical package is

$$\rho = M_f + N_o/A + \pi + r^2 + h , \qquad (7)$$

where M_f is the fissile mass, and N_o and A are Avogadro's number and the atomic mass (239 for low-burnup plutonium), respectively. Assume that χ is proportional to $\overline{\ell}$. Substituting Eqs. (6) and (7) into Eq. (4) (where $\overline{\ell}$ replaces χ) gives the fraction of neutrons produced within a cylindrical bulk mass that induce fission in a single collision:

$$f_{I} \propto 2 + \sigma_{n,f} + M_{f} + N_{o}/A + \pi + r(r + h)$$

= (2 + $\sigma_{n,f} + M_{f} + N_{o}/A + \pi) + g_{I}$, (8)

where

$$g_{I} = \{r(r + h)\}^{-1}$$
 (8a)

is the geometry factor.

For two cylindrical bulk items, 1 and 2. with the same Mg but different r and h (because of different containers and/or matrix quant: ties), the catio of the induced-fission probabilities is

The quantity of is a correction factor based on bulk geometries that is applied to calibration function 1 (obtained with standards in contain of 1 that were mixed with matrix to fill beight 1) to give calibration function 2 (corresponding to a different container diameter and or matrix amount).

V. STANDARDS MEASUREMENTS

Two sets of standards were prepared for use in the calibration and evaluation of the SI assay. Because the special nuclear material (SNM) used for these standards was a recent product of scrap recovery, the americium levels were low. The high q, n rate requirement was achieved with Puf4, and in some cases, the standards contained PuO₂ admixtures. The major components of the standards were KC1 and NaC1 salts in equal molar amounts, typical of many pyrochemical salt residues including most MSE salts generated at Los Alamos. All SNM used for the standards as well as all process materials reported herein consisted of low-burnup (6% 240Pu) plutonium. Therefore the calibration data and subsequent assay results are presented here vs total plutonium mass, which is directly proportional to total fissile mass with a negligible error (<0.5%, 1*a*).

The "original" standards, 9 1-8, consisted of weighed amounts of PuF4 and, in four cases, PuF_4 and PuO_2 (to vary the magnitude of the α, n contribution to the totals rate) mixed with 300 g MgCl₂ (the MSE oxidizing agent) and enough KCl and NaCl to achieve a total mass of 1000 g. Standards 1-4 contained no PuO2. The percent plutonium contributed by the PuO2 to the total plutonium mass in standards 5-8 was 18, 24, 62, and 51, respectively. The salt matrix mass is low compared with typical bulk salt masses observed for spent MSE salts ('2000 g). The original standards were packaged in No. 10 slip-lid stainless steel cans (pictured in Fig. 2). The average ratio of the stoichiometric plutonium masses of the original standards to segmented gamma scan assays (precise to 2.5%, 10) performed on these standards was 1.03 + 0.01 (10).



Fig. 2. Drawings of the containers used in the standards study of packaging and matrix effects. The container dimensions are given. The approximate fill heights for the standards, before and after dilution (D), are shown.

The original standards were neutron counted in the HLNC-II.

Because the original standards had been shipped to another site temporarily, after completion of measurements with the HLNC-II (and before the TNC upgrade), a second set of standards was prepared to provide additional calibration data for the HLNC-II and for the upgraded TNC and also for use in a study of packaging and matrix effects. The "new" standards, 9-14, contained only PuF_{4} , KCl, and NaCl, and were packaged initially in the same No. 10 cans used for the original standards. The masses of the salt matrix were chosen to achieve a total mass of 1000 g initially. The PuF4 used for the new standards was recently prepared by hydrofluorination of PuO2. The batch was mixed and three samples were taken for destructive analysis before the PuF4 was weighed out for the standards. The sampling and weighing were carried out on the same day in a dry air atmosphere. Table I gives the plutonium masses for the standards computed from stoichiometric weight fractions applied to the weighed quantities of PuF4 as well as those obtained using the weight frac-tions from destructive analysis. The agreement is excellent. (Refer to Table I.) For additional verification of the reference values, calorimetry and gamma isotopics¹⁰ measurements were performed on the individual bulk standards. Agreement with the reference values to -0.5% (the approximate uncertainty in the nondestructive assay result) is observed in all but one comparison, as shown in Table I. Also, the nondestructive assay result for standard 9 (for which there is no destructive analysis reference value) confirms the original result obtained by weighing and stoichiometry. The new standards were measured in both the HLNC-II and the TNC, but only the HLNC results are reported here.

The original and the new standards were neutron counted in the No. 10 containers. The new standards were transferred first to No. 20 and then to "call" containers (Refer to Fig. 2.) and recounted. Finally, the new standards were diluted with an additional 1000 g of NaCl and counted in the tall and No. 20 cans again.

Using the reference values for plutonium mass and the plutonium isotopics results from the gamma spectrometry measurements, the R(SF) values are computed for each standard using Eq. (2) and

$$R(SF) = 18.14 + g^{240}Fu - eff$$
, (10)

where the constant, 18, 14, is based on the knowledge⁰ of the HLNC II coincidence countrate for 240 Pu spontaneous fission. The R(IF) is obtained from Eq. (3), and R(IF)/T is plotted vs grams of plutonium for all of the HLNC II standards measurements in Fig. 3.

TABLE I

PUE4 STANDARDS

	Gra	me Plutonium	(13)		
Std	Weight ⁴	Referenceb	CAL-ISO ^d	Height (10) Reference (10)	CAL-ISO Reference (10)
1	25				
2	75				
3	125				
4	200				
5	50				
6	75				
1	100				
•	150				
9 •	25.0 (0.2)		25.2 (0.1)		
10	49.6 (0.2)	49.6	49.7 (0.2)	1.000 (0.004)	1.002 (0.004)
11	99.3 (0.2)	99.2	102.0 (0.4)	1.301 (0.002)	1.028 (0.004)
12	149.0 (0.2)	149.0	149,0 (0.6)	1.000 (0.002)	1.005 (0.004)
11	198.6 (0.2)	198.6	199.8 (0.7)	1.000 (0.001)	1.006 (0.004)
14	297.8 (0.2)	297.9	297.2 (1.1)	1.000 (0.001)	0.998 (0.004)
		Standar	Average rd Deviation	1.000 C.001	1.008 0.012

Stoichiometry for pure PuF_4) applied to the weighed amounth of PuF_4 to give grams of plutonium. The stoichiometric result is 7.7548 g Pu per gram PuF_4 . Deference values obtained from controlled potential coulometry performed on three samples taken from the PuF_4 batch used to prepare the standards. The coulometry result of 0.7597 g Pu/g PuF_4 (10 = 0.0008 g Pu/g PuF_4) is applied to the PuF_4 weights to give grams of plutonium. Equilibrium calorimetry measurements of the the combined results - and the combined results - and

"Standard 9 is actually standard 1, which was included in the subsequent study with the new standards (10-16).



Fig. 3. The R(IF)/T results vs plutonium mass in the PuF_4 standards. The fit to the old standards data (neavy solid line) is the calibration function used to assay the process materials. The fit to the new undiluted standards data (thin solid line) is corrected with the simple model for the dilution effect to give the dashed line. The fit to the new diluted standards data is the dotted line.

The radius and fill height (r and h, respectively) of each new standard in each of the five package/matrix configurations are given in Table II. These dimensions are used to compute CF [Eq. (9)] with the q_T values defined by Eq. (84). The CF value in this case is the predicted ratio of R(IF)/T values for a fixed fissile mass in two different packages, one of which is the undiluted standard in the No. 10 can. The predicted ratios are given in Table III. The uncertainties in the predicted ratios are obtained from the uncertainties in the r and h values, as defined in Table II.

Table III also gives the measured CF value, the measured ratio of R(IF)/T for the standard in the No. 10 can to that in each of the other package/matrix configurations. The uncertainty in the measured ratio is obtained from the standard deviation in the mean result of measurements of each package performed on different days.

The predicted and observed values of Cf are near unity for the undiluted standards. Although there may be small systematic differences between the observed and calculated results for a given package, they are not large enough relative to the individual measurement uncertainties to help in drawing conclusions about the useful ness of the model. It is encouraging to note that a simple change in container for the usemmaterial sums a change (predicted and observed) in the assay signs, of (5%).

The predicted CF values for the diluted standards are large (1.70 and 1.64 for the tall-D and 20-D packages, respectively) and constant within uncertainties for the two D-package The observed results are not as large types. and show significant variation as a function of the plutonium mass. The plotted results in Fig. 3 illustrate the trends. The data points are the values of R(IF)/T vs plutonium mass. The lines through the data are fits. All data for the undiluted new standards were used to obtain a single fit. The R(IF)/T values from this fit were divided by 1.70 (the predicted CF rasult for the tall-D standards) and this result is plotted as the dashed line. The observed results for the tall-D standards are also plotted with a straight-line fit (dotted) to these points.

The model appears to improve as the plutonium mass decreases. The increasing gap observed (in Fig. 3) between the predicted (dashed) and observed (dotted) CF values as plutonium mass increases is probably largely the result of plutonium self-shielding effects, which are not included in the model. The selfshielding is greatest for the large-plutoniummass standards in the undiluted matrix. For these standards, the observed dilution effect is partially compensated for by a decrease in self-shielding. Unfortunately, the large variations in matrix amounts achieved by dilution of the standards are realistic for actual pyrochemical residues. To properly quantify the combined effects of package size plus matrix quantity as well as self-shielding and other systematic effects that may result from the changes in matrix quantity and geometry. A microscopic calculation such as a Monte Carlo simulation is recommended.

The data plotted in fig. 3 show large variations in the observed signal for a given container as the amount of matrix changes. In the absence of a model to correct the calibration for matrix quantity, a calibration is determined from the data for the original standards. This is applied to the neutron counting results ob tained with the process materials. The calibration equation (an exponential fit to the + data in fig. 3) for low-burnup plutonium is

$$a Pu = 349.755 + [R(IF)/T]^{1.3711}$$

Equation (11) corresponds to the (inverse of the) right half of Fig. 1 in the graphit is scription of the SI assay methodology. The luft half of Fig. 1 is represented by Eq. (10).

TABLE II

DINENSIONS	OF	Pula	STANDARDS	USED	: N	PACKAGING	ST.	•
------------	----	------	-----------	------	-----	-----------	-----	---

					Dimensi	02 ⁸ (cr	;			
	No. 10		No. 10 No. 20		Ta	Tall		Tall-D		20-D
Std	e	h	r	h	r	Ъ	r	h	r	b
9	5.17	9.11	6.07	6.67	5.29	4.26	5,29	18.26	6.07	13.33
10	5.17	9.36	6.07	6.99	5.29	8.89	5.29	18.57	6.07	13.97
11	5.17	8.73	5.07	6.67	5.29	8.41	5.29	18.41	6.07	13.81
12	5.17	8,73	6.07	6.35	5.29	0.10	5.29	18.41	6.07	13.65
13	5.17	8.41	6.07	5.56	5.29	7.78	5.29	16.99	6.07	12.86
14	5.17	7.78	6.07	5.40	5,29	6.83	5.29	15.07	6.07	11.75

The uncertainty (1σ) in the h value, determined from the scatter about a straight-line fit to grand Pu vs h, is 0.25 cm. The uncertainty is r is assumed to be half this amount.

.

TABLE III

RELATIVE INDUCED FISSION PROBABILITIES

				Pred	g[(Nd dicted [])	5. 10)/gi 7) Obse	rved (1v)			
Sti	No.	10	No. 20		Tall		Tall-D		No. 20-D	
•	1.00	1.00	1.05 [0.04]	0.98 (0.13)	0,97 [0.04]	1.06 (0.13)	1.69 [0.07]	1.60 [0.18]	1.60	1.41 [0,12]
10	1.00	1.00	1.05 (0.04)	0.98 {0.05}	1.00 {0.04}	1.06 [0.04]	1.60 (0.07)	1.49 [0.07]	1.62	1.19 {0.03]
11	1.00	1.00	1.07 [0.04]	0.91 [0.05]	1.01 [0.04]	1.04 [0.03]	1-74 [0-07]	1.32 (0.03)	1.60 [0.06]	1.11 [0.06]
12	06.1 ¹	1.00	1.05	0.93 {0.04}	0.09 {0.04}	0.96 [0.04]	1.74 [0.07]	1.32 [0.02]	1.67 (0.06)	1, 20 [0,92]
' D	1.00	1.00	1.01 {0.04'	0.99 {50.0}	0,99 {0,04}	1.91 [3.02]	1.68 [0.07]	1.]8 {0.02}	1.64 [0.06]	1.20 [0.01]
, , ,		1.00	1.04 {0.04}	1.91 (9.01)	0.96 [0.04]	1.0C {0.02}	1.67 [0.07]	1.16 (0.02)	1.62	1.27
AV Stid Døv	1 10 10	1.00 .00	1.05	1), 16 1), 04	0.09	1+01 1+74	1.70	1.41 0.11	1.64	1.25

for most process residues, so that a calibration based on these data is expected to underestimate the plutonium mass of the process materials except for those with lower matrix quantities.

VI. MEASUREMENTS OF PROCESS RESIDUES

The neutron SI method is one of several new approaches that offers some promise for assay of plutonium in MSE salts. A study to evaluate these new approaches¹¹ has provided plutonium reference values for the residues that were obtained by analysis of solution samples from the dissolution of the MSE salts (following the bulk assays performed by each of the candidate methods). Americium analyses were also performed on the dissolved samples. Fourteen MSE salts were involved in the study. To date, four of these have been dissolved to give reference values. However, an additional five MSE salts (not included in the larger study) were dissolved in an effort to test the dissolution and subsequent analysis procedures, and plutonium and americium reference values were obtained for these five. The TNC and the HLNC-II were used to count all of the bulk items before dissolution.

The SI method, calibrated with the data obtained from the original standards counted in the HLNC-II, produced the plutonium assay results given in Table IV. The SI assay results vary with the 240 Pu value because a change in 240Pu-eff alters the R(SF) value computed and used in each iteration [in Eqs. (1C) and (3), respectively). The ratios of the SI result to three different tag values [destructive (DA) and nondestructive (NDA) analysis as well as values obtained by difference] are also given in Table IV for each dissolved salt, and these ratios are plotted in Fig. 4 vs plutonium mass. The measured precision of the SI assay for these materials is 2% (10). The standard deviation in the ratios (with DA and NDA tag values) is 10%, most of which can be attributed to the SI assay.

		SI ARRAY (240pu 1so	TAG (SI#/TAG)				
10	Book Isotopics	GRPAUT ^D Isotopics	DA Isocopics	<u>Αν (1σ)</u>	DAC	MDAC	BY -DIFF
120	93.3 (0,0592)	34.6 (0.0579)	93.3 (0.0593)	93.7 (0.8%)	111.6 (0.84)	106 (0.88)	N/A
267	109.8 (0,0596)	116.9 (0.0532)	110.3 (0.0591)	112.3 (3.55)	125.5 (0.88)	121 (0.91)	195 (0.57)
270	96.6 (0.0596)	101.^ (0.0550)	98,1 (0,0583)	98.9 (2.8%)	99.0 (0.99)	95 (1.03)	106 (0.93)
300	201.1 (0.0597)	195.6 (0.0614)	198.9 (0.0605)	98.9 (1.1%)	198.7 (1.00)	185 (1.07)	N/A
263	101.9 (0.0555)	H/A	104.1 (3.0535)	103.0 (1.5%)	102.4 (1.02)	109.8 (0,95)	106 (0.98)
264	90.1 (0.0557)	N/A	87,7 (0,3582)	89.0 (1,7%)	108.0	103.6 (0.85)	123 (3.71)
266	311.9 (0.0407)	N/A	289.3 (0.3547)	300,6 (5,3%)	266.0 (1.09)	265,0 (1.09)	140 (2.07)
284	104.1 (0.9622)	NZA	110.3 (0.3573)	107.2 (4.1%)	119.9 (0.92)	121.3 (0.91)	110 (1.00)
286	95.3 (0.3632)	N/A	16.0 (0.0626)	95.7 (1).5N)	89.7 (1.07)	103.0 E 1.93)	128 (0,75)

TABLE IV Assay results for dissolved mee salts

"The SI assay result obtained with the 240Pu isotopic fraction determined by detructive analysis was used here.

destructive analysis was used here. Dramma-ray isotopics designed for plutonium in forms that are checically inhomogeneous and high in americium, Ω

"See set. If for a description of the DA and NDA methods used on the dissolver samples to give these ray values.



Fig. 4. The ratio of the SI assay result to the tag value vs plutonium mass (determined by destructive analysis of the dissolution samples) for the dissolved bulk MSE salts.

Although 10% is a significant improvement in the 50% result with by-difference tag values, further improvements are desirable for accountability assays.

The dissolution results indicated americium levels of 1% to 3% (relative to plutonium) in the low-burnup MSE salts. This is consistent with expectations based on gamma spectroscopy measurements of bulk salts of this type. Because the 2% \pm 1% americium content is a fissile component, the uncertainty introduces an additional ($\pm 0.5\%$, 10) uncertainty in the effective fissile content, g ²³⁹Pu-eff [Refer to Eq. (1).], and hence in the SI assay result.

VII. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The 10% standard deviation in the SI/TAG ratios (where the tag values are the reference values) for the MSE salts is partly a result of variations in the quantity of salt matrix (and other non-SNM components of the residue such as crucible pieces) in the bulk material within the containers. All bulk MSE salts were assayed in No. 20 cans. Therefore, a correlation between SI/TAG and the container fill height (or perhaps the bulk mass) is expected.

Figure 5 is a plot (large points) of the SI/DA ratios vs bulk amounts (masses and fill heights) of the four MSE salts (those involved in the larger study) for which these quantities were determined before dissolution. If the assay is unbiased, the expected ratio is unity. The ratio shows a decrease vs either mass or fill height, the expected trend for the matrix "dilution" effect examined previously. To determine whether the observed slope is consistent with the magnitude of the dilution effect, the results of the measurements of the new standards (from the matrix and packaging study) are also plotted in Fig. 5 (small points). These are ratios of the SI assay results for the new standards. The denominator in each case is the SI assay for the (undiluted) standard in the No. 10 can because this geometry matches that of the calibration standards and should be immune to the systematic effects of packaging and dilution. The numerator is the SI assay for the repackaged standard (No. 20 and tall cans), undiluted and diluted. The ratios are plotted vs the fill heights of the repackaged standards given in Table II. The results for such package show a systematic trend vs standard mass (defined by the smooth curve that connects the points for each package, where the larger fill heights correspond to lower plutonium masses), but the overall trend vs fill height resembles that for the MSE salts. The average plutonium mass for the four MSE salts is 137 g. The straight line labeled BCF (bulk correction factor) is drawn to intersect the smooth durves Table IV and Fig. 4 between the points for the 100- and 150-g standards. This line is the empirical evaluation of the dilution effect based on the results of the packaging and matrix study with the standards. Correcting the SI assay results with the BCF (as indicated in the lower left of Fig. 5) reduces the standa d deviation in SI/DA by a factor of 2 or more. This large improvement provides justification for a continuation of the modeling effort that will generate a calculated correction to the R(IF)/T assay signal based on the observed bulk properties.

Table V gives the neutron counting results for the nine MSE salts for which reference values have been determined. These are used with nuclear data for spontaneous-fission decay (the average neutron multiplicities for 240 Pu spontaneous firsion and 239 Pu induced fission of 2.16



Fig. 5. The large data points plot the ratio of the SI assay result to the DA value vs fill height of the No. 20 container (lower horizontal axis) or vs bulk mass (upper horizontal axis). The small data points plot the ratio of the SI assay result of each new, repackaged standard to the SI assay of the same standard in the No. 10 can vs fill height for the repackaged standard.

and 3.16, respectively, and the 240Pu spontaneous-fission decay rate of 472 s^{-1} g^{-1}) and with known HLNC-II counting efficiencies (0.175 for totals and 18.14 s⁻¹ (g 240pu-eff)⁻¹ for reals] to compute T_{RAT} , the ratio of uncorrelated to correlated neutrons. The simple SI method requires this ratio to be large. For most MSE salts, it exceeds 20. For some (especially for ID 300), it is much smaller, and this leads to a significant fraction of fissions that are induced by correlated neutrons. These higher multiplicity induced-fission events cause a positive bias in the simple SI assay. For the ID 300 MSE salt where T_{RAT} is -5 (because this salt is the residue of a second americium extraction of impure metal), the expected bias is ~14% relative to the PuF4 standards (for which $T_{\rm RAT}$ varies between 40 and 80). When $T_{\rm RAT}$ is the expected bias drops to ~2%. Because 20. this effect can be quantified once T_{RAT} is known, it is proposed that the simple SI assay be modified to correct the assay signal in an iterative manner in the cases of double-extraction (or other low-americlum) spent MSE salts, This effort will be pursued.

VIII. SUMARY

The simple neutron self-interrogation assay methodology has been applied to spent salts from americium molten salt extraction. For assay results precise to -2%, 10, the observed accuracy is -10%, 10. The accuracy is shown to improve to 5% or better with corrections for variable amounts of (non-SNM) matrix. Other effects that are shown to contribute to the assay uncertainty are the uncertainty in the 40 Pu isotopic content (contributing -2.5%, 10), the uncertainty in the amount of (fissile) americium (contributing -0.5%, 10), systematic mass effects observed in the results of the matrix/packaging study (contributing -3%, 10), and syscematic effects resulting from departures from the simple SI assumption of negligible induced fission by corre lated neutrons. The latter effect can be addressed with a correction applied to a second iteration of the simple SI assay. The bulk matrix correction can also be incorporated within each SI assay based on the known bulk mass or fill height of the package. Monte Carlo calco lations of the packaging/matrix effects are te commended. The accuracy of the corrected assay result should be ~5% or better.

[D [g] Pu [#]]		R (3)	R(SF) ^b	R(1F) ² (0)	T(SF) ^d	τ(ι κ) ^Φ (σ)	Τ(α) ^Ε (σ)	1 ³	RAT
: 20	33671	185	122	53	1199	111	32139	25.8	21
(112)	(200)	(2)		(2)		(10)	(200)	(3,2)	
257	44330	230	137	23	1349	492	42489	31.5	23
[126]	(200)	(1)		(1)	ļ	(10)	(200)	(0.2)	
270	20683	179	108	71	1060	375	27248	25.7	19
[99]	(200)	(1)		(1)		(6)	(200)	(0.2)	
100	16239	292	217	75	2110	:95	13716	6.4	5.4
[199]	(200)	(1)		(1)		(6)	(300)	(0.1)	
263	30444	1 19.	111	81	1092	428	34724	31.8	23
[102]	(200)	(2)		(2)		(11)	(200)	(0.2)	
264	38783	179	118	61	1156	321	37306	32.3	25
[108]	(200)	(2)		(2)		(11)	(200)	(0.2)	
266	35266	470	290	180	2848	949	31469	11.3	8.3
[266]	(200)	(2)		(2)		(11)	(200)	(0.1)	
284	23327	182	131	51	1285	269	21773	16.9	14
[120]	(200)	(1)		(1)		(5)	(200)	(0.2)	
285	25983	176	98	78	963	412	21608	25.0	13
(90)	(200)	(1)		(1)		(5)	(200)	(0.2)	

TABLE V COMPONENTS OF R AND T FOR MSE SALTS

A Results from destructive analysis performed on samples of the dissolved salts. P R(SF) = 14.14 • (g 240pu.eff). C R(IF) = 1 - R(SF). d T(SF) = 472 • 2.16 • 0.175 • (g 240pu.eff). T(IF) = T(SF) • (R(IF)/R(SF)) • 1.16/2.16. f T(a) = T - T(SF) - T(IF). g a = T(a)/T(SF). D Table - T(a) = 1.

- h TRAT + T(a)/[T T(a)].

REFERENCES

1. N. ENSSLIN, "A Simple Self-Multiplication Correction for In-Plant Use," in Proc. Seventh Annual ESARDA Symp. Safeguards Nucl. Mater. Manage., Liege, Belgium, May 21-23, 1985 (ESARDA 1985), pp. 233-238.

2. B. G. R. SMITH, H. O. MENLOVE, M. S. KRICK, and R. INGELS, "field Test and Calibration of the HLNC-II for High Burnup Samples." in Proc. Seventh Annual ESARDA Symp. Safeguards Nucl. Mater. Manage., Liege, Belgium, May 21-23, 1985 (ESARDA 1985), pp. 177-183.

3. J. E. STEWART and H. O. MENLOVE, "Direct Fissile Assay of Highly Enriched UF₅ Using Random Self-Interrogation and Neutron Coincidence Response," in Pr. c. Conference on Safeguards Technology: The Process Safequards Interface, November 28-December 2, 1983, Hilton Head Island, South Carolina (USDCE/New Brunswick Laboratory, August 1984) ('ONF 831106, pp. 222 235.

4. H. O. MENLOVE, "Neutron Counting for Configmation Measurements," in Proc. 26th Annual Meeting Institute of Nuclear Materials Management, Abuquerque, New Mexico, July 21 25, 1985 (J. Inst. Nucl. Mater. Manage. XIV, No. 3, Proc. Issue), pp. 589-594.

5. J. E. SWANSEN, "Deadtime Reduction in the Thermal Neutron Coincidence Counter," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-9936-MS (March 1984).

6. H. O. MENLOVE and J. SWANSEN, "A High Per formance Neutron Time-Correlation Counter," Nucl. Technol. 71, p. 479 (November 1985).

7. J. E. STEWART, H. O. MENLOVE, and N. ENS-SLIN, "Definition of an Effective Plutonium Mass for Induced Fission," Los Alamos National Laboratory draft report (September 1987).

8. P. A. M. DIRAC, "Approximate Rate of Neutron Multiplication for a Solid of Arbitrary Share and Uniform Density," British Report M.S.D. 5, Part I, General Theory, 1943.

9. K. W. FIFE and M. H. WEST, "Pyrochemical Investigations into Recovering Plutonium from Americium Extraction Salt Residues," Los Alamoa National Laboratory report LA-10963 MS May 1987), App. pp. 18-27.

10. T. E. SAMPSON, S. -T. HSUE, E. L. SANDFORD, and J. L. PARKER, "In-Plant Experience with Automated Jamma-Ray Spectroscopy Systems for Plutonium Isotopic Composition Measurements," Los Alamos National Laboratory report [A-9789-MS (August 1963).

11. V. L. LONGMIRE, W. E. SEDLACEK, J. R. HURD, and A. M. SCARBOROUGH, "A Comparison of Conventional and Prototype Nondestructive Measurements on Molton Salt Extraction Residues," presented at American Nuclear Society Third International Conference on Facility Operations-Safeguards Interface, San Diego, California, November 29-December 4, 1987; Los Alamos National Laboratory document LA-UR-87-1905.

12. J. G. FLEISSNER, "GRPAUT: A Program for Pu Isotopic Analysis (A Users' Guide)," Mound Laboratory report MLM 2799 (ISPO-128) (January 1987).