
LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

1



FEB 0 9 1990

Alamo: No one Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W 7405-FNG-36

LA-UR--90-1

DE90 006483

TITLE GLOBAL NUCLEAR-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS

AUTHOR(S) P Moller and J R Nix

to be presented at the conference "Nuclear Structure in the Nineties," in Oak Ridge, Tennessee on 4/23-27, 1990

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an occount of work spons seed by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees makes any warranty express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference berein to any specific commercial product process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufactures or otherwise does not necessarily conditute or imply its endorsement recommendation or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

the energy of the control of the protein control of the surround that the energy of the energy to be entered the control of the energy of the

the control of the co







SUBMITTED TO



GLOBAL NUCLEAR-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS

P. Möller and J. R. Nix Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

Theoretical studies based on the singleparticle model and its various extensions, such as the macroscopic-microscopic method and RPA treatments of additional residual interactions, have over the last 40 years been enormously successful in providing a quantitative theoretical interpretation of a large number of different low-energy nuclearstructure properties. In the early 1950's the nuclear magic numbers were explained in terms of a simple, spherical single-particle model with a spin-orbit interaction with an adjustable spin-orbit strength. In 1955 Nilsson extended the model to a deformed singleparticle well, and this model was very successful in interpreting a vast amount of experimental low-energy spectroscopic data.

The single-particle model serves as a statting point in the macroscopic-microscopic method for calculating the nuclear potential energy as a function of shape. In this approach, the macroscopic energy is calculated for the shape of interest, and single-particle levels are calculated for a well of this shape and used to determine a microscopic correction by use of Strutinsky's method. The total potential energy is then obtained as the sum of the macroscopic term plus the microscopic correction. By calculating the potential energy for a large number of shapes one may determine nuclear ground-state masses and shapes, fission-barrier heights, and fissionisomeric states. At this conference we will also hear about how the model has been applied to studies of high-spin phenomena.

The single particle model also serves as a starting point for calculating various

transition-rate nuclear matrix elements. One example of this type is Gamow-Teller 3-strength functions. Since the transition amplitude is sensitive to small perturbations from residual interactions, it is necessary to add residual pairing and Gamow-Teller interactions to the basic single-particle model to obtain reasonable agreement with data.

The goal of such theoretical studies is to understand the experimentally established properties of nuclei in terms of a simple underlying physical picture. It is particularly important to understand whether deviations between the model and data are due to poorly determined model parameters, or alternatively if a refinement of the model or even a completely new model is required. Usually a model can be characterized as pro-




Figure 1: Good agreement at magic numbers, and disagreement in the transitional regions N=56 and N=86. Spherical levels are used if $|\alpha| \approx 0.15$.

viding a simple underlying physical picture only if it has relatively few parameters. Although few-parameter models often exhibit larger deviations between calculated results and data than do multi-parameter models, these deviations are often open to interpretations that yield new physical insight. These deviations may, for example, be due to a known approximation in the model or reveal a previously unknown phenomenon. As an example, we mention a mass calculation that showed unusually large deviations between calculated and measured ground-state masses in the vicinity of 222Ra. These deviations were shown to be due to the neglect of octupole shape degrees of freedom. Their occurrence stimulated a revival of the study of octupole shape degrees of freedom in this region.

The model used here represents a unified macroscopic-microscopic approach with about 10 parameters in the macroscopic part and 10 in the single-particle model, not counting such previously well determined parameters as the proton and neutron mass, Planck's constant, and the speed of light. Our aim here is to show some remarkable strengths and some weaknesses of these single-particle-based models by applying them globally to calculations of such diverse properties as ground-state




Figure 2: Good agreement in deformed and spherical regions.




Figure 3: Comparison of experimental halflives for EC and β^+ decay with QRPA calculations in the Lipkin-Nogami pairing model.

masses, deformations, spins and pairing effects, β -decay, fission-barrier structure, and spontaneous-fission half-lives. Some examples of results obtained are shown in figs. 1-4. Our analysis in the full conference contribution will point to a direction for the nineties for these types of models.

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy.




Figure 4: Calculated fission-barrier heights for heavy nuclei.