A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.



# DNF-850840--6

LA-UR--85-1187

DE85 010793

RECENTED EN DERTY MAY O 6 1985

Los Alamos Netional Lai - Mory is operated by the University of Celifornia for the United Blates Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

## TITLE DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE LOS ALAMOS NUCLEAR MICROPROBE: HARDWARE, SOFTWARE, AND CALIBRATION

AUTHOR(S) T. M. Benjamin, P. S. Z. Rogers, C. J. Duffy, J. F. Conner, C. J. Maggiore, and J. R. Tenmer

here R

SUBMITTED TO 20th Annual Conference of Microbeam Analysis Society, Louisville, KY, August 5-9, 1985.

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work spinnored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, not any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or response hility for the accuracy completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatos, product, or process disclosed, or represents that or oss would not antriage privately owned rights. Refer ence herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, tecom mendation, or favoriag by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or the allow others to do ap for U.S. Government put potes.

The Line Alamma National Laboratory requests that the publisher identity this entrie as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



FORM NO 636 PA

DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE LOS ALAMOS NUCLEAR MICH HERDER: HARDWARE, SOFTWARE, AND CALIBRATION

T. N. Benjamin, F. S. Z. Rogers, C. J. Potty, J. F. Conner, C. J. Maggiore, and J. M. Termer.

There is a great demand for spatially resolved quantitative trace element analyses of geologic samples. This class of samples is characteristically heterogeneous, fine grained, and compositionally complex. The Los Alamos nuclear microprobe has been developed for, and applied to, non-destructive in-situ geochemical analysis, primarily using the proton induced x-ray emission technique (PIXE). Characteristic x-ray spectra are acquired by bombardment with 1 to 200 nA beams of protons from the Los Alamos vertical Van de Graaff accelerator. Beam spot diameters of 10  $\mu$ m are routine. After spectrum deconvolution, detection limits of approximately 5 ppm are obtained for an integrated charge on the order of 10  $\mu$ C. Applications, concomitant with development have included analyses of meteorites,<sup>1,2</sup> including one potential sample of Mars,<sup>4</sup> terrestrial oil shales,<sup>4</sup> archaeological artifacts, and ore mineral samples.

Authors Benjamin, Rogers, and Duffy are in the Isotope and Huclear Chemistry Division, Maggiore is in the Electronics Division, and Tesmer 15 in the Physics Division. All are at the Los Alamee National Laboratory, Los Alamos New Mexico. Conner is in the Physics 1 resumption, University of California, Davis, California.

The NETE superconducting selenced final lens is critical to the attainment of high chirch densities and micron scale spots. Unlike quadrupole final lenses, the solenoid can focus ion beams to submicron diameters while retaining a useable 200 pA/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> current density. Magnetic fields up to 60 kilogauss can be produced by the solenoid. Ton optics considerations<sup>15</sup> and the physical dimensions of the solenoid and liquid helium dewar constrain the position of the sample stage and the x-ray detectors, Fig. 2. The solenoid reduces the spot size defined by the selected Pt SEM aperature of the aperture box, Fig. 1, by a factor of 10 when focussed on target. The use of cooled SEM aperatures simplified spot size selection relative to a cooled microjaw shit system.

In addition to a SI(LI) x-ray detector, the sample shamber, Fig. 2, mount: two crystal x-ray spectrometers originally used on a MAC electron micropiele. Mounted horizontally rather than in the normal version or ecters t, due to solenoid defined space constraints and to be set to maintain a takeoff angle equal to that of the Si(Li) detector, there spectrometers have proved to be difficult to align. Further effort will solve that problem so that the high degree of x ray wavelength discrimination

\_ '

characteristic of most a set opectrometers can be also on a FIME his set microprobe system for the first time. Even with poor alignment, we have obtained peak to background rat. So that are more than an order of mondutable superior to those obtained with a selection microprobe. These spectrometers will radically improve the rare saith element (REE) detection limits compared to Sr(Li) spectrum deconvolution results, to the benefit of many meteoritic and terrestrial geochemical problems.

Near term hardware additions will include precise current integration by RBS, secondary electron suppression and on-demand beam deflection to reduce bremsstrahlung, rapid 2D beam scanning, and secondary electron imaging capability.

#### <u>Software</u>

The software developed at Los Alamos for deconvoluting and quantifying the Si(Li) PIXE data is based on calculations using fundamental parameters. When applied to the data, quantitative results are obtained using only one variable for each element, peak height. The electronic signal amplification gain and zero offset are determined in an energy calibration routine. Weighed least squares fitting of known elemental peaks in spectra from standards and the individual unknowns take into account the discrete x-ray lines in the data peak envelopes (for example, the  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$  peaks in a Ka envelope). The x-ray energy dependent gaussian peak half-width function is also calibrated from the same peaks used in the energy calibration. This function, a characteristic of the Si(Li) detector, appear: extremely stable and reproducible. The sample spectra are then fit with discrete envelopes composed of the sum of the gaussians for all the lines of each element. Because only one variable per multigaussian envelope, characteristic of each

. .

clement, is required to the solution relation, many rectar problems can be quantitatively resolved while maintaining excellent detection limits. In the case of REE data, severe evening of the numerous closely spaced (relative to Ci(Lt) (hergy resolution) between (upplimposed on a non-linear bremestrahlung backer and degrades the detpot on limits to roughly 100 ppm.

Essential to this method is knowledge of the relative intensities of every x-ray line for each element in that particular matrix so that a single fit parameter per element suffices. Also required are the relative intensities between lines of differing elements so that a known major element can be used as an internal standard for quantification of the abundance of all other elements in the spectrum. These relative intensities are calculated by numerical integration.<sup>7</sup> As the samples are thick targets, the numerical integration includes the effects of decreasing beam energy (and the corresponding x-ray production cross-sections) with depth and x-ray absorption in the sample. As an example, a 2.5 MeV proton beam penetrates 50  $\mu$ m into qualiz but x-rays from elements lighter than Ca, although produced at this depth, do not reach the detector (Na, 17  $\mu$ m max.; Al, 37  $\mu$ m max.; and Cl 43  $\mu$ m max.).

A truly typical spectrum is shown in Fig. 3. The sample, a meteoritic plagioclase that has been impact shocked into a glass was analyzed under the following conditions: 2.5 MeV protons, 9 nA beam current, 10  $\mu$ m spot, 6.8  $\mu$ C integrated charge, x-ray filters consisting of 20.335 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Be and 13.117 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al and a numerical integration step size of 1.0 (1.0 = 10 KeV). The elements given in the legend and tabulated in Table 1 were normalized to the electron morroprobe value of 6.18 Wt% Ca through the relative intensity calculation. The x-ray filters were chosen to suppress the major elements such as Ca in order to minimize detector deadtime. Note that at this plot

As chief and a first of the end state of the state of the associated and the peak positions and there widths are determined by react squares calibratics and that the  $a/\beta$  rate is are calculated from the summation parameters, the fit to Sr is very good. The sense is city of the risk technique requires consideration of escape and price possible peaks. The Fe FaFa sum peak is imperfectly fit although statistically the error is small. The RF Fa and Fe FaFf sum peak have a povere overlap. The sum peak proportionality systematics requires the presence of some RF to adequately model the data.

Development plans include addition of the x-ray fluorescence correction, Lorentzian intrinsic line widths, low energy exponential tails, and bremsstrahlung modeling.

#### <u>Calibration</u>

١.

Initial calibrations against known materials indicate an accuracy on the order of 10% when a major element is used as an internal standard. Precise current integration by RBS and new trace element standards, both synthetic and natural will permit precise intersample comparison. Calibration and settware testing in progress include analyses of a suite of pure metals; simple alloys and minerals used as electron micreprobe standards; fused is over of W-1, AGV-1, BCR-1, and BHVO-1 rock standards.

Sensitivity to variation in the beam energy (and, therefore, soray production cross-sections), matrix, numerical integration step size, and For testablet and determore to-tanget around (55) and  $57^2$ , respectively) is tung assessed by calculation.

Examples of the effect of matrix and numerical integration step size are given in Figs. 5 and 6. The two matrices, SiGg and  $Fe_3O_4$ , encompass the mean atomic number of most geologic materials. The numerical integration step size is in units of 10 KeV. In both Figs 5 and 6 the curves are relative intensity calculations normalized to a 0.2 (that is, 2 KeV) integration step size. In Fig. 5 the x-ray energy dependence (plotted as atomic number for Ka x-rays) vs the difference (error) in the relative intensities between a step size of 2.0 and a step size of 0.2 is shown. As expected, the larger step size yields pooler results but only for the softer x-rays and at absorption edges. The difference is less than 5% for all elements heavier than Cl (for K-lines, and heavier than Ph for L-lines). Fortunately, the deviations due to step size are linear for reasonable ranges of step size (Fig. 6). This allows, where necessary, extrapolation to zero step size. Again, the softer x-rays are most affected and the matrix effects less significant for the higher atomic number elements. This type of analysis, when extended to other variables in the system will allow the details of the PIXE analysis technique to be tailored to the accuracy required by the particular problem.

#### Conclusion

The PIXE technique has proven to be a major advancement in in-situ non-destructive spatially resolved trace element analysis. The Los Alamos nuclear microprobe is being developed and calibrated to produce rapid and comprehensive elemental analysis of complex geologic samples with a 5 ppm detection limit. <u>Referencer</u>

1. T. M. Benjamin et al., "Micropics. Analysis of rare earth element fractionation in meteoritic minerals," <u>Nuclear Instruments and Methods</u>, B3, 221, 1984, 677-650.

2. D. S. Woolum et al., "Trace element FIXE studies of Qinzhen (EH3) πetal and sulfides," Lunar and Planetary Science XV (abs), 1984, 935-936.

 J. H. Jones et al., "The youngest meteorites: II. trace element ing in Zagami maskelynite," <u>Lunar and Planetary Science XVI</u> (abs), 1985, (in press).

4. T. M. Benjamin et al., "The application of the Los Alamos nuclear microprobe to the characterization of trace element-mineral associations in geologic materials and solid wastes," <u>Proceedings of the American Nuclear</u> <u>Society, 5th International Conference, Mavaquez, Pureto Rico</u>, 1984, (in press).

5. C. J. Maggiore, "The nuclear microprore-investigating surfaces with ions," Los Alamos Science, 3, 1982, 27-45.

6. G. Amsel and W. A. Lanford, "Nuclear reaction techniques in materials analysis," <u>Annual Reviews in Nuclear Science</u>, 34, 1984, 435-460.

7. P. S. Z. Rogers et al., "Geochemical applications of nuclear microprobes," <u>Nuclear Instruments and Methods</u>, B3, 231, 1984, 671-676.

El-ment	Concentration (ppm)
	3700 <u>+</u> 1400×
Ca	<b>∈6.18</b> x 10 <sup>4</sup>
Ti	534 ± 35
Cr	13 <u>+</u> 7
Mn	131 <u>+</u> 8
Fe	7550 <u>+</u> 40
Zn	$11 \pm 2$
Ga	60 ± 4
Ge	2 <u>+</u> 2
Rb	7 ± 3
Sr	166 <u>+</u> 12
La	90 <u>×</u> 50
C.t.	30 <u>+</u> 50
*Errors are lø	total uncertainty.

TABLE 1.4 LAS MOV PIXE spectrum, Repair plagnoclase

\_\_\_\_\_

.

. FIG. 1.-- Los Alamos nuclear microprobe beam line schematic, side view.

# Microprobe Beam Line, Side View



. . . .

Fig 1

.

FIG. 2.-- Los Alamos nuclear meroprobe sample chamber, top view. Crystal x-ray spectrometers, not shown (see Fig. 1), are mounted above and below Si(Li) detector.

.

•

-



Fig 2

FIG. 3.-- 2.5 NeV PIXE spectrum and data deconvolution results for Zagami meteorite shocked plagicclase. Concentrations given in Table 1.

х 1

•



FIG. 4.-- Enlarged portion of Fig. 2. showing excellent fit of relative intensity calculation to data.

٠



Fig 4

FIG. 5.-- Percentage error as function of x-ray energy (plotted as atomic number for Ke x-rays) and matrix composition for numerical integration step size of 2.4 relative to step size of 0.2.

•



Fig. 5.

F 4. 5.-- Deviations in relative intensities as function of step size and matrix composition normalized to step size of 0.2. Results for calcium and iron are nearly objected and are displaced for clarity.

•

