;

CONT-860616 - - 2

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

LA-UR--85-3375

DE86 000807

TITLE: EFFECTS OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES ON THE INITIATION BEHAVIORS OF

HETEROGENEOUS HIGH EXPLOSIVES

AUTHOR(S): P. K. Tang, X-4 C. A. Forest, M-9 J. N. Johnson, T-14 W. L. Seitz, M-9



SUBMITTED TO: International Symposium on Intense Dynamic Loading and Its Effects, 3-7 June, 1986, Beijing, China

# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognize: that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royally free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purplices.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy



TORM NO 838 R4

## EFFECTS OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES ON THE INITIATION BEHAVIORS OF HETEROGENEOUS HIGH EXPLOSIVES

P. K. Tang, C. A. Forest, J. N. Johnson, and W. L. Seitz Los Alamos National Laboratory University of California Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA

We present the results of an investigation into the shock initiation of high explosives with respect to the effects of density and grain sizes. We used a model of treating high-explosive reaction with a multistep process which includes the hot-spot excitation, decomposition, and the propagation of reaction into the region outside the hot spots. The roles of various parameters are discussed; in particular, the hot-spot mass fraction, the reference hot-spot temperature, and the temperature sensitivity parameter are cited as the key factors in the observed behaviors.

#### INTRODUCTION

The initiation process of heterogenerous high explosives is very complex; the initial loading density and temperature are strong influences, but so is the grain size. Thus we believe that the physical aspects of the high explosive are just as important as the chemical ones in controlling initiation behavior. The run distance to detonation as a function of initial shock pressure, generally known as Pop <sup>1</sup> has been widely used as a measure of the sensitivity of the explot, plosive under shock condition. The experimentally observed result usually follows a linear relation in a log-log scale. Increasing density reduces the sensitivity, as does increasing grain sise; ' however, with regard to the latter, recent works have indicated different trends when the shock pressure is sufficiently low. 3,4 Using a hurn model for simulation, we tried to quantify the aforementioned effects, an evaluation never done before, even indirectly. Detail; of the model have been presented elsewhere, along with review of other models<sup>3</sup> and computational examples.

### THE MODEL

The heterogeneous nature of explosives is widely recognizedespecially the role of hot spots. However, the mechanisms leading to the condition of early reaction are still speculative. Even without knowledge of details, it is reasonable to expect that hot spots behave quite differently from the rest of the material in responding to the shock. A model is summarised in this section. In the hot-spot region, the reaction progress variable,  $\lambda_h$ , is expressed as follows:

....

$$\frac{d\lambda_{h}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_{d}} (1 - \lambda_{h}) , \qquad (1)$$

ĩ

where t represents time and  $\tau_d$  the characteristic time of the decomposition process. The overall reaction progress variable,  $\lambda$ , is determined by

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \frac{\mu}{\tau_d} (1 - \lambda_h) + \frac{\mu}{\tau_e} [(1 - \lambda_h) - \mu (1 - \lambda_h)] \left[ \frac{\lambda_h - f_o/\mu}{1 - f_o/\mu} \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu$  is the hot-spot mass fraction,  $f_0$  the threshold of hot-spot burn and  $\tau_0$  the energy transfer characteristic time. Here the dependence on  $f_0$  differs slightly from the previous formulation so that the absolute amount of the hot-spot burn is accounted for.<sup>6</sup> Equations (1) and (2) must be solved simultaneously.

The passage of an initial shock wave of amplitude  $p_g$  produces an average hot-spot temperature  $\theta_g$  given by

$$\theta_{\mathbf{s}} = \theta_{\bar{\mathbf{0}}} \left[ 1 - \mathbf{m} \frac{\theta_{\bar{\mathbf{0}}}}{\alpha} \ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{s}}}{\mathbf{p}_{\bar{\mathbf{0}}}}\right) \right]^{-1} , \qquad (3)$$

where m,  $\theta_{\bar{0}}$ , and  $p_{\bar{0}}$  are constant and  $\alpha$  is the Arrhenius activation temperature. For a given hot-spot temperature  $\theta_g$  there is an induction time of thermal explosion which we identify with the characteristic time  $\tau_d$ <sup>1</sup>

$$\tau_{d} = \frac{\theta^{2}}{\alpha\beta Z} \exp\left(\left[\frac{\alpha}{\theta}\right]\right) \qquad (4)$$

In Eq. (4),  $\beta$  is the temperature coefficient resulting from chemical reaction and Z is the frequency factor for Arrhenius reaction. After the shock process, any further change of  $\theta_{\rm B}$  will be caused by the compression process:<sup>6</sup>

...

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \theta_{\mu} \Gamma < \frac{dp}{dt} , \qquad (3)$$

with  $\Gamma$  being the Grüneisen coefficient and c the isentropic compressibility. Buck are assumed constant. Here  $\frac{dp}{dt}$  is the time rate of pressure change.

We now discuss the correlation of  $\tau_e$  with the thermodynamic state.  $\tau_e$  represents the process of energy transfer. Since the detail knowledge is not available and we intend no formalism here, we therefore propose the following correlation:

$$\tau = [G_0 \mathbf{p} + \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{p})]^{-1} \qquad (6)$$

The linear term in p represents the weaker energy transfer phase, but at higher pressure range, G is the dominant one. In fact, we can identify that term with the pressure dependence in Forest Fire rate.<sup>7</sup>

$$G(\mathbf{p}) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{p}^{i}\right) \qquad (7)$$

where  $a_1$ 's are constant. The roles of the hot-spot mass fraction,  $\mu$ , the reference temperature,  $\theta_0$ , and the temperature sensitivity parameter, m, are discussed in the next section.

## EFFECTS OF PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AND RESULTS

The different degree of compaction or loading in the high explosive produces differing porosity that in turn is reflected in the density. Lower-density material contains more voids and therefore more surface area to increase the hot-spot mass fraction. A formal relation between the porosity and the hot-spot mass fraction is not feasible at this stage. Also, there is a characteristic length associated with the cavity size, D, which must be bigger for the more porous case. The resulting hot-spot reference temperature has to be greater because of more severe dissipation. Mathematically,  $\theta_0$  is likely a monotonically increasing function of D, but again we cannot establish that formulation here. This argumen: is supported by the results of some micromechanic studies on the hot spots with respect to the cavity Using PBX-9404 (94% HMX/3% NC/3% CEF), we examined the síze. density effect on the initiation behavior with the Pop plot data for the densities of  $1.84 \text{ g/cm}^3$  and  $1.72 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . For calibration, we used the experimental Pop plot and gauge data from the higher Jensity To simulate the initiation behavior at lower density, we must case." select the equation of state for that particular density but use most of the burn parameters from the higher density case except two. Those are the higher values of the hot-spot mass fraction,  $\mu_{\mu}$  and the reference temperature  $\theta_0$ . With DYRA2D as the computation tool, <sup>11</sup> the calculated run-to-detonation distances versus initial shock pressures. along with the experimental data for densities of 1.84 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and 1.72  $g/cn^3$  are shown in Fig. 1. The feature in the model to simulate the density effect is evident.

To determine the role of grain size, the hot-spot mass fraction,  $\mu$ , must be related to the grain size through the surface area and intergranular inhomogeneity. The smaller the grain size, the larger the hot-spot mass fraction. Therefore it is natural to conclude that finer grain should lead to shorter run distance, as some experiments indicate. However, the grain size has the opposite effect on the initial reference hot-spot temperature  $\theta_0$  through the irreversible process. As the grain becomes smaller, the material becomes more homogeneous. D becomes smaller, so the dissiption coming from the irreversible stress components is reduced. The effect is the lowering of reference hot-spot temperature thus increasing the explosion time, de-

creasing the hot-spot burn rate, and eventually resulting in longer run distance. We can argue that larger grain size favors the initiation phase, but smaller grain is advantageous to the propagation. Experimental evidence also supports this trend when the grain is very fine unless the shock intensity is high enough.<sup>3,4</sup> Finally, the temperature sensitivity parameter m reflects the effectiveness of the shock in producing the locally hot condition and is certainly related to the dissipation. An analysis has determined that as the voids become smaller viscoplastic work depends much more on the characteristic dimension of the Volds than does inviscid plastic work. In fact, the hot-spot temperature must be higher when viscoplastic work is dominant.<sup>9</sup> The preeminence of one form of dissipation over others as the cavity drastically changes size leads us to speculate the increasing value of m when the grain gets really small.

Using the porous TATB data of porosity about 6.7%, both superfine and micronized (ultrafine) with the latter being much finer than the former," we simulate the effect of grain size following the reasoning we have just discussed. First we obtain the burn parameters from the experimental Pop plot and gauge data<sup>2</sup> for superfine TATB then we calculate the run distances at given shock pressures to confirm the adequacy of those parameters in reproducing the Pop plot. By increasing both the sensitivity parameter m and the hot-spot mass fraction  $\mu$  but decreasing the hot-spot reference temperature  $heta_0$  , we can replicate the Pop plot for the micronized TATB with the information based on the superfine one. Results are shown in Fig. 2. The init ation behavior of porous micronized TATB differs from the superfine not only in larger grain surface area and smaller void size, but also in the dissipative mechanism of producing local hot condition. The ability of the model to simulate the experimental initiation behaviors with physical insight rather than curve fitting is again illustrated. Je shall report the data used in the future.

### CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated the ability of the model to simulate the density as well as the grain size effects with the data available. More cases, including gauge records, must be studied to validate this relatively simple concept in interpreting their effects on the initiation. Future effort will include the effect of initial temperature. Now, the data needed for the model are limited and in some cases, preliminary. More investigation work is required to support the model refinement and actual application.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ramsay, J. and Popolato, A.: Fourth Symposium on Deconstion, p. 233, ACR-126, 1965.
- Campbell, A., Davis, W., Ransay, J., and Travis, J.: Phy. Fluids 4, p. 511 (1961).
- 3. Setchall, R.: Combustion and Flame 56, 343 (1984).
- Seits, W.: Proc. 3rd APS Topical Conference on Condensed Matter, 1983.

- 5. Johnson, J., Tang, P., and Forest, C.: J. Appl. Phys. 57, 4323 (1985).
- Tang, P., Johnson, J., and Forest, C.: Eighth Symposium (International) on Detonation, p. 375, 1985.
  Mader, C. and Forest, C.: "Two-Dimensional Homogeneous and
- Mader, C. and Forest, C.: "Two-Dimensional Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Detonation Wave Phenomena," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report LA-6259, 1976.
- Taylor, P.: Eighth Symposium (International) on Detonation, p. 358, 1985.
- Frey, R.: Eighth Symposium (International) on Detonation, p. 385, 1985.
- 10. Gibbs, T. and Popolato, A.: "LASL Explosive Property Data," University of California Fress, 1980.
- Hallquist, J.: "User's Manual for DYNA2D -- An Explicit Two-Dimensional Hydrodynamic Finite Element Code with Interactive Resoning," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCID-18756, 1982.
- 12. Anderson, A., Ginsberg, M., Seitz, W., and Wackerle, J.: Seventh Symposium (International) on Detonation, p. 385, 1981.

