

10000

1

1

.

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7435-ENG-36



1991

TITLE A HYDROGEN STORAGE-BED DESIGN FOR TRUTIUM SYSTEMS FEST ASSEMBLY

AUTHOR(S) Hattee S. Cullingford, Michael G. Wheeler, and John W. McMullen

International Symposium on Metal-Hydrogen Systems. SUBMITTED TO Mfamf Beach, FL, April 13-15, 1981

.

.

By acceptance of this selicle the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government reteins a noneactualive royalty frasticense to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution or to allow others to do so for (18 Gowinnment purposes The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the suspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



FORMI NO 639 MA 81 NO 8689 5-61

# A HYDROGEN STORAGE-BED DESIGN FOR TRITIUM SYSTEMS TEST ASSEMBLY

# Hatice S. Cullingford, Michael G. Wheeler, and John W. McMullen Design Engineering Division Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM 87545

### ABSTRACT

The Los Alamos National Laboratory has completed the design of a hydrogen storage bed for the Tritium Systems Test Assembly (TSTA). Our objective is to store hydrogen isotopes as uranium hydrides and recover them by dehydriding. The specific use of the storage bed is to store DT gas as  $U(D,T)_3$  when it is required for the TSTA.

The hydrogen storage bed consists of a primary container in which uranium powder is stored and a secondary container for a second level of safety in gas confinement. The primary container, inlet and outlet gas lines, cartridge heaters, and instrumentation are assembled in the secondary container. The design of the hydrogen storage bed is presented, along with the modeling and analysis of the bed behavior during hydriding-dehydriding cycles.

#### KEYWURDS

Hydrogen storage: deuterium; tritium; uranium hydride: storage beds; fusion energy.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Los Alamos National Laboratory is constructing a Tritium Systems Test Assembly (TSTA) for the Office of Fusion Energy of the Department of Energy. The objectives of TSTA are as follows (Anderson, 1978).

 Develop and demonstrate all aspects of the deuterium-tritium fuel-handling cycle required for developmental fusion reactors.

2. Demonstrate and improve the reliability in tritium service of a wide variety of fusion reactor components such as valves, pumps, and instrumintation.

3. Demonstrate the routine, safe handling of tritium in significant quantities for fusion reactors.

TSTA will be the first fusion facility to charge large quantities of tritium, namely, 0.15 kg in 1982. The main systems of TSTA are shown in Fig. 1. Several storage beds are needed for the hydrogen isotopes in the system during the annual operational cycle of TSTA. The following operational modes will require hydrogen storage beds.

1. Controlled Shutdown - The contents of the distillation columns in the Isotope Separation System (ISS) will be transferred to the hydrogen storage beds after a warm-up period during a controlled shutdown of TSTA. The hydrogen isotopes will be recovered and sent back to the ISS for startup.

2. Emergency Shutdown – The hydrogen isotopes will automatically expand into a surge tank from the distillation columns in the ISS under an emergency shutdown. The surge tank contents will then be transferred to the storage beds for a short-term or a long-term shutdown.

3. Inventory Control – An inventory assay will be implemented every six months after transferring the hydrogen isotopes in the system to the storage beds. This operation will involve an Inventory Control System.

4. Line Cleanup - The flow lines of the Inventory Control System will be cleaned up by using the storage beds.

The following unique requirements are imposed on the hydrogen storage beds:

Large DT gas loads,

<u>.</u> •

- Fast loading rates,
- Pure DT gas rather than in dilution with other gases,
- DT gas mixture (nominally 50-50) rather than D<sub>2</sub> or T<sub>2</sub>,
- Storage at room temperature, and
- Purging of <sup>3</sup>He.

These requirements were translated to the following design criteria:

- A (developed) technology base,
- Adequate safety margins,



Fig. 1. Main systems of TSTA.

- Flow-through design,
- Simplicity in design and operation, and
- Double containment.

Our review of the existing technology base resulted in a decision to design a uranium bed (U-bed) for storage of hydrogen isotopes as uranium hydrides. Uranium hydride contains about twice as many hydrogen atoms per unit volume as liquid hydrogen (4.2 x  $10^{28}$  atoms m<sup>-2</sup> at 20°K) and can be stored at room temperature. Completely hydrided uranium has been used as a source of high-purity hydrogen since World War II (Mueller, Blackledge, and Libovitz, 1968). In addition to the research type U-beds, a number of engineered storage bed designs have been devel-oped in the United States over the years (Singleton and Alire, 1980).<sup>1</sup> We will present the design of a hydrogen storage bed that meets the TSTA requirements. Supporting analyses will also be provided for the storage-recovery cycles of TSTA.

# DESIGN DESCRIPTION

Figure 2 shows a cutaway view of the TSTA hydrogen storage bed. The design objective is to store hydrogen isotopes as uranium hydrides and recover the hydrogenous gases by dehydriding. The chemical reaction of importance is

$$U + \frac{3}{2}H_2 = UH_3$$
,

where H can be H, D, or T. The kinetics and other characteristics of this reaction are well documented in the literature.

The TSTA hydrogen storage bed consists of a primary container, in which uranium powder is stored, and a secondary container for a second level of gas confinement and leak detection. The primary container houses the uranium powder in 27 cells. The cells are arranged in nine assemblies in a square array. Each assembly is formed in a bore made in a copper block. The assembly is made by stacking porous copper frits and copper pipe spacers alternately to produce three cells in a column, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Each cell contains 0.22 kg of uranium powder for hydriding. Table 1 lists the parameters for the hydrogen storage bed.

The inlet gas is distributed to the nine assemblies from an inlet plenum for hydriding. The hydrogeneous gases from the dehydriding cycle collect in an outlet plenum before leaving the primary container through the outlet pipe. Thus, a gas leak in the primary containment (the primary cortainer or in the inlet and outlet piping) would be confined in the secondary container

Cartridge heaters are used during the dehydriding cycle () heat the unanim hydride to 723 K. The primary container, inlet and outlet gas lines, calculdge heaters, and instrumentation are hung by the support plates and rods from the cover assembly of the secondary containment.

The cover of t! . secondary container provides the penetrations for the gas inlet and outlet lines, the heater and thermocouple connections, the rupture disc, and a vacuum port. The rupture disc is a safety device should an unlikely event result in an overpressure of up to 0.69 MPa. The secondary container can be evacuated to insulate the primary container during a dehydriding cycle. This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Private communication with colleagues in Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, and Sandia National Laboratories-Livermore.



Fig. 2. Cutaway view of the TSTA hydrogen storage bed.

evacuation also can permit leak detection and handling in the case of a tritium leak from the primary to the secondary container.

All surfaces in contact with the uranium powder are oxygen-free copper. Copper was selected instead of stainless steel for the following reasons.

1. Better tritium barrier because of its lower permeability and lower solubility for tritium.

2. Better heat transfer medium because of its higher thermal conductivity.

3. Better compatibility with uranium because of a higher eutectic point than that of iron (1223 vs 998°K) (Hansen, 1965).

4. Reduced hydrogen stress cracking (reduced mechanical embrittiement or no decarburization) (Hagel and Wiska, 1980).

All load-bearing components such as the secondary container, support plates and rods, cover, and gas inlet and outlet lines are made of stainless steel type 304 (SS 304). The primary container is thermally decoupled from the support rods and the secondary container by ceramic bushings made of MACOR. Nickel foil might be used as a super insulation in the secondary container gap around the primary container. A portable vacuum pump of 50 f s-1 will be used to evacuate this insulation volume to begin a recovery (dehydriding) cycle. The cartridge heaters will then start heating the copper block to 723 K.

There are two levels of safety for tritium confinement: the primary container and the secondary container for the storage process, with the piping entering or leaving the storage bed and the glovebox for gas delivery/recovery process. The



Fig. 3. Exploded view of the TSTA hydrogen storage bed.

### TABLE 1 Parameter List for the TSTA Hydrogen Storage Bed

Total depleted uranium: 5.94 kg Total number of cells: 27 Uranium in each cell: 0.22 kg Theoretical T<sub>2</sub> capacity: 0.2265 kg Maximum T<sub>2</sub> loading: 0.0906 kg Per cent design capacity: 40 Number of heaters: 6 Heater power: 250 W (per heater) Operational temperature range: 300-723 K Maximum allowable pressure: 0.52 MPa Maximum pressure rating: 0.69 MPa\* Primary container weight: 45 kg Secondary container weight: 135 kg \*For secondary container.

pressure boundary is at the secondary container. The rupture disc is an additional safety feature in the event of overpressure caused by an unlikely event of air exposure of the uranium powder. The glovebox is inerted with nitrogen gas.

The storage bed will be qualified for TSTA use after several storage-recovery cycles with deuterium. The details of uranium-hydride-uranium cycle kinetics are well-documented in the literature. An experimental model of the TSTA storage bed has been built for experimental data and for mathematical model verification. (These models are not in the scope of this paper.) The next section provides a thermal analysis of the storage bed.

### THERMAL BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS

The thermal behavior of the primary and the secondary system was analyzed for heat flow in the storage bed cartridge heater sizing, insulation selection, and operational temperatures. Because of the complex design, several simplifications and a conservative approach were used in the analysis. A 60° capacity (instead of design basis 40%) was used for conservatism.

# Primary System Heating

The primary system heating during hydriding and dehydriding cycles is analyzed below. A thermal radiation analysis is also performed for heat losses from the primary system. In this section, we are looking for the maximum temperature in the primary system so we neglect small heat losses that occur slowly from the primary container.

Hydriding Cycle Maximum Adiabatic Temperature Rise. An energy balance for the U-bed primary system (mainly, the 238U powder and the copper block) with very rapid heating or no heat losses consists of the following equation for constant material properties.

$$Q_{r} = MC_{D}\Delta T, \tag{1}$$

where

 $Q_r$  = Heat input by hydriding,

M = Total mass of Cu and U,

 $C_n$  = Average specific heat at constant pressure,

and

 $\Delta T = Change in temperature.$ 

Also

 $Q_r = n[\Delta H(UD_3) + \Delta H(UT_3)]$ 

where n is the number of moles of UD3/UT3 formed and  $\Delta H(UD3)$  and  $\Delta H(UT3)$  are heat of reaction for the UD3 and UT3 formation, respectively. Thus,

n = 7.5,

 $Q_r = 1.95 MJ$ ,

M = 36.2 kg,

and

 $C_{\rm D} = 343 \, \rm J \, kg^{-1} \, {}^{\circ} \rm K^{-1}$ .

A temperature rise ( $\Delta$ T) of 157 K is calculated from Eq. (1) for the primary system. This calculation does not include heating of the inlet/outlet piping or heat losses to the secondary system (secondary container, primary container support, and flange and cover assembly). Therefore, 157 K is a conservative upper limit for the copper primary container temperature at the end of hydriding. However, hot spots exist at the uranium phase and at the copper wails touching the uranium. External heating of the bed may be necessary for desirable hydriding rates. The inlet and outlet piping (Fig. 3) that is made of 6.4-mm-thick SS 304 tubing has a very small conduction surface in contact with the primary container. Thus, heat losses through this path are very small. In addition, comparing thermal diffusivity of copper and SS 304, conduction will be about 24 times slower in SS 304 than in the same thickness of copper. Radiative Losses in Hydriding. The radiative losses from the copper surfaces of the primary container to the SS 3C4 secondary container and the cover are estimated below for the hydriding cycle. For a reasonable approximation, the U-bed assembly (Fig. 3) may be considered as two concentric spheres.

The net radiative heat flux at the primary container is given by the following equation if the secondary container is filled with a nonparticipating medium (Ozisik, 1977).

$$q_{1} = \frac{\sigma(T_{1}^{4} - T_{2}^{4})}{\frac{1}{\epsilon_{1}} + (\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}})(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{2}} - 1)},$$
(2)

where  $\sigma$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are the surface areas of the primary container and the secondary container, respectively.  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are the emissivities of these surfaces at temperatures of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively. The following assumptions are valid with this equation.

1. Radiative properties are uniform and independent of direction and wavelength.

2. Surfaces are diffuse emitters and diffuse reflectors.

3. The radiative heat flux leaving the surface is uniform over the surface of each container.

4. Surfaces are opaque.

5. Either a uniform temperature or a uniform heat flux is prescribed over the surface of each container.

6. The enclosure is filled with a nonparticipating medium such as a vacuum. (Gases such as nitrogen are relatively transparent to thermal radiation unless the temperature is extremely high).

Given  $\epsilon_1 = 0.1$  (polished copper at 698<sup>°</sup>K);

 $c_2 = 0.22$  (SS 304);  $T_1 = 443$  K;  $T_2 = 300$  K;  $\sigma = 5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4};$   $A_1 = 0.2 \text{ m}^2;$  and  $A_2 = 0.53 \text{ m}^2;$ 

a radiation heat flux of 152.1 W m-2 is calculated from Eq. (2). After a quick temperature rise of 143 K in the primary container, 30.4 W is lost by radiation to the secondary container.

The inlet/outlet piping and the primary system support structure will in reality receive some amount of radiative heat flux. The primary system heating will cause heating of the inlet/outlet piping and the primary system support structure, and then heating of the secondary container. The highest temperature rise will occur in the primary system. The above analysis is a reasonably conservative picture of maximum temperatures and rate of cooling.

<u>Heater Sizing</u>. Similarly to the hydriding case, an energy balance for the primary system (238U, UD<sub>3</sub>, UT<sub>3</sub>, and copper) with rapid heating (or no heat losses) consists of the following equation for constant material properties during dehydriding.

$$Q_{c} - Q_{r} = MC_{p} \Delta T, \qquad (3)$$

where

 $Q_c$  = External heat input (provided by cartridge heaters),

 $Q_r$  = Heat of reaction for dehydriding

= 1.95 MJ,

 $M = Total mass of Cu, U, and UD_3/UT_3$ 

= 39.9 kg,

and

 $C_{\rm D} = 377 \, \rm J \, kg^{-1} \, {}^{\circ}K^{-1}$ .

Thus, for a desired temperature increase, the cartridge heater power input can be calculated from Eq. (3). Table 2 summarizes various heating times for the primary system at 1500 and 150 W heating rates. The heat of reaction was varied as shown to identify the effect on the heating times. The cartridge heating rate of 1500 W looks reasonable for the requirement to heat the U-bed to 723°K in 1.5 h for the dehydriding process.

<u>Radiative Losses in Dehydriding</u>. The radiative loss from the copper surfaces of the primary container to the SS 304 lid and the secondary container are calculated from Eq. (2) in a manner that is similar to the hydriding case. At  $T_1 = 723$  K,  $T_2 = 300$  K, a heat flux of 1325.7 W m<sup>-2</sup> is calculated. After an assumed sudden temperature rise to 723 K, 265.1 W is lost instantaneously by radiation to the secondary container, so the 150 W heating rate is inadequate.

Similarly to the hydriding phase, there will be conductive and radiative thermal losses from the primary container to the inlet/outlet piping, the primary system support structure, and the secondary container and cover assembly. The highest temperatures will be located in the primary container. The equilibrium primary container temperatures are expected to be less than 723 K because of these additional losses.

# Heat Flow Analysis for Secondary Container

An analysis of the transient and steady-state heat flow conditions to and from the secondary container is provided below. We are now interested in the maximum

### TABLE 2 Calculated Heating Times

	<u>1500 W</u>	<u>150 W</u>
Heating 300 → 723 <sup>°</sup> K with ∆H <sub>r</sub>	1.54 h	15.4 h
Heating 300 $\Rightarrow$ 723 <sup>°</sup> K without $\Delta H_{r}$	1.18 h	11.8 h
Heating 300 $\Rightarrow$ 473 <sup>°</sup> K without $\Delta H_r$ , and 473 $\Rightarrow$ 723 <sup>°</sup> K with $\Delta H_r$	0.48 h and 1.05 h	4.8 h and 10.5 h

heat flux from the primary to the secondary container to predict a conservatively high maximum temperature in the secondary container wall. First, a heat transfer coefficient for free convection in the nitrogen gas surrounding the U-bed secondary container in the glovebox is calculated for use in the subsequent analysis.

<u>Free Convection</u>. The average Nusselt number for free convection in nitrogen gas from the outer cylindrical surface of the secondary container (Fig. 3) can be calculated by comparison with a vertical plate (Cebeci, 1975). For  $T_W = 318$  K (wall temperature),  $T_f = 300$  K (fluid temperature),  $P = 10^{-5}$ Pa (fluid pressure), and the fluid properties at the arithmetic mean of  $T_W$  and  $T_v$ , the Prandtl number (Pr), the Grashof number (GrL), and specific parameter f are evaluated to be the following.

$$Pr = \frac{C_{\mu}}{k} = 0.71,$$

$$Gr_{L} = \frac{g_{\beta}(T_{w} - T_{f})L^{3}}{\sqrt{2}} = 9.4 \times 10^{6},$$

and

$$i = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{Gr_1} \frac{1}{0.25} \left(\frac{L}{R}\right) = 0.07$$
,

where Cp (specific heat),  $\mu$  (viscosity), k (thermal conductivity), B (temperature coefficient of thermal conductivity), and  $\nu$  (kinematic viscosity) are the fluid properties, and L and R are the height and radius of the vertical cylinder (secondary container). The free convection flow is in the laminar range because Gr[Pr = 6.7 x 10<sup>6</sup> (Ozisik, 1977). The ratio of the Nusselt number for a vertical cylinder to that for a vertical flat plate for laminar free convection at a uniform wall temperature is obtained from Cebeci (1975) to be 1.05. Thus, we can assume that the flat-plate correlation is applicable for the outside of the cylindrical U-bed secondary container. The average Nusselt number under uniform surface conditions is given by McAdams (1954).

$$Nu_{m} = 0.59 (Gr_{L}^{Pr})^{0.25}$$

A mean Nusselt number of 30 is calculated from Eq. (4) over the height of the vertical cylinder. From  $Nu_m \simeq h_m L/k$ , a mean heat-transfer coefficient ( $h_m$ ) of 4.09 W m-2 <sup>°</sup>K-1 is calculated for free convection in the nitrogen gas in the glovebox.

<u>Transient Analysis</u>. The Biot number (Bi = hL/k) is used to compare the relative magnitudes of the surface transfer coefficient (h) and the internal conductance of a slab to heat transfer. For values of Bi less than about 0.1, the temperature in a medium is essentially uniform at any given time. The spatial variation of temperature can be neglected for such cases. Then, the variation of temperature in the solid with time can be analyzed with lumped-system analysis (Ozisik, 1977). The transient heat flow from the primary container to the secondary container is analyzed below as a one-lump system with convection and with prescribed heat-flux boundaries as shown in Fig. 4. The analysis is valid because Bi =  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  for the secondary container wall.



Fig. 4. One-lump analysis of transient heat flow in the secondary container wall with a heat supply at the inner surface and free convection from the outer surface.

An energy balance for the secondary container wall consists of the following equation.

$$A_{i}q + A_{o}h[T_{f} - T(t)] = \rho C_{p}V \frac{dT(t)}{dt}$$
, for  $t > 0$ 

with the initial condition (I.C.)

$$T(t) = T_0, \quad \exists t t = 0,$$

where q is the heat supply, A; and A<sub>0</sub> are the inner and outer surface area,  $\rho$  and C<sub>0</sub> are the density and specific heat of the wall, and V is the wall volume.

Assuming constant  $\rho$ ,  $C_p$ , h, and  $A_j = A_0 = A = \frac{V}{L}$ ,

$$q + h[T_f - T(t)] = \rho C_{\rho} L \frac{dT(t)}{dt},$$

which can be reduced to

$$\frac{d\Theta(t)}{dt} + m_{\Theta}(t) = Q, \qquad \text{for } t > 0 \qquad (5)$$
1.C.  $\Theta(t) = \Theta_0 = T_0 - T_f, \qquad \text{at } t = 0,$ 

where

$$\Theta(t) = T(t) - T_{f},$$
$$m = \frac{h}{rC_{\mu}L},$$

and

$$Q = \frac{q}{r_p L}$$

The solution of Eq. (5) is the sum of the solution of the homogeneous part and a particular solution.

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) = Ce^{-mt} + \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{p}, \text{ and}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{p} = C + \frac{Q}{m}, \qquad (1.0.).$$

Thus

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 e^{-\mathbf{m}t} + (1 - e^{-\mathbf{m}t}) \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{m}}$$

which predicts the temperature variation of wall as a function of time. As t > +, we can calculate the equilibrium temperature because

$$\Theta(+) \Rightarrow \frac{Q}{m} = \frac{Q}{h} + \frac{Q}{h}$$

For the hydriding case discussed in the previous section,  $q = 152.1 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  is the radiative heat flux leaving the primary container. An equilibrium secondary container wall temperature increase of 37.2°K is calculated from Eq. (6) without surface area correction. With correction for area, the incident flux on the secondary container is

$$q = 152.1 \times \frac{0.2}{0.53} = 57.4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$$
, and

the temperature rise is i4 K.

For Lahydriding, the equilibrium temperature rise is 324.2 K for a flux leaving the primary at 1325.7 W m<sup>-2</sup>. The incident flux on the secondary is 500.3 W m<sup>-2</sup> with area correction and  $\Theta(\tau) = 122.3$  K. A temperature rise of 14 K for hydriding and 122.3 K for dehydriding are higher estimates than would be expected for the secondary container wall because of the additional heat loss mechanisms.

<u>Insulation Analysis</u>. Although the above results indicate that the secondary container does not overheat, its surface temperature is important for the U-bed and glovebox operation. Therefore, the potential for reduction in temperature for the wall of the secondary container is discussed in this section.

A steady-state analysis of heat transfer from the primary container to the secondary container is given below for the dehydriding phase. This is needed because of higher temperatures at the secondary container during the dehydriding phase rather than during the hydriding phase. Figure 5 illustrates the geometry where  $T_1 = 723$  K,  $T_f = 300$  K. An average gap distance of 76 mm will be used in the following calculations. The gap will be filled with N2 gas, Firelite 2200, Zeolite pellets with N<sub>2</sub>, evacuated Zeolite pellets, Celotex, and multilayer insulation (such as nickel foil) for a comparative analysis.



Fig. 5. Heat transfer modes for steady-state analysis.

Without the radiative component, the governing equations are as follows.

$$T_1 - T_2 = q(\frac{\Delta x_g}{k_q})$$
, (for conduction in the gap) (7)

$$T_2 - T_3 = q(\frac{\Delta x_{SS}}{k_{SS}})$$
, (for conduction in the wall) (8)

and

έ,

$$T_3 - T_f = q(\frac{1}{h_f})$$
, (for convection from the wall) (9)

combined as

$$T_1 - T_f = q[\frac{\Delta x_g}{k_g} + \frac{\Delta x_{SS}}{k_{SS}} + \frac{1}{h_f}],$$
 (10)

where

- $T_1$  = Primary container surface temperature,
- T<sub>2</sub> = Secondary container inner surface temperature,

T<sub>3</sub> = Secondary container outer surface tomperature.

T<sub>f</sub> = Nitrogen gas temperature,

q = Heat flux for conduction,

 $\Delta x_{\alpha} = Gap distance,$ 

Axss = Secondary container wall thickness,

 $k_{0}$  = Gap thermal conductivity,

k<sub>55</sub> = Secondary container thermal conductivity,

and

ht + Convective heat transfer coefficient.

Thus, for given values of  $\Delta x_3$ ,  $\Delta x_5$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_5$ , and  $h_f$ , q is calculated from Eq. (10) for  $T_1 = 723$  K and  $f_f = 300$  K. Then,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are calculated from Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively. Table III lists the calculated heat flue of and the resulting surface temperature (T\_3) for the secondary container with various media in the separation gap.

$k_g(W m^{-1} ~\kappa^{-1})$	q(W m <sup>-2</sup> )	Т <sub>3</sub> (~К)
0.042	206	350
0.22	722	470
0.58	1140	575
0.0012	7 .	302
0.089	387	394
0.00015	0.8	300
	$\frac{k_{g}(W m^{-1} K^{-1})}{0.042}$ 0.22 0.58 0.0012 0.089 0.00015	$\begin{array}{cccc} k_{g}(W \ m^{-1} \ K^{-1}) & q(W \ m^{-2}) \\ \hline 0.042 & 206 \\ 0.22 & 722 \\ 0.58 & 1140 \\ 0.0012 & 7 \\ 0.089 & 387 \\ 0.00015 & 0.8 \end{array}$

TABLE	3	Comparison of Various Gap Media for U-Bec
		Surface Temperatures for Dehydriding*

\*For conduction only.

The case for nitrogen is not complete without radiative heat transfer. Hence, the calculated temperature of 350°K is about 122°K less than what might result under conduction combined with radiation. Also, the multilayer insulation is not expected to work efficiently in the U-bed situation unless possible thermal shorting by the auxiliary components such as piping and instrumentation leads is avoided, the anisotropic nature of the insulation is minimized, and a vacuum service less than 13.3 mPa ( $10^{-4}$  torr) is provided (Long, 1972). It is possible to achieve a vacuum lower than 13.3 mPa with a 38-mm port from a 0.36-m chamber, as in this case. An estimate of the minimum pressure ( $P_{min}$  in torr) can be made for infinite pumping case by the following equation (Gupta, 1976).

$$P_{man} \ge 0.9 K_{av} (0.66 + \frac{1}{q^2})$$
,

where

 $K_{dV} = Average system outgassing rate (torr + cm^2 s^{-1})$ 

and

9 - jube drameter Chamber drameter

Thus, the U bed internals outgassing will determine the pressure level with pumping. If the outgassing rate is lower than 1.2 x  $10^{-2}$  form ( cm=2 s=1 (1.6 x  $10^{-3}$  Pa m s=1), which is a reasonable rate because almost all surfaces are oxygen free copper and SS 304, then Pmin = 13.3 mPa is achievable.

Comparison of various methods of thermal design results in the following qualitative order of lowest U-bed secondary container surface temperatures: super insulation/evaruated powders, Celotex, evacuation, Firelite 2200, Zeolite in N<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>. However, when other requirements such as simplicity, cost, maintenance, and leak management are considered, a simple evacuation might be sufficient.

### CONCLUSIONS

. •

We have presented the design of a hydrogen storage bed to be used in TSTA at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. The storage bed will be used to store DT gas as  $U(D,T)_3$  when it is required for the TSTA operations. We conclude with the following observations, as supported by our conservative evaluation of the bed thermal performance for 60% capacity.

1. 'leating of the bed may be necessary for desirable hydriding rates. A detailed computer model and supporting experimental data should verify this.

2. The cartridge heating rate of 1500 W appears satisfactory for the requirement to neat the U-bed to 723 K in 1.5 h for the dehydriding process.

3. During hydriding, the primary system average temperature rises by 157 K. A maximum thermal radiation heat flux of 152 W m<sup>-2</sup> from the primary system is calculated.

4. During dehydriding, a thermal radiation heat flux of  $1326 \text{ W/m}^2$  from the primary system is calculated. The maximum total radiative heat loss is about 265 W.

5. Equilibrium secondary wall temperature increases for hydriding and dehydriding are less than 37 and 324 K, respectively.

6. During dehydriding, the lowest secondary wall t. mperatures are possible with evacuated powders or multilayer insulations.

### ACKNOWLE DGEMENTS

We learned from the experiences of others. The most notable help came from the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories-Livermore, and, of course, the Los Alamos National Laboratory. Our sincers thanks are extended to all the people involved, with special thanks to J. L. Anderson and D. O. Coffin from Los Alamos.

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy.

### REFERENCES

Anderson, J. L. (May 9-11, 1978). "The Tritium Systems Test Assembly at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory," Trans. of American Nuclear Society Third Topical Meeting on the Technology of Controlled Nuclear Fusion, p. 201.

Cebeci, T. (1975). "Laminar Free Convective Heat Transfer from the Outer Surface of a Vertical Slender Circular Cylinder," Fifth Int. Heat Transfer Conf., Vel. 3, NC 1.4, pp. 15-19.

Gupta, A. K. (1976). "A Simplified and Comprehensive Approach to Vacuum System Estimates," Vacuum, Vol. 27, No. 1, p. 17.

Hagel, W. C. and K. H. Wiska (August 25, 1980). "How to Select Alloy Steels for Pressure Vessels II," Chem. Eng. J., p. 105.

Hansen, M. (1958). Censtitution of Binary Alloys and (1965) First Supplement. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York. Long, H. M. (1972). "The Industrial Application of Vacuum Installations," AIChE Symposium Series No. 125, Vol. 68, Am. Inst. Chem. Engrs.

McAdams, W. H. (1954). <u>Heat Transmission</u>, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Mueller, W. M., J. P. Blackledge, and G. G. Libovitz (1968). <u>Metal Hydrides</u>, Academic Press, New York.

Ozisik, M. N. (1977). Basic Heat Transfer. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.

Singleton, M. F. and R. M. Alire (May 1980). "Traps for Scavenging Hydrogen Isotopes," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory report UCRL-80443.