(10NF-860418--1

LA-UR -86-616

\$

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36

LA-UR--86-616

DE86 007380

TITLE: CALCULATIONS FOR ISOTOPICALLY-TAILORED CERAMICS IN FISSION AND FUSION REACTORS

AUTHOR(S): R. J. LaBauve, T-2 R. J. Livak, MST-5 F. W. Clinard, Jr., MST-5

SUBMITTED TO: The American Ceramic Society for presentation at the Third International Symposium on Ceramics in Nuclear Waste Management, April 27-May 1, 1986, Chicago, Illinois.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Qovernment retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Qovernment purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the suspices of the U.S. Department of Energy

OS Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, New Mexico 97545

CALCULATIONS FOR ISOTOPICALLY-TAILORED CERAMICS IN FISSION AND FUSION REACTORS

by

R. J. LaBauve, R. J. Livak and F. W. Clinard, Jr. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

ABSTRACT

Information and understanding of the response of a ceramic at dpa and gas production rates near those expected at the first wall of a fusion reactor can be obtained by an experiment in a fission reactor to irradiate an isotopically tailored sample of the ceramic. To aid in the design of such an experiment, we have made calculations to determine the amount of ${}^{15}N$ and ${}^{17}O$ needed in Si₃Al₃O₃N₅ and ${}^{17}O$ needed in Al_2O_3 to simulate the behavior of these ceramics in the first wall of the STARFIRE fusion reactor by exposure in the HFIR reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The calculations were performed using a code developed at Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, and the gas production and damage nuclear data needed were produced with a Los Alamos code. Although the damage data are more appropriate for metals, it is assumed that the comparison of the gas-to-damage ratios in the two reactors is valid. Calculations indicate that 57% 170 and 90.6% ^{15}N in sialon and 17.9% ^{17}O in alumina would be adequate for the simulation.

INTRODUCTION

A necessary first step in a program to develop ceramics for applications in which the materials are exposed to high fluences of fusion neutrons is to determine the effects of the neutron irradiation at approximately correct dpa and gas production rates. Because the actual fluences needed for testing the materials are unavailable in any existing reactor, a simulated exposure must be made in a fission reactor. This can be done by isotopically tailoring the ceramic so that the ratio of the gas-production to displacements-per-atom of the tailored sample irradiated in the fission reactor would equal that for a normal sample in the first wall of a fusion assembly. $Si_3Al_3O_3N_8$ (sialon) and Al_2O_3 (alumina) are the ceramics that have been chosen for an irradiation experiment in the high

ENERTHOUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED 44

Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The purpose of this report is to describe calculations for determining the fractional content of the isotopes ^{15}N and ^{17}O in sialon and ^{17}O in alumina needed to similate the behavior of normal sialon and alumina in the first wall of the STARFIRE fusion reactor design by an irradiation experiment in the PTP (peripheral test position, near the central plane) zone of HFIR.

Calculational Method

The calculations were done with the RFAC code system,¹ which was designed to calculate activation rates, dose rates, delayed photon production yields, transmutation yields, and reaction rates for specific reactions for a variety of materials in many different environments and for various residence and cooling times. The system consists of a driver code, flux libraries, cross-section libraries, a materials library, and a decay library. Although in our calculations we only used this versatile code for flux-averaging over the reactions, it war chosen for its "user-friendly" input, availability of HFIR PTP and STARFIRE first wall spectra in the flux library, and ease with which the material library could be changed for running problems with different isotopic fractions.

The isotopic contents of normal sialon and alumina are given in Table I. Note, in particular, that the elemental fractions in both ceramics do not differ widely, and also the atomic weights of the constituents differ by no more than a factor of two. This is important, as it is the opinion of at least one expert² that, under these conditions, the averaging over the constituents for dpa, as performed by REAC using the damage cross sections generated by the NJOY code,³ is approximately valid for ceramics even though the underlying theory⁴ is more appropriate for metals. Furthermore, inaccuracies due to approximations tend to cancel in the ratio comparisons used in this work.

Nuclear Data

The nitrogen and oxygen isotopic reactions contributing to hydrogen and helium gas production, for which cross section data are available in the REAC library CROSS, are listed in Table II. These were generated by the NJOY code system using ENDF/B-V⁵ basic nuclear data as input. The various cross sections were summed by NJOY so that CROSS contains specific H and He production cross sections for each isotope. These are compared with each other and with the HFIR PTP and STARFIRE first wall spectra in Figs. 1a, 1b, and 2a, 2b. Note from the threshold energies of the cross sections shown in these figures that the H-production can be most easily adjusted with the $^{15}N/N$ ratio; whereas, both the $^{15}N/N$ and $^{17}O/O$ ratios can be used to adjust the He-production.

The dpa data from our version of CROSS for Si, Al, O, and N and the HFIR PTP and STARFIRE first well spectra are compared in Figs. 3a and 3b. The units for these cross sections are keV-b, so they may be more properly termed "displacement damage-energy" cross sections rather than dpa cross sections. The rather sudden increase of three orders of magnitude in the oxygen data at about 60 eV led us to suspect an error (e.g., in units--eV vs keV) in the CROSS library data, so we made a comparison with damage data generated by Greenwood and Smither using the SPECTER code⁶. NJOY and SPECTER use similar methods for generating damage data and earlier comparisons 4 have shown that the two codes give comparable results. Our comparisons for Si, Al, O, and N are shown in Figs. 4a and 4b. Again note the large discrepancy in the oxygen data above 60 eV. We, therefore, concluded that these data were indeed in error by a factor of 1000 in the CROSS library and made corrections accordingly. The data in the revised library are compared with the SPECTER data in Fig. 5, and now the agreement is quite good.

RESULTS

REAC calculational results are shown in Tables III and IV. The units of the values given in the tables for the gas/damage ratios are relative but comparable for all cases. Exposure times and power levels cancel out in the ratios, and the conversion of displacement damage-energy to displacement damage (DPA) is ignored because the conversion factors for the several constituents in each ceramic are about the same. According to Ref. 6, this factor is $0.8/2E_d$, where values for E_d , the Linhard cutoff energy required to displace the atom, are given in the reference. These assumed to be are 25, 27, 30, and 30 eV for Si, Al, 0, and N, respectively.

As indicated in Table III, the ¹⁸N content of the sialon was mainly adjusted by the H/damage ratio; whereas, the ¹⁷O content was adjusted with the He/damage ratio. The final isotopic percentages for simulation of the sialon are 90.6% and 57.0% for ¹⁸N and ¹⁷O, respectively.

In the case of alumina, the results for which are shown in Table IV, only the He/damage ratios can be matched since, as indicated above, the H/damage

3

ratio is practically impossible to adjust with 17 O. Note that the simulation is achieved with 17.9% 17 O.

Although the results reported in Tables III and IV were obtained using the revised oxygen damage cross sections, the calculations were initially done using the incorrect data. These initial results indicated 92% ¹⁵N and 50% ¹⁷O contents for the sialon simulation and an 18% ¹⁷O content for the alumina simulation which show a rather remarkable insensitivity of these calculations to a factor of 1000 change in the oxygen dpa data. The integral dpa cross sections in the HFIR PTP and STARFIRE first wall were in fact very nearly the same, differing by factors of only 1.5 for sialon and 1.2 for alumina. Thus, we can conclude that the approximations we made in these calculations are valid and that the results are reliable.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. M. Mann, "Transmutations of Alloys in MFE Facilities as Calculated by REAC," Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory report HEDL-TME81-37 (August 1982).
- 2. D. Parkin to R. MacFarlane, Los Alamos National Laboratory, personal communication, 1985.
- 3. R. E. MacFarlane, D. W. Muir, and R. M. Boicourt, "The NJOY Nuclear Data Processing System, Volume I: User's Manual," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-9303-M, Vol. I (ENDF-324)(Easy 1982).
- 4. R. E. MacFarlane, D. W. Muir and F. M. Mann, "Radiation Damage Calculations with NJOY," Journal of Nuclear Materials <u>122</u> and <u>123</u>, 1041-1046 (1984).
- 5. R. Kinsey, Comp., "ENDF-201: ENDF/B Summary Documentation," Brookhaven National Laboratory report BNL-NCS-17541 (ENDF-201), 3rd Rd. (ENDF/B-V) (1979).
- L. R. Greenwood and R. K. Smither, "SPECTER: Neutron Damage Calculations for Materials Irradiations," Argonne National Laboratory report ANL/FPP/ TM-197 (January 1985).

Table I

Isotope Fractions in Normal $Si_3Al_3O_3N_5$ and Al_2O_3

Isotope	Sialon Fractions	Alumina Fractions
14N	3.558x10 ⁻¹	
15N	1.320x10 ⁻³	
Total N	0.3571	
16 O	2.138x10 ⁻¹	5.986x10 ⁻¹
¹⁷ O	8.000x10 ⁻⁵	2.000x10 ⁻⁴
¹⁸ O	4.300x10 ⁻⁴	1.200×10^{-3}
Total O	0.2144	0.6000
²⁷ Al	2.143x10 ⁻¹	4.000x10 ⁻¹
Total Al	0.2143	0.4000
²⁸ Si	1.976x10 ⁻¹	
29Si	1.001x10 ⁻²	
³⁰ Si	6.640x10 ⁻³	
Total Si	0.2142	

4

5

-

•

Table II Reactions in CROSS Library Contributing to Gas Production

HProduction

¹⁴ N Reactions	¹⁵ N Reactions
$^{14}N(n,np)^{13}C$ $^{14}N(n,nd)^{12}C$ $^{14}N(n,nt)^{11}C$ $^{14}N(n,p)^{14}C$ $^{14}N(n,d)^{13}C$ $^{14}N(n,t)^{12}C$ $^{14}N(n,2p)^{13}B$	¹⁵ N(n,np) ¹⁴ C ¹⁵ N(n,np) ¹³ C ¹⁵ N(n,nt) ¹² C ¹⁵ N(n,p) ¹⁵ C ¹⁵ N(n,d) ¹⁴ C ¹⁵ N(n,t) ¹³ C ¹⁵ N(n,2p) ¹⁴ B
16 <u>O Reactions</u>	¹⁷ O Reactions
${}^{16}O(n,np){}^{15}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,nd){}^{14}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,nt){}^{13}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,p){}^{16}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,d){}^{15}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,t){}^{14}N$ ${}^{16}O(n,2p){}^{15}C$	¹⁷ O(n,np) ¹⁶ N ¹⁷ O(n,nd) ¹⁵ N ¹⁷ O(n,nt) ¹⁴ N ¹⁷ O(n,p) ¹⁷ N ¹⁷ O(n,d) ¹⁶ N ¹⁷ O(n,2p) ¹⁶ C
He Production	
¹⁴ N Reactions	¹⁵ N Reactions
$^{14}N(n,n\alpha)^{10}B$ $^{14}N(n,\alpha)^{11}B$ $^{14}N(n,2\alpha)^{7}Li$ $^{14}N(n,^{4}He)^{12}B$	${}^{15}N(n,n\alpha)^{11}B$ ${}^{15}N(n,n^{4}He)^{12}B$ ${}^{15}N(n,\alpha)^{12}B$
¹⁶ O Reactions	¹⁷ O Reactions
$^{16}O(n,n\alpha)^{12}C$ $^{16}O(n,n\alpha)^{13}C$ $^{16}O(n,^{4}He)^{14}C$ $^{16}O(n,\alpha)^{13}C$	¹⁷ O(n,nα) ¹³ C ¹⁷ O(n,n ⁴ He) ¹⁴ C ¹⁷ O(n,α) ¹⁴ C

Table III

Gas/Damage Ratio Calculations for $Si_3Al_3O_3N_5$

Reactor/Region	¹⁵ N/N	¹⁷ Q/Q	H/Damage	He/Damage
STARFIRE/1st Wall	Normal	Normal	94.9	61.8
HIFIR/PTP	Normal	Normal	998.5	25.7
HFIR/PTP	0.87	0.55	131.7	60.5
HFIR/PTP	0.92	0.55	81.7	59 .3
HFIR/PTP	0.91	0.55	91.5	59.4
HFIR/P1P	0.908	0.55	93.7	59.5
HFIR/PTP	0.92	0.50	70.4	55.6
HFIR/PTP	0.908	0.50	93.7	54.6
HFIR/PTP	0.906	0.57	95.7	61.8

Table IV Gas/Damage Ratio Calculations for Al_2O_3

Reactor/Region	¹⁵ N/N	¹⁷ 0/0	H/Damage	He/Damage
STARFIRE/1st Wall	1040g	Normal	28.6	58.0
HFIR/PTP	02225 <i>61</i> 14	Normal	1.4	4.7
HFIR/PTP		0.55	1.4	171.4
HFIR/PTP		0.17	1.4	55.5
HFIR/PTP	#= d= a @ @ d =	0.26	1.4	83.3
HFIR/PTP	0-400 ± 200	0.1 79	1.4	58.8

ł



Fig. 1a. Comparison of STARFIRE first wall and HFIR PTP fractional cumulative fluxes.



Fig. 1b. Comparison of H production by isotope.

1



Fig. 2a. Comparison of STARFIRE first wall and HFIR PTP fractional cumulative fluxes.



Fig. 2b. Comparison of He production by isotope.



Fig. 3a. Comparison of STARFIRE first wall and HFIR PTP fractional cumulative fluxes.



Fig. 3b. Comparison of Si, Al, O, and N dpa cross sections.



Fig. 4a. Comparison of Si and Al dpa cross sections - Greenwood/REAC.



Fig. 4b. Comparison of O and N dpa cross sections - Greenwood/REAC.



Fig. 5. Comparison of O dpa cross sections - Greenwood/REAC.

?