LA-8603-MS

Ł

c. 3 REPRODUCTION C. 7 COPY

Plutonium Isotopic Composition by Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy



An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

This report was not edited by the Technical Information staff.

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Safeguards and Security.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

> UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONTRACT W-7405-ENG. 36

LA-8603-MS

UC-15 Issued: November 1980

Plutonium Isotopic Composition by Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy

S. -T. Hsue T. E. Sampson J. L. Parker S. S. Johnson D. F. Bowersox





L

2

by

S.-T. Hsue, T. E. Sampson, J. L. Parker, S. S. Johnson, and D. F. Bowersox

ABSTRACT

We discuss the general approach, computerized data analysis methods, and results of measurements to determine the isotopic composition of plutonium by gamma-ray spectroscopy. The simple techniques are designed to be applicable to samples of arbitrary size, geometry, chemical and isotopic composition that have attained $241p_{\rm U}-2370$ equilibrium. The combination of the gamma spectroscopic measurement of isotopic composition coupled with calorimetric measurement of total sample power is shown to give a totally nondestructive determination of sample Pu mass with a precision of 0.6% for 1000-g samples of PuO₂ with 12% 240Pu content. The precision of isotopic measurements depends upon many factors including sample size, sample geometry, and isotopic content. Typical ranges are found to be $238p_{\rm U}$, < 1-10%; $239p_{\rm U}$, 0.1-0.5%; $240p_{\rm U}$, 2-5%; $241p_{\rm U}$, 0.3-0.7%; $242p_{\rm U}$ (determined by isotopic correlation); and 241Am, 0.2-10%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Work at Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) in the area of plutonium isotopic analysis by gammaray spectroscopy started in 1974 when J. L. Parker and T. D. Reilly outlined a method using ratios of neighboring peaks with relative efficiency corrections determined from the spectrum under study.¹ These techniques have received wide acceptance and have been put into routine use at several laboratories.^{2,3} Results from an interlaboratory comparison have been published.⁴ Different laboratories generally use the same techniques with the main difference being the methods used for determining photopeak areas.

A somewhat different approach has been used by Gunnink⁵ who fits the complex 100-keV region with response functions of each isotope. This method has been used very successfully for the case of dilute solutions for which attenuation corrections are small.

Gunnink and coworkers,^{6,7} and Cowder et al.,⁸ have used gamma rays in the < 60-keV region for isotopic analysis on freshly separated solutions in the 100 to $300-g/\ell$ range. Use of gamma rays in the

 $^{\circ}$ 60-keV region has also been discussed by Umezawa et al. 9

Techniques similar to Reference 1 have been described by Dragnev and coworkers, $^{10-12}$ and Reilly et al. 13

At LASL the press of other projects has prevented us from fully developing the methods proposed over six years ago. Only in the past year has there been a mandate to refine these techniques for application at LASL. The work reported here will enable routine application of this method for verification of Pu isotopic composition and determination of Pu isotopic composition for proper interpretation of total Pu measurements by calorimetry or neutron coincidence counting.

The procedures to be described require no peak fitting thus minimizing computer core and speed requirements. Little training is needed for the method to be routinely used by technicians. The method represents a simple, and perhaps the most versatile single detector approach to Pu isotopics.

In addition to the Pu isotopic fractions the procedure also computes specific power for interpretation of calorimetry measurements, and effective ²⁴⁰Pu for neutron coincidence counter applications. Algorithms are included that produce reasonably good estimates of the statistical precision of these quantities.

II. GENERAL APPROACH

The philosophy that has governed this approach is one of simplicity. We wish to see how far this technique can be taken using the simplest data acquisition and analysis techniques and yet have the widest applicability to arbitrary sample configurations.

The atom ratio of isotopes 1 and 2 is determined from a gamma ray spectrum by means of

$$\frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{C_1}{C_2} \times \frac{T_{1/2}(1)}{T_{1/2}(2)} \times \frac{B_2}{B_1} \times \frac{RE_2}{RE_1} , (1)$$

where

- N Number of atoms of indicated isotope
- C Photopeak counts from selected gamma ray from indicated isotope

 $T_{1/2}$ - Half-life of indicated isotope

- B Branching ratio of selected gamma ray from indicated isotope
- RE Relative efficiency at selected gamma ray energy including geometry, sample self absorption, attenuation, and detector efficiency.

Half lives and branching ratios are taken from the literature.^{14,15} The relative efficiency is determined from the spectrum under study by determining the quotient of the photopeak counts and the branching ratio for a series of gamma rays from one of the isotopes in the sample. 239 Pu, 241 Pu, and 241 Pu- 237 U gamma rays are used in this method with the 241 Pu and 241 Pu- 237 U relative efficiency points being normalized to those from 239 Pu.

Photopeak areas are determined by region of interest (ROI) summation.¹⁶ Background regions are selected above and below each photopeak. A linear background is interpolated under the photopeak from the centroids of the background regions. Background regions are carefully selected to avoid neighboring peak interferences, particularly from ²⁴¹Am which oan vary greatly from sample to sample.

Digital gain and zero stabilization is used in the cata acquisition electronics. This is important

to ensure that the peaks don't wander out of their assigned ROIs. The 129.3 keV and 413.7 keV peaks of 239 Pu are used for zero and gain stabilization.

The ROI summation method puts great emphasis on good detector resolution in order to be able to resolve the peaks of interest from close lying neighbors. A high resolution planar detector of $\sim 200 \text{ mm}^2 \times 10 \text{ mm}$ deep is used for these measurements. Detectors of this type can have resolutions of $\leq 500 \text{ eV}$ at 122 keV although the detector used in this program has a resolution of 540 eV. The best possible resolution should be utilized. The major disadvantage to this detector type is its low efficiency at higher energies. With such a planar detector one is unable to utilize the potentially useful 600 keV region.

¢

The analysis routines propagate the statistical uncertainties in the photopeak areas to give the statistical uncertainties in the final Pu isotopics. Because the isotopic ratios are not completely independent, the error propagation may not be rigorously exact. It has proven, however, to be a useful estimate of the observed precision of repeated runs on the same sample.

The techniques discussed here are applicable to a very wide range of sample types. Sample size is only limited by count rate and counting time considerations. Samples as small as 0.25 g have been measured: however, a few grams seems to be a more practical minimum size. Sample geometry has a great influence on count rate and can be more important than Pu mass alone. Samples can be as large as criticality considerations allow. For large samples count rates are tailored by choice of a suitable collimator. We attempt to keep count rates at about 10 kHz as a compromise between optimal data collection rates (higher than 10 kHz) and best resolution (lower). Counting times are influenced by the statistical precision desired and the ultimate application of the isotopic results. Simple verifications of, say, the 239/241 ratio may take only a few minutes. Applications that require the ²³⁸Pu and/or ²⁴⁰Pu isotopics generally require at least several hours.

Cd and Ta filters are used to reduce the count rate from ^{241}Am at 59.5 keV and the 100 keV x-ray complex in order to remove any pile up peaks from the 150-165 keV region.

The specific algorithms discussed in this report apply only to samples which have attained $^{241}Pu^{-237}U$ equilibrium. The 6.75 day half life of ^{237}U controls this time with 99% of equilibrium being reached 45 days after chemical separation of the ^{237}U . Similar analysis methods can be used for nonequilibrium materials but are not discussed here.

The methods are applicable to samples of arbitrary composition and geometry containing Am and up to $\lowline{0}$ Pu of fission products. We have not yet examined mixed U-Pu samples. Some modifications to these methods may be necessary if significant amounts of 235 U are present.

One additional requirement for this method is that the isotopic distribution of all Pu in the sample must be homogeneous. The sample itself may contain a nonhomogeneous Pu distribution, but all Pu should have the same isotopic composition.

One weakness of the current methods is that the low efficiency of the planar detector does not enable one to use the information available from the 600-keV region. This region can potentially provide increased precision for ²⁴⁰Pu in larger samples, a needed improvement for calorimetry and coincidence counting applications. The precision of the determination of low concentrations of ²⁴¹Am would also improve using the 600-keV region; however, this is not vital for calorimetry interpretation because Am contributes only a few per cent of the total power for concentrations below 1000 ppm. Use of a second, larger detector² violates our ground rules of simplicity and low cost. A single large detector could measure both the high energy and low energy regions simultaneously, but the poorer resolution of a larger detector makes the simple ROI summation method less applicable to the low energy data from a large detector than that from a higher resolution planar.

The algorithms to be described represent a simple, and perhaps the most versatile single detector approach to Pu isotopics. Even if the 600 keV region were utilized it would not be applicable to samples with incomplete fission product separation, because of gamma ray interferences, or to small samples, because of intensity problems.

III. ANALYSIS METHOD

Data is accumulated in Canberra Series 80 MCA interfaced to a PDP-11 series computer operating under RT-11 version 38. All analysis routines are written in FORTRAN, and are integrated into a versatile user-oriented package suitable for either production or R and D work.

Relative efficiency values are calculated from peak area/branching ratio for 239 Pu lines at 129.3, 143.4 + 144.2, 171.3, 179.2, 189.3, 195.7, 203.5, 255.4, 297.5, 345.0, 375.0, and 413.7 keV. All relative efficiencies are normalized to a value of 1.0 at 413.7 keV. Not all of these points are used in the subsequent analysis.

Next the ²³⁹Pu relative efficiency values at 345.0 and 375.0 keV are linearly extrapolated to give values at 332.4 and 335.4 keV. The peak complexes at 332 and 335 keV contain contributions from ²⁴¹Pu-²³⁷U, ²⁴¹Am, and ²³⁹Pu. The ²³⁹Pu component is subtracted from both complexes using the 345.0-keV ²³⁹Pu line. The remaining peak areas at 332 and 335 keV contain contributions from ²⁴¹Pu- 237 U and 241 Am. Assuming 241 Pu- 237 U equilibrium the two peak areas and two isotopic unknowns are used to solve for the ²⁴¹Am/²⁴¹Pu ratio.¹ This ²⁴¹Am/ 241 Pu ratio is used to correct other 241 Pu- 237 U peaks at 164.6, 208.0, 267.5, 332.4, 335.4, 368.6, and 370.9 keV for their ²⁴¹Am content.¹ The magnitude of this correction as a function of time since chemical separation of ²⁴¹Am and ²³⁷U is shown in Fig. 1. Relative efficiency values at 148.6 keV from ²⁴¹Pu and at 164.6, 208.0, 267.5, and 332.4 keV from ²⁴¹Pu-²³⁷U are normalized to the ²³⁹Pu values at 332.4 keV. The resulting relative efficiency curves for two sample sizes and a 200 mm^2 x 10 mm planar detector, are shown in Fig. 2.

In keeping with our goal of simplicity, we do not attempt to fit the entire curve. Interpolation and extrapolation over limited ranges are used to calculate the needed relative efficiency values.

Efficiencies at 152.7 (^{238}Pu) , $^{160.3}$ (^{240}Pu) , and 161.5 keV (^{239}Pu) are determined by linear interpolation between 148.6 and 164.6 keV. The efficiency at 169.6 keV (^{241}Am) is set equal to that at 171.3. Efficiency points at 208.0, 267.5, and 332.4 keV are fit with a quadratic equation and the fit is extrapolated to recompute the efficiency



Fig. 1. 241Am contribution to 241Pu-237U gammaray lines as a function of time after chemical separation. Parameters are line energies in kiloelectron volts.

at 203.5 keV. The efficiency for ²⁴¹Am at 125.3 keV is determined by linear extrapolation from 148.6 and 129.3 keV.

The isotopic ratios are calculated next. The 238 Pu/ 241 Pu ratio is calculated from clean single lines at 152.7 keV (238 Pu) and 148.6 keV (241 Pu).



Fig. 2. Typical relative efficiency curves for two sample sizes using a 200-mm² x 10-mm deep planar detector. Solid circles are points from ²³⁹Pu, Triangles are points from ²⁴¹Pu and ²⁴¹Pu-²³⁷U normalized to ²³⁹Pu points at 332 keV.

Two values are calculated for the 239 Pu/ 241 Pu ratio, first from the 345.0 keV (239 Pu) and 332.4 keV (241 Pu- 237 U) lines and second, from the 203.5-keV (239 Pu) and 208.0-keV (241 Pu- 237 U) lines. The final value for the 239 Pu/ 241 Pu ratio is determined from a weighted average of the two ratios. The 203/208 ratio gives more precise results for low burnup Pu while the 345/332 ratio is better for reactor grade Pu.

٤

The 240 Pu/ 241 Pu ratio is calculated from the 160.3 keV (240 Pu) and 164.6 keV (241 Pu- 237 U) lines. The 160.3 keV (240 Pu) and 164.6 keV (241 Pu- 237 U) lines. The 160.3 keV (240 Pu) line is isolated from the 160 keV complex by stripping out the 241 Pu component at 160.0 keV with the 164.6 keV (241 Pu- 237 U) line and stripping out the small (239 Pu) component 160.2 keV with the 161.5 keV (239 Pu) line.

The 241 Am/ 239 Pu ratio is calculated two ways. The first uses the 125.3 keV 241 Am line and the 129.3 keV 239 Pu line with 239 Pu interferences at 124.5 and 125.2 keV being stripped using the 129.3 keV 239 Pu line. The second ratio uses the clean single lines at 169.5 keV (241 Am) and 171.3 keV (239 Pu). The latter ratio is not useful below 241 Am concentrations of about 1 000 ppm because the 169.5 keV 241 Am line is not visible. The 125/129 ratio can give 241 Am/ 239 Pu ratios down to a few hundred ppm Am concentrations. The final 241 Am/ 239 Pu ratio is calculated from a weighted average of the two ratios.

The statistical errors in the peak areas associated with each ratio are propagated to give the estimated statistical precision of each ratio. These statistical errors are used as the weighting factors in the weighted averages used to compute the final values for the 239 Pu and 241 Am ratios.

All ratios contain one (or more depending on the algebraic form) adjustable constant(s) which are "tweaked" slightly using measurements with known standards. These adjustable constants correct for several effects. One effect is possible errors in the branching ratios.⁴ Other effects can arise from systematic errors introduced by the specific peak area analysis and relative efficiency interpolations and extrapolations used. Adjustments are a few per cent, typically.

The ratios of ^{238}Pu , ^{239}Pu , and ^{240}Pu to ^{241}Pu are converted to weight fractions of those isotopes. The ^{242}Pu fraction is determined by

isotopic correlation techniques. The Pu isotopic weight fractions are then recomputed incorporating the ^{242}Pu value. The ^{241}Am content is computed from the measured $^{241}\text{Am}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ ratio and the final ^{239}Pu weight fraction. Statistical precision estimates are propagated from the estimates for the individual isotopic ratios.

For interpretation of calorimetry, the sample specific power (watts/g Pu) can be computed from the measured isotopic composition.¹⁴ Neutron coincidence counting can be interpreted with the computation of the effective ²⁴⁰Pu mass which utilizes the ²³⁸Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, and ²⁴²Pu isotopic results.¹⁷

IV. CALIBRATION

The basic expression for isotopic ratios from gamma spectroscopy (Eq. 1) produces results using published fundamental constants that, in principle, can be used without recourse to standards. We have incorporated adjustable calibration constants into these ratios to adjust for branching ratio errors and biases in peak area and relative efficiency algorithms. By calibration we mean the adjustment of these constants to give the best agreement with measurements on known standards.

Obtaining "known standards" is more difficult than it may seem. There are known problems with even the NBS Standard reference material.⁴ First of all, the ²³⁸Pu must be determined by alpha spectrometry and not mass spectrometry. For low ²³⁸Pu concentrations (<0.1%) sample and filament contamination with environmental ²³⁸U is a problem. For higher ²³⁸Pu concentrations alpha spectrometry and mass spectrometry seem to agree well. The determination of ²⁴¹Am concentrations in many of the samples available to us is not as precise as desired. Uncertainties of 2-3% are quoted whereas standards with uncertainties of < 0.5% are needed. We find that the best ²⁴¹Am values, for our purposes, are obtained by allowing the ²⁴¹Am to grow into a high ²⁴¹Pu content sample and calculating the ²⁴¹Am knowing the half lives and chemical separation date.

The constants are adjusted by comparing the measured isotopic ratios determined from repeated high precision long runs with those ratios determined from the best appropriate chemical techniques.

Such calibration results are shown in Table I. Here a wide range of sample sizes and types were counted. The samples range from multi-hundred gram (PEO series) to 0.25 g (NBS) with the P2 series being ~ 2 g and SAL series being 1 g. The 240 Pu content ranged from 6 to 18%. The best values for the calibration constants were used to recompute It is the recomputed isotopics. the isotopics. after adjustment of the calibration constants, that are displayed in Table I. The fact that the mean values are not 1.0 illustrates the difficulty in making these adjustments for such a wide range of isotopics, especially for ²⁴⁰Pu which has three terms in its $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{241}\text{Pu}$ ratio expression. The efeffect of a constants adjustment on the ²⁴⁰Pu/²⁴¹Pu ratio is illustrated graphically in Fig. 3. (These are different data than Table I.) There would appear to be still a small slope as a function of ²⁴⁰Pu content, even after the constants adjustment.



Fig. 3. Effect of adjustments of calibration constants on ²⁴⁰Pu/²⁴¹Pu ratio. The before adjustment data show results using only fundamental constants with all calibration constants equal to 1.0.

TABLE I

NONDESTRUCTIVE GAMMA-RAY MEASUREMENTS OF PU ISOTOPICS

| Pu Isotopics | 238 _{PU} | | 239 _F | 239 _{Pu} | | 240 _{Pu} | | 241 _{Pu} | | 242 _{Pu} | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|--|
| Sample I.D. | Chem(%) | R | Chem(%) | R | Chem(%) | R | Chem(%) | R | Chem(%) | R | |
| PE0381 | 0.037* | 0.849 | 88.684 | 0.999 | 10.321 | 1.013 | 0.838 | 0.999 | 0.120 | 1.027 | |
| PE0382 | 0.029* | 0.875 | 89.491 | 0.999 | 9.665 | 1.013 | 0.704 | 1.027 | 0.110 | 0.883 | |
| PE0385 | 0.029* | 0.778 | 90.019 | 0.998 | 9.262 | 1.018 | 0.595 | 0.994 | 0.094 | 0.806 | |
| PE0447 | 0.044* | 0.865 | 88.842 | 1.000 | 10.120 | 1.005 | 0.837 | 0.999 | 0.157 | 0.754 | |
| NBS946 | 0.233 | 1.033 | 84.204 | 1.000 | 12.269 | 1.003 | 2.713 | 1.005 | 0.580 | 0.898 | |
| N8S947 | 0.279 | 1.040 | 76.801 | 1.002 | 18.620 | 0.998 | 3.089 | 1.009 | 1.211 | 0.888 | |
| NBS948 | 0.010 | 1.040 | 91.677 | 0.999 | 7.951 | 1.007 | 0.328 | 0.999 | 0.033 | 1.040 | |
| P20116 | 0.386 | 0.984 | 78,512 | 0.995 | 15.216 | 1.004 | 4.854 | 1.005 | 1.033 | 1.296 | |
| P20117 | 0.016 | 0.906 | 93.479 | 0.999 | 6.151 | 1.022 | 0.317 | 0.995 | 0.039 | 0.641 | |
| P20118 | 0.028 | 0.949 | 90.168 | 0.999 | 8,983 | 1.014 | 0.717 | 1.003 | 0.104 | 0.853 | |
| P20119 | 0.040 | 1.011 | 86,936 | 0.996 | 11.748 | 1.026 | 1.106 | 0.999 | 0.170 | 1.148 | |
| P20120 | 0.386 | 0.985 | 78.515 | 0.997 | 15,216 | 0.997 | 4.850 | 1.006 | 1.033 | 1.288 | |
| ASCMET | 0.015* | 0.855 | 93,649 | 1.000 | 5,948 | 1.006 | 0.354 | 0.997 | 0.033 | 0.793 | |
| SAL 639 | 0.051 | 1.009 | 86,642 | 0.999 | 11.849 | 1.001 | 1.241 | 0.988 | 0.218 | 0.992 | |
| SAL 640 | 0.052 | 1.020 | 86.266 | 1.000 | 12.239 | 1.002 | 1.223 | 0.992 | 0.221 | 0.997 | |
| Average | | 0.998 | | 0.999 | | 1.009 | | 1.001 | 2 | 0.954 | |
| S.D. | | 0.043 | | 0.002 | | 0.008 | | 0.009 | | 0.187 | |

(R = Gamma-ray assay/chemical assay)

*²³⁸Pu determined by mass spectrometry. Not used in calculating the average.

Over a wide range of sample sizes, configurations, and isotopics, the isotopics measured by gamma-ray spectroscopy show essentially no bias. Poor alpha spectrometer determinations of 238 Pu may contribute to the relatively poor precision observed in Table I for that isotope. The extent of this contribution is not known. The bias and imprecision for 242 Pu is not significant because of its small weight fraction in most Pu mixes.

V. PU MASS MEASUREMENTS WITH CALORIMETRY-GAMMA RAY SPECTROSCOPY

The isotopics calibration that produced the results in Table I was used with gamma ray spectroscopy measurements of fourteen 870-g Pu samples of FFTF oxide and one 500-g sample of weapons grade metal. All samples were also measured in a calorimeter. The plutonium isotopic composition determined from the gamma-ray measurement was used to determine the sample's specific power in watts/g Pu, which was combined with the calorimeter measurement of sample power in watts to give the sample mass in g Pu.¹⁴ The results for the plutonium mass are compared with the accepted values determined by destructive chemical analysis for g Pu/g sample, ignition for moisture content, weighing, and mass spectrometry for isotopic composition.

This combination technique is not new, having been in use at Mound Laboratory¹⁸ and Rockwell-Hanford³ for some time. This is, however, the first application of this powerful combination of NDA techniques at LASL.

The FFIF oxide had nominal isotopic composition of 0.06% 238 Pu, 86.6% 239 Pu, 11.8% 240 Pu, 1.3% 241 Pu, 0.2% 242 Pu, and 600 ppm 241 Am.

In Table II the 4-h gamma-ray isotopic measurements are ratioed to the mass spectrometry values. The ratios for 239,240,241 Pu are also displayed in Fig. 4. Agreement is seen to be excellent for the major plutonium isotopes with the exception of 238 Pu. Here 238 U contamination is always a problem for mass spectrometry and can produce large errors at these relatively low concentrations (0.05 to 0.07%). The gamma spectroscopy results are more reliable than these mass spectrometry

TABLE II

PLUTONIUM ISOTOPIC BY GAMMA-RAY SPECTROSCOPY

| | | | Ratio: | Gamma Spectroscopy Mass Spectrosco | | <u>y (4 h)</u> apy * | |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | Sample | 238 _{Pu} | 239 _{Pu} | 240 _{Pu} | 241 _{Pu} | 242 _{Pu} | 241 _{Am} |
| FF1 | F Oxide | | | | | | |
| | LA0134C1 | 0.8738 | 0.9986 | 1.0090 | 0.9934 | 1.171 | 0.994 |
| | LA0134C2 | 0.8486 | 1.0022 | 0.9825 | 0.9986 | 1.138 | 0.932 |
| | LA0134C3 | 0.8850 | 0.9998 | 1.0000 | 0.9954 | 1.159 | 1.036 |
| | 1 0013404 | 0.8810 | 0.9992 | 1.0042 | 0.9965 | 1.16/ | 1.005 |
| | 1 001 3405 | 0.8746 | 0.9977 | 1.010 | 0.9943 | 1 187 | 1 037 |
| | LA0134C8 | 0.9052 | 0.9987 | 1.0074 | 0.9996 | 1.173 | 1.117 |
| | LA0135CB | 0.9729 | 0.9945 | 1.0398 | 0.9998 | 1.129 | 1.047 |
| | LA0146C3 | 0.8990 | 0.9965 | 1.0243 | 0.9945 | 1.169 | 0.843 |
| | LA0148C3 | 0.7331 | 1.0077 | 0.9402 | 1.0122 | 1.200 | 1.239 |
| | LA0153C3 | 0.8149 | 0.9955 | 1.0324 | 1.0071 | 1.091 | 1.239 |
| | LA0154C3 | 0.9152 | 1.0012 | 0.9951 | 0.9991 | 1.229 | 1.221 |
| | LA0150C3 | 0.9587 | 0.9964 | 1.0231 | 0.9942 | 1.224 | 1.031 |
| Wei | apons Grade M | etal 🛛 | | | | | |
| | J001325 | 0.3437** | 1.0014 | 0.9844 | 0.9955 | 0.689** | 1.114 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | mean (bias) observed | 0.8762 | 0.9990 | 1.0056 | 0.9982 | 1.171 | 1.060 |
| | std dev predicted | 0.062 | 0.0034 | 0.025 | 0.0054 | 0.037 | 0.116 |
| | std dev | 0.031 | 0.0037 | 0.027 | 0.0057 | | 0.071 |

*Am determined by radioanalytical techniques

**omitted from average

ŝ

values. If reliable 238 Pu results are needed from mass spectrometry for low 238 Pu values, the user should request the 238 Pu be determined by alpha spectroscopy. Even in small concentrations, the high specific power of 238 Pu contributes significantly to the total sample power. Its accurate determination is essential for proper interpretation of calorimetric measurements.

The bias in the 242 Pu results arises from the isotopic correlation technique used. For a wide range of sample isotopics, the 242 Pu results will fluctuate. Over a narrow burnup range the bias may be substantially reduced by choosing a different correlation. In any case, this bias is not significant for total plutonium determination because 242 Pu contributes negligibly to the plutonium specific power.

The relatively large bias and standard deviation for 241 Am is caused by the poor statistical precision of the gamma spectroscopy measurement at these lower americium levels (300 to 700 ppm) and the imprecision of the radioanalytical techniques used to determine the "standard" values. For these low americium content samples, the americium



Fig. 4. Gamma-ray isotopics compared to mass spectrometry values. Samples 1-13 are 1000-g PuO2 with nominal 0.06% ²³⁸Pu, 86.6% ²³⁹Pu, 11.8% ²⁴⁰Pu, 1.3% ²⁴¹Pu, and 0.2% ²⁴²Pu. Sample 14 is 500-g of weapons grace Pu metal with nominal 0.01% ²³⁸Pu, 93.8% ²³⁹Pu, 5.9% ²⁴⁰Pu, 0.27% ²⁴¹Pu, and 0.03% ²⁴²Pu.

contributes only a minor portion (1 to 2%) of the sample power. Fortunately the gamma measurement precision improves for higher americium concentrations, which can contribute significantly to the sample power. For high americium the accuracy of the gamma-spectrometry measurement of americium is limited by the unavailability of sub-1% accuracy for the americium content of plutonium standards.

At the bottom of Table II the observed bias, observed standard deviation, and expected or predicted standard deviation are listed. The expected or predicted standard deviations are propagated from counting statistics, a nontrivial feature of the analysis software. The fact that the various isotopic ratios are not completely independent causes the estimates to be not rigorously exact. They are intended to give the user a reasonably good precision estimate. The excellent agreement

7

between the observed and predicted precisions for the major isotopes is, perhaps, somewhat fortuitous.

The gamma-spectrometer isotopics were used to interpret a calorimetry measurement on each sam-A comparison of total plutonium mass from ple. calorimetry-gamma spectroscopy is shown in Col. 2 of Table III. The same comparison is made for calorimetry-mass spectrometry in Col. 3 of Table III. Calorimetry-gamma spectroscopy shows a bias of 0.2% with an observed precision of ~0.6%. The larger bias of 1.5% for calorimetry-mass spectrometry is attributed to poor 238Pu mass spectrom-The calorimetry-gamma spectroscopy eter values. comparison with chemical analysis is also shown graphically in Fig. 5. The observed precision of √0.6% for the Pu mass determination comparison in Table III will also contain a contribution from the precision of the weighing and destructive chemical analysis techniques used to determine the "accepted" Experience indicates chemical analysis values. that this precision may be ~0.1-0.2% 18

TABLE III TOTAL PLUTONIUM CONTENT

| Ratio | Calorimetry-C Spectroscopy | iamma (4 h) | Calorimetry- Mass Spectrometry | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| hatio. | Destructiv Chemical Anal | /e .ysis | Destructive Chemical Analysis | | | | |
| FFTF Oxide | | | | | | | |
| LA0134C1 | 1.004 | 16 | 0.98 | 193 | | | |
| LA0134C2 | 1.015 | 51 | 0.98 | 394 | | | |
| LA0134C3 | 1.004 | 15 | 0.98 | 397 | | | |
| LA0134C4 | 1.004 | 15 | 0.98 | 394 | | | |
| LAUI 3405 | 1.004 | 4. | 0.98 | 593 | | | |
| 1 001 3408 | 1.00 | ./ | 0.98 | 575 000 | | | |
| | 0.770 | 12 | 0.50 | | | | |
| LAOT 35CB | 0.998 | 32 | 1.00 | 048 | | | |
| LA0146C3 | 1.005 | 55 | 0.99 | 30 | | | |
| LA0148C3 | 1.010 | 70 | 0.96 | 598 | | | |
| LA0153C3 | 0.992 | 27 | 0.97 | 149 | | | |
| LA0154C3 | 0.995 | 52 | 0.98 | 384 | | | |
| LA0150C3 | 0.996 | 38 | 0.99 | 74 | | | |
| Weapons-grad | e Metal | | | | | | |
| J001325 | 0.995 | 57 | 0.93 | 342 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| mean (bias) | 1.002 | 20 | 0.98 | 349 | | | |
| observeo st | d dev 0.000 | 53 | 0.01 | 68 | | | |
| preaictea s | td dev 0.009 | 93 | | . · | | | |
| | | | | | | | |





The uncertainties predicted for the gamma spectroscopy results can be used to predict the precision of the sample's specific power and hence the precision of the plutonium mass determination. Uncertainties in the calorimeter power measurement are negligible compared to the isotopic determination. Under the assumption of uncorrelated uncertainties in the gamma-ray isotopics, a representative curve of precision vs counting time, as in Fig. 6, can be generated. These curves are specific to each experiment and depend on the sample size and geometry, count rate, detector type, and sample isotopic including ²⁴¹Am, as well as counting time. The curves in Fig. 6 are for an 870-g Pu FFTF PuO2 sample and a 500-g weapons grade metal sample counted at 10 kHz with a 2-cm² x 10-mm-deep planar detector. The assumption of uncorrelated gamma-ray isotopic results is not rigorously valid and there is evidence that the observed precision is even better than that predicted by error propagation by a factor of two.

In Table IV we summarize some of the precisions that have been observed on measurements on three different sample types. The first entries for FFTF oxide summarize the measurements already discussed. The other two entries (reactor-grade oxide and weapons-grade oxide) summarize the results of repeated measurements of the specific power from gamma-ray isotopics. These two samples did not have enough sample mass or a precise enough Pu mass value to

a



Fig. 6. Predicted precision of specific power for 500-g weapons grade metal and 1000-g FFTF PuO2 sample counted at 10 kHz with 200-mm² x 10-mm deep planar detector. Curves are specific to each experiment and are not of general applicability. See Table IV for illustration that observed precision is even better than that predicted.

enable them to give a meaningful calorimeter measurement.

The Table IV entries illustrate how the precision of the specific power can vary, especially as a function of the isotopic composition. For low Am samples the uncertainty in the 240 Pu isotopic dominates the predicted precision. As the Am content increases, the 240 Pu power contribution becomes less important and results in improved overall precision. There is evidence in Table IV that the observed precision from repeated measurements is significantly better than that precicted by error propagation.

VI. ONGOING RESEARCH

We are investigating the extension to nonequilibrium ($^{241}Pu-^{237}U$) materials. For these materials one can not use the 148.6 keV ^{241}Pu line and the $^{241}Pu-^{237}U$ lines interchangeably. We are investigating taking all ratios with respect to the 148.6 ^{241}Pu line using the 129.3 ^{239}Pu , 152.7 ^{238}Pu , and 160.3 ^{240}Pu lines. For some types of samples the <60 keV region may be accessible. We are looking into using this region for $^{238}Pu/^{239}Pu$ and $^{240}Pu/^{239}Pu$ ratios while using the 120-150-keV region for 241 Pu/ 239 Pu. This is similar to work already done on solutions $^{6-8}$ but the relative efficiency corrections are more difficult for the arbitrary sample.

The alternate combination of gamma-spectroscopy with neutron coincidence counting for the determination of total plutonium is also being pursued.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

1

We have described the computerized implementation of algorithms for the determination of isotopic ratios by gamma-ray spectroscopy for plutonium samples of arbitrary geometry and composition.

The results have been compared with values determined from mass spectrometry. For 4-h measurements on 870-g Pu FFTF oxioe samples, we find precisions of $\sim 3\%$ for 238 Pu, 0.4% for 239 Pu, 2.7% for 240 Pu, and 0.6% for 241 Pu. When these measurements are combined with calorimetric measurements of the total sample power we are able to make a completely nondestructive determination of total Pu content with a bias of 0.2% (comparable to precision of destructive chemistry techniques) and a precision of 0.6%. The precision of the total Pu determination can be even better for different sample types and experimental conditions.

The techniques described here coupled with ongoing research can be applied to the majority of samples found in the fuel cycle.

TABLE IV

PRECISION OF DETERMINATION OF SPECIFIC POWER USING GAMMA-RAY SPECTROSCOPY

| | <u>238</u> | 239 | 240 | 241 | <u>242</u> | Am |
|--|-------------|-------|-------|------|------------|------------|
| FFTF Oxide (Low Am) | | | | | | |
| Typical Isotopic (wt %) Typical Isotopic Precision | 0.061 | 86.58 | 11.79 | 1.35 | 0.20 | 595 ppm |
| 4-h Measurement (%) | 3.5 | 0.38 | 2.8 | 0.57 | | 6.3 |
| % Total Power | 11.7 | 56.3 | 28.2 | 1.5 | 0.01 | 2.3 |
| Specific Power = 0.00298 w/g Pu | | | | | | |
| Predicted Precision of Specific Power (4-h Measurement) = 0.9% | | | | | | |
| Observed Precision-Repeated Measureme | nts = 0.6% | | | | | |
| Reactor-Grade Oxide (High Am) | | | | | | |
| Typical Isotopic (wt %) | 0.24 | 84.10 | 12.36 | 2.77 | 0.53 | 16 000 ppm |
| 7.4-h Measurement (%) | 0.70 | 0.24 | 1.70 | 0.54 | | 0.15 |
| % Total Power | 23.6 | 28.3 | 14.6 | 1.6 | 0.01 | 31.9 |
| Specific Power = 0.00577 w/g Pu | | | | | | |
| Predicted Precision of Specific Power (7.4–h Measurement) = 0.31% | | | | | | |
| Observed Precision-Repeated Measureme | nts = 0.15% | | | | | |
| Weapons-Grade Oxide (Low Am) | | | | | | |
| Typical Isotopic wt % | 0.014 | 93.34 | 6.30 | 0.32 | 0.026 | 189 ppm |
| 5.9-h Measurement (%) | 5.0 | 0.14 | 2.2 | 0.31 | | 5.5 |
| % Total Power | 3.3 | 76.5 | 18.7 | 0.46 | 0.001 | 1.07 |
| Specific Power = 0.00235 w/g Pu | | | | | | |
| Predicted Precision of Specific Power (5.9-h Measurement) = 0.45% | | | | | | |

REFERENCES

- J. L. Parker and T. D. Reilly, "Plutonium Isotopic Determination by Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy," Nuclear Analysis Research and Development Program Status Report, Jan.-April 1974, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-5675-PR (August 1974).
- J. G. Fleissner, J. F. Lemming, and J. Y. Jarvis, "Study of a Two-Detector Method for Measuring Plutonium Isotopics," Proc. Am. Nucl. Soc. Conf. on Measurement Technology for Safeguards and Materials Control, Kiawah Island, S.C., November 26-29, 1979.
- 3. R. A. Hamilton, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Co., Letter and enclosures to W. W. Rodenburg, Mound Laboratory (February 18, 1977).

- 4. H. Ottmar and E. Eberle, "Determination of Plutonium Isotopic Composition by Gamma Spectrometry: Results from Interlaboratory Comparison Measurements Organized by ESARDA," 1st Annual ESARDA Symposium on Safeguards and Nuclear Materials Management, April 10, 1979. See also INMM Journal, <u>VIII</u> (1979).
- R. Gunnink, J. B. Niday, and P. D. Siemens, "A System for Plutonium Analysis by Gamma Ray Spectrometry, Part I: Techniques for Analysis of Solutions," Lawrence Livermore Laboratory report UCRL-51577 (April 1974).
- R. Gunnink and J. E. Evans, "In-Line Measurement of Total and Isotopic Plutonium Concentrations by Gamma-Ray Spectrometry," Lawrence Livermore Laboratory report UCRL-52220 (1977).

- 7. R. Gunnink, A. L. Prindle, J. B. Niday, A. L. VanLehn, and Y. Asakura, "TASTEX Gamma Spectrometer System for Measuring Isotopic and Total Plutonium Concentrations in Solutions," Journal INMM, <u>VIII</u> (1979), p. 429. See also Lawrence Livermore Laboratory report UCRL-82335 (1979).
- 8. L. R. Cowder, S.-T. Hsue, S. S. Johnson, J. L. Parker, P. A. Russo, J. K. Sprinkle, Y. Asakura, T. Fukuda, and I. Kondo, "Gamma-Ray NDA Assay System for Total Plutonium and Isotopics in Plutonium Product Solutions," Proc. ANS Topical Conf. on Measurement Technology for Safeguards and Materials Control, Kiawah Island, S.C., November 26-29, 1979.
- H. Umezawa, T. Suzuki, and S. Ichikawa, "Gamma-Ray Spectrometic Determination of Isotopic Ratios of Plutonium," J. Nucl. Sci. Technology, <u>13</u>, 327 (1976).
- T. N. Dragnev, "Intrinsic Self-Calibration of Non-Destructive Gamma Spectrometric Measurements. Determination of U, Pu, and ²⁴¹Am Isotopic Ratios," J. Radioanal. Chem., <u>36</u>, 491 (1977).
- 11. T. Dragnev and K. Scharf, "Non-Destructive Gamma Spectrometry Measurement of ²³⁸Pu/²⁴⁰Pu and Pu/²⁴⁰Pu Ratios," Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot., <u>26</u>, 125 (1975).
- 12. T. N. Dragnev and B. P. Damjanov, "Methods for Precise Absolute Gamma-Spectrometic Measurements of Uranium and Plutonium Isotopic Ratios,"

Nuclear Safeguards Technology 1978, Vol. 1, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, (1979), p. 739.

- 13. T. D. Reilly, D. D'Adamo, I. Nessler, and M. Cuypers, "Gamma-Ray Spectrometric Determination of Plutonium Isotopic Composition," <u>Nuclear</u> <u>Safeguards Technology 1979</u>, 1, IAEA, Vienna, Austria (1979), p. 727.
- 14. "American National Standard Calibration Techniques for the Calorimetric Assay of Plutonium-Bearing Solids Applied to Nuclear Materials Control," American National Stanards Institute N15.22-1975 (June 1975).
- 15. R. Gunnink, J. E. Evans, and A. L. Prindle, "A Reevaluation of the Gamma-Ray Energies and Absolute Branching Intensities of 237U, 238,239,240,241pu, and 241Am," Lawrence Livermore Laboratory report UCRL-52139 (October 1976).
- 16. R. H. Augustson and T. D. Reilly, "Fundamentals of Passive Nondestructive Assay of Fissionable Materials," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-5651-M (September 1974).
- 17. M. S. Krick, "²⁴⁰Pu-Effective Mass Formula for Coincidence Counting of Plutonium with SR Electronics," Nuclear Safeguards Research and Development Program Status Report, May-August 1977, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-7030-PR (March 1978).
- 18. W. W. Rodenburg, "An Evaluation of the Use of Calorimetry for Shipper-Receiver Measurements of Plutonium," Monsanto Research Corporation, Mound Laboratory report MLM-2518 (1978).

11

Printed in the United States of America Available from National Technical Information Service US Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Microfiche \$3.50 (A01)

.

•

| Page Range | Domestic Price | NTIS Price Code | Page Runge | Domestic Price | NTIS Price Code | Page Range | Domestic Price | NTIS Price Code | Page Range | Domestic Price | NTIS Price Code |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 001-025 | \$ 5.00 | A02 | 151-175 | \$11.00 | A08 | 301-325 | \$17.00 | A14 | 451-475 | \$23.00 | A 20 |
| 026-050 | 6.00 | A03 | 176-200 | 12.00 | A09 | 326-350 | 18.00 | A15 | 476-500 | 24.00 | A21 |
| 051-075 | 7.00 | A04 | 201-225 | 13.00 | A10 | 351-375 | 19.00 | A16 | 501-525 | 25.00 | A22 |
| 076-100 | 8.00 | A05 | 226-250 | 14.00 | A11 | 376-400 | 20.00 | A17 | 526-550 | 26.00 | A 2 3 |
| 101-125 | 9.00 | A06 | 251-275 | 15.00 | A12 | 401-425 | 21.00 | A18 | 551-575 | 27.00 | A24 |
| 126-150 | 10.00 | A07 | 276-300 | 16.00 | A13 | 426-450 | 22.00 | A19 | 576-600 | 28.00 | A25 |
| | | | | | | | | | 601-up | t | A99 |

†Add \$1.00 for each additional 25-page increment or portion thereof from 601 pages up.

٩

1

,