# LEGIBILITY, NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein. **LA-UR** -89-2398

Received by OSTI

AUG 0 7 1989

CONF- 8965126-3

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7285-ENG-36

LA-UR--89-2398

DE89 015259

TITLE PEREGRINATIONS ON COLD FUSION

AUTHOR(S) TURNER, LEAF

SUBMITTED TO proceedings of the Workshop on Cold Fusion Phenomena Santa Fe, NM May 22-25, 1989 published by the Journal of Fusion Energy

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of : ithors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royally-free license to publish or re-roduce, the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DUCUMENT IS HALL TO

FORM NO 836 R4

# PEREGRINATIONS ON COLD FUSION

Leaf Turner Los Alamos National Laboratory University of California Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

## ABSTRACT

Attention is focused on the possibility of resonance-enhanced deuteron Coulomb barrier penetration. Because of the many-body nature of the interactions of room-temperature deuterons diffusing through a lattice possessing deuterons in many of the interstitial positions, the diffusing deuterons can resonate on the atomic scale in the potential wells bounded by the ascending valls of adjacent Coulomb barriers and thereby penetrate the Coulomb barriers in a fashion vastly underestimated by two-body calculations in which wells for possible resonance are absent. Indeed, perhaps the lack of robust reproducibility in cold fusion originates from the narrowness of such transmission resonances.

# I. Introduction

We wish to emphasize in these peregrinations that the global structure of a potential leads to interference effects that influence the transmission through the potential. As an example, it is well known that tunneling through a pair of barriers can be accomplished with a transmission coefficient of unity at certain resonant energies even though tunneling through either barrier separately results in transmission with a coefficient necessarily less than unity.<sup>1</sup>

When one studies the penetration of an isolated one-dimensional potential barrier in quantum mechanics, one learns that if

$$\Psi_j(x) = A_j \exp(ikx) + B_j \exp(-ikx), \quad j = i, f$$

represent the initial (i) and final (f) wave functions of a beam of particles incident from the left on a symmetric potential [V(x) = V(-x)], then



where  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \delta^2 = 1.^1$  It is clear that the square of the absolute value of the inverse of the (1,1)-element of this matrix yields the transmission coefficient of the beam through the potential barrier since the transmission coefficient, T, is defined to be the ratio  $|A_f/A_i|^2$  when  $B_f$  vanishes. Thus

$$T = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \delta^2}$$
.

٠.

A matrix <u>M</u> always describes the effect of a one-dimensional potential structure on a plane wave, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{i} \\ \\ \\ B_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{M}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} A_{f} \\ \\ \\ \\ B_{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we have a sequence of N arbitrary, but symmetric, one-dimensional barriers in which  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_j$ , and  $\delta_j$  (j = 1,2,...,N) specify the effect of each potential, in isolation, upon a plane wave. The M matrix that results from a plane wave being transmitted through such a sequence of barriers is given by

$$\underline{\underline{H}} = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{k} + i\beta_{k} & i\delta_{k} \\ & & \\ & & \\ - i\delta_{k} & \alpha_{k} - i\beta_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where  $\alpha_k^2 + \beta_k^2 - \delta_k^2 = 1$  for each value of k. Again the transmission coefficient is given by  $|M_{11}|^{-2}$ . Since T is not simply equal to the product

$$\frac{N}{\Pi} (\alpha_{k}^{2} + \beta_{k}^{2})^{-1},$$
  
k=1

the interference effect due to the presence of multiple barriers is evident. One first multiplies together all the matrices on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) before taking the square of  $M_{11}$ . For example if N = 2,

$$\mathbf{T} = |(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \beta_1 \beta_2 + \delta_1 \delta_2)^2 + (\beta_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \alpha_1)^2|^{-1}$$

# II. Resonance Effects in Tunneling through Multiple Barriers

Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate the possibility that diffusing deuterons can resonate on the atomic scale in the one-dimensional potential wells bounded by the ascending walls of adjacent Coulomb barriers and thereby penetrate the Coulomb barriers in a fashion vastly underestimated by two-body calculations<sup>2</sup> in which wells for such resonance are absent. The figures exhibit the numerical solution of time-independent Schrodinger equation describing a beam of particles incident from the left on a potential barrier V(x) whose maximum height is twize the monochromatic beam energy,  $E_c$ . We are presenting the data as a function of  $k_0x$ , where  $k_0$  represents the incident de Broglie wave number of the beam's particles; i.e.,  $k_0 = (2mE_0/\hbar^2)^{1/2}$ , in which  $\hbar$ represents Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$ .

In Figs. 1(a,e), V(x) has been chosen to vanish everywhere except on the domain, -a - b < x < a - b, within which it has the parabolic form: V(x) =  $V_0\{[a^2 - (x + b)^2]/a^2\}$ . In Figs. 2(a,e), however, V(x) has been chosen to have the additional parabolic barrier, V(x) =  $V_0\{[a^2 - (x + b)^2]/a^2\}$ , on the domain -a + b < x < a + b. We have chosen  $k_0a = 3.0$ ,  $k_0b = 1.978052\pi$ , and, as we have already remarked,  $V_0 = 2E_0$ .

Wherever V(x) vanishes, the Schrodinger wave function  $\Psi(x)$  can be represented as  $\Psi(x) = A \exp(ik_0 x) + B \exp(-ik_0 x)$ , in which A and B are complex constants representing the probability amplitude for particles traveling to the right and to the left (due to reflection by the barriers), respectively. We have set A = 1 to the left of all of the barriers in order to represent an incident beam of unit amplitude traveling toward the barriers. To the right of the last barrier B = 0 since any particles that

-4-

have tunneled through the barriers suffer no further reflections. In the region in which  $V(x) \neq 0$ , we have chosen to define the continuation of these constants, A and B, according to

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Psi(x) - \frac{i}{k_0} \frac{d\Psi(x)}{dx} \right] \exp(-ik_0 x) \quad \text{and} \quad B(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Psi(x) + \frac{i}{k_0} \frac{d\Psi(x)}{dx} \right] \exp(ik_0 x) \quad dx$$

In Figs. 1, we observe that the single potential barrier leads to a markedly decreased amplitude for particles to get through the barrier. To conserve the probability, we observe that the absolute value of the amplitude for reflection B is essentially unity to the left of the barrier. The probability density,  $|\Psi(x)|^2$ , for finding particles at the peak of the barrier is 0.045. (See Fig. 1f.)

In contrast, Figs. 2 demonstrate that the presence of a second barrier can lead to resonance. The absolute value of the amplitude A remains at unity after the particles have penetrated through both barriers, implying a transmission coefficient of 100%. The reflection amplitude B is zoro to the left of the set of the two barriers. All of the particles penetrate through the double barriers; none are reflected. Between the barriers the absolute values of the amplitudes, A and B, are large and are approximately equal because the particles are trapped between the barriers and reflect back and forth numerous times before they are finally transmitted. As a result, the probability density for finding particles at the peak of the first barrier is enhanced 561 times over that of Fig. 1f to a value of 25.17. (See Fig. 2f.) Of course, the energy  $E_0$  (or equivalently,  $k_0$ ) was chosen to be resonant for this double barrier case.

### III. Application to the Possibility of Cold Fusion

Herein lies a possibility for cold fusion. Because of the many-body nature of the interactions of room-temperature deuterons diffusing through a lattice possessing deuterons in many of the interstitial positions, the diffusing deuterons can resonate on the atomic scale in the potential wells bounded by the ascending walls of adjacent Coulomb barriers (analogous to the potential barriers of Figs. 2) and thereby penetrate the Coulomb barriers in a fashion vastly underestimated by two-body calculations (such as that of Figs. 1) in which wells for possible resonance are absent. Indeed, perhaps the lack of robust reproducibility in cold fusion originates from the narrowness of such transmission resonances.

Support for this many-body effect comes from the following "back-of-the envelope" calculation: Suppose we estimate that for a low-energy resonance,  $\lambda_{de Broglie} = h/p \approx L$ , in which L is the internuclear spacing and p is the deuteron momentum. We may associate a thermal temperature with this de Broglie wavelength according to  $3K_BT/2 = p^2/2m_D \approx h^2/2m_DL^2$ , where  $K_B$  is Boltzmann's constant and  $m_D$  is the deuteron mass. If T is expressed in Kelvin and L is expressed in Angstroms, we find that

$$T(K) = \frac{318}{L^2(R)}$$

For an L equal to 1.04 Å, which is larger than the deuteron separation in a deuterium molecule, one finds that  $T = 20^{\circ}C$ , characteristic of an ambient room temperature. Although cavalierly derived, this formula suggests the increasing importance of large-scale correlations (many-body coherent effects) with decreasing temperature.

### IV. Summary

۰.

Just as a series of electrons passing through a double slit, even one-at-a-time, resul^\_ in a double-slit interference pattern, so also thermal deuterons passing inrough PdD can result in an interference pattern due to the influence of the three-dimensional "multiple slits" of the lattice on the deuterons. The resultant pattern of the deuterons cannot be deduced by each deuteron's interaction with each nucleus, taken one-at-a-time. If cold fusion is a result of interference (assuming for the sake of discourse that cold fusion exists), then one might even expect to find an interference pattern when one searches for the sites of cold fusion.

From these peregrinations, we can conclude that the many-body nature of the interactions of room-temperature deuterons diffusing through a lattice possessing deuterons in many of the interstitial positions can lead to resonating of the diffusing deuterons on the atomic scale in the potential wells bounded by the ascending walls of adjacent Coulomb barriers, thereby resulting in the penetration of the Coulomb barriers in a fashion vastly underestimated by two-body calculations in which wells for possible resonance are absent. Indeed, we have suggested that the lack of robust reproducibility in cold fusion might originate from the narrowness of such transmission resonances.

In order to calculate the effect of this enhanced transmission on the rate of cold fusion, one needs to know not only the structure of the transmission resonances (e.g., their widths) as well as the distribution of states occupied by the deuterons in the neighborhood of these resonances, but also he D-D fusion cross sections at ambient thermal energies. Of course, in no way have we attempted to account for the disparity in the amount of heat released as compared with the number of neutrons produced, which has been alleged by researchers at the University of Utah, Texas A&M University,<sup>3</sup> and Stanford University.<sup>4</sup>

If the experimental results that purport to exhibit cold fusion become more definitive, our analysis should be extended to treat more precisely the actual three-dimensional nature of the PdD system. One must detail the dynamics of the Pd and D nuclei and the electrons, which will take into account Coulomb-screening effects due to pair correlations. Additionally, one must take into account the omnipresent factor of significant deuteron transport resulting from either an applied external voltage or an applied thermal gradient. Clearly, no classical dynamics calculation is relevant.

must perforce develop a many-body quantum-mechanical dynamics model.

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported in part under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

### References

1. For example, see E. Herzbacher, <u>Quantum Mechanics</u>, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1961).

2. C. DeW. Van Siclen and S. E. Jones, J. Phys. G, <u>12</u>, 213 (1986); S. E. Koonin and M. Nauenberg, "Cold Fusion in Isotopic Hydrogen Molecules," submitted to Nature.

3. A. J. Appleby <u>et al.</u>, "Evidence for Excess Heat Generation Rates During Electrolysis of  $D_20$  in LiOD Using a Palladium Cathode - A Microcalorimetric Study," Workshop on Cold Fusion Phenomena, May 22-25, 1989, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

4. R. A. Huggins, Workshop on Cold Fusion Phenomena, May 22-25, 1989, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

### **Figures**

1. In (a), (b), (c), and (d), respectively,  $V/E_0$ ,  $|\Psi|^2$ , |A|, and |B| are shown as a function of  $k_0x$  for the single barrier potential discussed in the text. In order to emphasize the behavior of these four functions in the neighborhood of the maximum value of the potential barrier, in (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively,  $V/E_0$ ,  $|\Psi|^2$ , |A|, and |B| are again shown as a function of  $k_0x$  on the restricted domain on which V is increasing to its maximum value.

1. In (a), (b), (c), and (d), respectively,  $V/E_0$ ,  $|\Psi|^2$ , |A|, and |B| are shown as a function of  $k_0x$  for the double barrier potential discussed in the text. In order to emphasize the behavior of these four functions in the neighborhood of the maximum value of the left-hand potential barrier, in (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively,  $V/E_0$ ,  $|\Psi|^2$ , |A|, and |B| are again shown as a function of  $k_0x$  on the restricted domain on which V is increasing to its first maximum value.



10:34:02





